

### **អ**ុខ្ពស្សំ៩ម្រះទិសាមញ្ញតូខតុលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

# ព្រះពេលលោខ គ្រេងម្កុ បា បានី សាសលា ព្រះមហាតុក្រុង

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

# អចិន្ទមុំស្មិតិះមារបាន្យត់ទ

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

#### อสลาสอีช

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL

ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): 29-Nov-2011, 13:12 CMS/CF0: Sann Rada

# TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS PUBLIC

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

23 November 2011 Trial Day 3

Before the Judges:

NIL Nonn, Presiding

Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve)

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

The Accused: NUON Chea

IENG Sary

KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun

Michiel PESTMAN Victor KOPPE

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS Arthur VERCKEN KONG Sam Onn Jacques VERGÈS

DUCH Pha

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

**DUCH Phary** 

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

CHEA Leang William SMITH Andrew CAYLEY

YET Chakriya

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ang

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

LOR Chunthy MOCH Sovannary HONG Kimsuon CHET Vannly Barnabé NEKUIE

Patrick BAUDOIN Emmanuel JACOMY Nushin SARKARATI

For Court Management Section:

**UCH Arun** 

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

## List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ANG UDOM	Khmer
MS. CHEA LEANG	Khmer
MR. IENG SARY	Khmer
MR. KARNAVAS	English
MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN	Khmer
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
The President, JUDGE NIL NONN	Khmer
MR. PESTMAN	English
MR. PICH ANG	Khmer
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer
MR. VERGÈS	French

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0902H)
- 3 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 6 [09.03.10]
- 7 Yesterday, moment before we adjourned the day's session, counsel
- 8 for Ieng Sary made a request that Ieng Sary allowed to make his
- 9 statement. The Chamber has already ruled that Ieng Sary could do
- 10 so today. The security personnels are now instructed to bring
- 11 Ieng Sary--
- 12 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 13 (No interpretation)
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Please be seated. Mr. Son Arun, you may now proceed.
- 16 MR. SON ARUN:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 18 On Monday, I already introduced the two lawyers who have just
- 19 taken an oath, Mr. Andrew Ianuzzi and another lawyer. I would
- 20 like the Court to recognize Mr. Andrew Ianuzzi today, because,
- 21 during the first hearing, you indicated that you would need
- 22 documented proof.
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 Indeed, we are now reviewing the documents, and that the
- 25 documents have to be translated into three languages, and perhaps

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 this is not yet the appropriate time for such recognition. We
- 2 already noted that the documents have been reviewed, but we have
- 3 not signed on the documents and they have not been available in
- 4 the three languages of the Court as yet.
- 5 [09.05.15]
- 6 Counsel Ang Udom, you may now proceed.
- 7 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 8 Mr. President, Your Honours, during today's session Mr. Ieng Sary
- 9 has a statement to make, but he allows me to read it on his
- 10 behalf, if Your Honours allow. This statement is about a page and
- 11 a half long, so it won't take much of the Court time --perhaps
- 12 two to three minutes -- for reading this.
- 13 (Judges deliberate)
- 14 [09.06.55]
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 The Chamber unanimously decides that the statement shall not be
- 17 read on behalf of the Accused since he is present. He should do
- 18 it.
- 19 The security personnel are now instructed to bring Ieng Sary to
- 20 the dock.
- 21 Ieng Sary, you -- rather, the personnel, security personnels are
- 22 also allowed to place Ieng Sary on the wheelchair if needed.
- 23 (The accused Ieng Sary is taken to the dock)
- 24 [09.08.55]
- 25 Mr. Ieng Sary, you may now proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 MR. IENG SARY:
- 2 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. Good
- 3 morning to everyone else here today and to all those follow the
- 4 proceedings.
- 5 [09.09.31]
- 6 In 1996, I received a royal pardon and amnesty. The then Co-Prime
- 7 Ministers negotiated the Royal Pardon and Amnesty. The National
- 8 Assembly approved the Royal Pardon and Amnesty, and the former
- 9 King, Norodom Sihanouk, granted the Royal Pardon and Amnesty. I'm
- 10 very exhausted. I, perhaps, cannot continue reading it because my
- 11 heart does not allow me to continue reading. I don't know how I
- 12 can do it. Perhaps you allow me to break a while? I may do it
- 13 again after this.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 How many more paragraphs have you got to read? Counsel Ang Udom,
- 16 can you advise the Court how many more paragraphs he needs to
- 17 continue reading?
- 18 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 19 Due to this fact, may we be allowed to read this statement on his
- 20 behalf, please?
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 The Chamber has already ruled on this and that you are not
- 23 allowed to read this on his behalf.
- 24 [09.11.37]
- 25 We know that he has short breath, but we will allow him some

