

**BEFORE THE TRIAL CHAMBER  
EXTRAORDINARY CHAMBERS IN THE COURTS OF CAMBODIA**

**FILING DETAILS**

**Case No:** 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC      **Party Filing:** Co-Prosecutors  
**Filed to:** Trial Chamber      **Original Language:** English  
**Date of document:** 9 February 2011

**CLASSIFICATION**

**Classification of the document suggested by the filing party:** PUBLIC  
**Classification by Trial Chamber:**  
**Classification Status:** សាធារណៈ / Public  
**Review of Interim Classification:**  
**Records Officer Name:**  
**Signature:**

**CO-PROSECUTORS' REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION ON  
PROCEDURE FOR RESPONSE TO PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS**

**Filed by:**

**Co-Prosecutors**  
CHEA Leang  
Andrew CAYLEY

**Distributed to:**

**Trial Chamber**  
Judge NIL Nonn. President  
Judge Silvia CARTWRIGHT  
Judge YA Sokhan  
Judge Jean-Marc LAVERGNE  
Judge THOU Mony

**Copied to:**

**Accused**  
NUON Chea  
IENG Sary  
IENG Thirith  
KHIEU Samphan

**Lawyers for the Defence**


SON Arun  
Michiel PESTMAN  
Victor KOPPE  
ANG Udom  
Michael G. KARNAVAS  
PHAT Pouv Seang  
Diana ELLIS  
SA Sovan  
Jaques VERGES  
Phillipe GRECIANO

**Civil Party Lead Co-Lawyers**  
PICH Ang  
Elisabeth SIMONNEAU FORT

<b>ឯកសារដើម</b>
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGINAL
ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ ទទួល (Date of receipt/Date de reception): ..... 09 / 02 / 2011 .....
ម៉ោង (Time/Heure): ..... 15 : 55 .....
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង/Case File Officer/L'agent chargé du dossier: ..... Uch Arun .....

1. On 8 February 2011, the Co-Prosecutors received notification of Nuon Chea's Preliminary Objection Concerning the Jurisdiction of the Trial Chamber (E36). As clarified in the Trial Chamber's Memorandum dated 3 February 2011 (E35), the Accused have until 14 February 2011 to file preliminary objections pursuant to Internal Rule 89. The Trial Chamber has also indicated that, after full reasons are provided by the Pre-Trial Chamber for its decision on the Closing Order appeals, it will consider whether or not to allow "limited, supplementary submissions" (E35).
2. In relation to such preliminary objections, Internal Rule 89(3) provides that the Trial Chamber "shall, as appropriate, issue its reasoned decision either immediately or at the same time as the judgment on the merits." Rule 89(2) provides that the Chamber "shall afford the other parties the opportunity to respond to the application." Rule 80bis(3) states that the Trial Chamber, at the initial hearing, "shall consider matters dealt with in Rule 89."
3. As the Chamber's 3 February 2011 memorandum does not address responses to preliminary objections, the Co-Prosecutors respectfully seek clarification from the Trial Chamber pursuant to Rule 89(2) on the procedure and timing for responses to preliminary objections. Based on their appeals of the Closing Order, it is anticipated that some or all of the Accused may make common jurisdictional objections. The Co-Prosecutors thus propose, in order to avoid repetitive briefs, that they be allowed to file a consolidated response where multiple Accused have raised the same jurisdictional objection. The Co-Prosecutors also seek clarification on when the Trial Chamber wishes those response briefs to be filed, and propose that any such responses be filed no later than 25 March 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

Date	Name	Place Signature
9 February 2011	CHEA Leang Co-Prosecutor	Phnom Penh 
	Andrew CAYLEY Co-Prosecutor	