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 time to break, and he can make the most of his time to read his
- 2 statement, which is about one page and a half, as we have been
- 3 informed by his counsel.
- 4 (Short pause)
- 5 [09.12.38]
- 6 MR. IENG SARY:
- 7 The former King, Norodom Sihanouk, granted the Royal Pardon and
- 8 Amnesty.
- 9 Your Honours decide that, based on the jurisdiction of this
- 10 special tribunal, that the Royal Pardon and Amnesty does not
- 11 apply. Though I disagree with the Trial Chamber's decision, I
- 12 respect the Trial Chamber's authority in rendering it.
- 13 With the deepest respect, I am troubled by the Trial Chamber's
- 14 refusal to grant a stay until the Supreme Court Chamber rules on
- 15 this matter.
- 16 [09.14.43]
- 17 I cannot respect this decision, especially since the Trial
- 18 Chamber could have issued its decision in a time fashion. Nothing
- 19 prevented you. I have been in custody for more than four years,
- 20 waiting for this trial. It will now begin with a major legal
- 21 issue not having been fully resolved.
- 22 Because the Trial Chamber is not acting correctly, I am of the
- 23 opinion that I should not participate in this trial until the
- 24 Supreme Court Chamber has ruled on the Royal Pardon and Amnesty.
- 25 However, out of respect for this institution, I will continue to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 participate as I have always done so since I was charged,
- 2 arrested, and brought to the ECCC detention facilities, down in
- 3 Phnom Penh, on the 21st of November 2011. Signature: Ieng Sary.
- 4 [09.17.34]
- 5 That's all for me, Your Honours.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Thank you, Mr. Ieng Sary.
- 8 Counsel Ang Udom, you may now proceed.
- 9 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 10 My apologies, Mr. Ieng Sary has made some mistakes in his reading
- of the text: the term "anuwat" in Khmer; he said "anukroa". I
- 12 think it is really a minor correction and I think, if the Court
- 13 allows, I may read the whole paragraph again for the Court.
- 14 [09.18.48]
- 15 Paragraph 2:
- 16 "Your Honours decided that, based on the jurisdiction of this
- 17 special tribunal, that the Royal Pardon and Amnesty does not
- 18 apply. Though I disagree with the Trial Chamber's decision, I
- 19 respect the Trial Chamber's authority in rendering it."
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 You indicated there are only two terms that you need correction.
- 22 Can you please clarify?
- 23 MR. ANG UDOM:
- 24 "With the deepest respect -- in paragraph three -- I am troubled
- 25 by the Trial Chamber's refusal to grant a stay until the Supreme

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Court Chamber rules on this issue."
- 2 I think the terms "until" and "apply" that have not been said
- 3 correctly in Khmer.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Thank you, Counsel. Security personnels are now instructed to
- 6 take Nuon rather, leng Sary back to his seat.
- 7 (The accused Ieng Sary leaves the dock)
- 8 [09.21.14]
- 9 Next we would like to proceed to counsel for Khieu Samphan, and
- 10 Khieu Samphan to be able to make his response to the opening
- 11 statements by the prosecutors.
- 12 Security personnels are now instructed to bring Khieu Samphan to
- 13 the dock.
- 14 (The accused Khieu Samphan is taken to the dock)
- 15 MR. PESTMAN:
- 16 Your Honours -
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may now proceed. Please be very brief
- 19 because we do not want any interference into the allocated time
- 20 as already decided to be offered to the counsel for Khieu
- 21 Samphan.
- 22 Counsel -- rather, Mr. Khieu Samphan, could you please be seated?
- 23 MR. PESTMAN:
- 24 I'm sorry to interrupt, Your Honours. I'll be short.
- 25 [09.22.55]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Yesterday, we were told we were not allowed to finish our
- 2 response to the prosecutor's opening statement. We would like to
- 3 object, for the record, to that ruling and we would like you to
- 4 reconsider it.
- 5 As was explained yesterday to us, you inferred that our client
- 6 had waived his right to respond. That inference was wrong, on
- 7 which I, of course -- a waiver is a right that can never be
- 8 waived -- never be inferred to have been waived. It has to be
- 9 done unequivocally, as I'm sure you're aware of.
- 10 [09.23.39]
- 11 I understand our application for disqualification was not well
- 12 received, and I understand we did not make ourselves popular with
- 13 this Court, but I think -- and I believe that that should not
- 14 mean that our client and that my national colleague and me should
- 15 be deprived of the right to respond to the opening statement.
- 16 I understand Khieu Samphan is seated. We can continue our
- 17 response after the Khieu Samphan team has finished; that would be
- 18 my suggestion.
- 19 [09.24.25]
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Let the Chamber clarify again. Yesterday, we made it clear
- 22 already that your counsel and your client had a half day to make
- 23 your statement, but one hour was used by the Accused Person and
- 24 that the remaining of the time was not used wisely by the
- 25 counsel, even repeated attempts by the Chamber to remind the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 counsel. And for that reason, your allocated time has expired on
- 2 the late afternoon of yesterday, so you have no more time
- 3 allocated to make such a statement, and I hope the message is
- 4 already clear.
- 5 [09.25.21]
- 6 Next, we would like to proceed to counsel for Khieu Samphan and
- 7 Mr. Khieu Samphan himself to make his statement.
- 8 Mr. Khieu Samphan, you are allowed to remain seated while reading
- 9 your statement, if you wish. You may now proceed.
- 10 MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN:
- 11 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours.
- 12 [09.26.00]
- 13 My sincere respects to all the monks in the public gallery and my
- 14 compatriots, fellow Cambodian citizens.
- 15 During the last two days, we have heard the opening statements by
- 16 the Co-Prosecutors within this Court. I am not much knowledgeable
- 17 of these procedures. Through my surmise, I can see that no one
- 18 understands these procedures very well because the Court has set
- 19 up everything only to facilitate its work for this trial.
- 20 [09.27.19]
- 21 The terms "Extraordinary Chambers", as it indicated, I believe
- 22 that such terms shall not be interpreted for the purpose of
- 23 providing evidence in response to what have been alleged. For the
- 24 last two days, however, I have felt that things seem to stray
- 25 beyond my expectation.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 At the beginning of the hearing, I have noted that the
- 2 Co-Prosecutors have conducted the guess-work with regard to the
- 3 accusations. The pre-emptory claims have been made and, more than
- 4 that, there are a lot more generalizations in it.
- 5 [09.28.50]
- 6 Today, Your Honours and Mr. President have given the floor --
- 7 given me the floor. How am I expected to respond to Mrs. Chea
- 8 Leang, the National Co-Prosecutor, who has failed to indicate any
- 9 names of the witnesses in her statement? And more frequently, she
- 10 has quoted only anonymous testimony. I cannot respond to that
- 11 unless she has indicated clearly to the facts and evidence.
- 12 How can I respond to the National Co-Prosecutor when most of her
- 13 presentation mainly relied on the extracts from books and
- 14 newspapers? As far as I know, historians, journalists,
- 15 chroniclers or novelists are not judges. None of these people
- 16 have taken an oath to become an investigating judge in order to
- 17 support the charges or to dismiss the charges in their opinion.
- 18 [09.31.04]
- 19 The journalists that you cited in your presentation are not
- 20 legally bound by the law. Of course, they are entitled to be
- 21 wrong, to be biased, and to be partial, and to express their
- 22 opinions freely, without thinking in details on any particular
- 23 issue.
- 24 May I remind you, Madam Co-Prosecutor, that, after 17 April 1975,
- 25 a France newspaper, "Le Monde", published an article entitled

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

10

1 "Phnom Penh Liberated". I believe you would surely criticize me

- 2 if I take the opportunity to rely on that article in the
- 3 newspaper. For that reason -- which leads me to believe that, as
- 4 Madam Co-Prosecutor have read too many articles from the
- 5 newspapers, you seem to fully believe that I committed this crime
- 6 or that crime, which are of course my main concerns because this
- 7 case involves me personally, it is not a conference or a
- 8 political meeting.
- 9 Also in regard to this point, your colleague, the International
- 10 Co-Prosecutor, made a joke of the fact that, during the
- 11 Democratic Kampuchea regime, Khmer people did not have the right
- 12 to have a fair trial as the trial being conducted today.
- 13 [09.34.07]
- 14 What type of trials that I shall be given 36 years after what
- 15 happened by merely relying on anonymous witnesses, various
- 16 newspapers articles, and books written by journalists?
- 17 The Co-Prosecutor records that, while I was a student, I joined
- 18 in the meetings organized by the communist students in Paris. You
- 19 seem to allege that the joint criminal enterprise that I was
- 20 alleged to be involved with my co-accused, commence from that
- 21 time.
- 22 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, may I remind you that, while in Paris, I also
- 23 studied, and as a result, I also wrote my doctoral thesis on
- 24 economics; it was a success. In fact, I was interested in the
- 25 Communist doctrine and I also studied about those theories. So

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 what--
- 2 Today you may see it as a joke. However, I shall remind you that,
- 3 at that time, Communism is the one movement that gave hope to
- 4 million youth around the world. What I actually wanted at that
- 5 time is the best experience for my country -- that is for
- 6 Cambodia.
- 7 [09.37.46]
- 8 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, by alleging me that I am representing the
- 9 malignant forces, you would lead people to believe that my youth
- 10 was that of a murderer.
- 11 However, you still attempted to fabricate by raising that, after
- 12 my completion of my study in France, I returned to Cambodia
- 13 concealing my vicious connection and try to show the gentle side
- 14 of myself. And it is, as you presumed, based on this trickery of
- 15 mine that I was elected to be people's representative twice and
- 16 subsequently appointed by Sihanouk as the State Secretary.
- 17 While listening to you, I questioned myself. Do you in fact
- 18 believe what you say, or maybe you are blinded in your idea as
- 19 you really attempted just to punish me at whatever cost? You
- 20 seemed to wanting everybody to listen to your fairy-tale, to the
- 21 point that you said the evidence would show that I am a phantom
- 22 because the government officers suspected that I was a leftist,
- 23 and I was in panic and fled to the forest by myself.
- 24 [09.41.10]
- 25 However, Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you forget that my leftism, as you

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 raised, is my opposition against Lon Nol and his clique, whom
- 2 were mistakenly called -- recalled by Sihanouk in 1969, at the
- 3 time that the coup d'état was about to be prepared to topple the
- 4 King Sihanouk.
- 5 You seem to believe that what I say is wrong. If that is the
- 6 case, why don't you invite the King Sihanouk to join the dock
- 7 with me?
- 8 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you seem to forget that, between January 1970
- 9 and August 1973, that is the period of two and a half years, the
- 10 United States carpeted the small Kampuchean territory with bombs,
- 11 outnumbered those numbers of bombs the alliance used during the
- 12 Second World War everywhere, including the two big bombs dropped
- 13 on Hiroshima and Nagasaki.
- 14 [09.44.00]
- 15 Could you imagine what my country faced after such a bloody
- 16 killing and war? You may assist in thinking what the future is
- 17 and what's like for Cambodian people and the country as a whole
- 18 during such carpet bombing. No, I can see you cannot make such an
- 19 imagination.
- 20 When you think of something that would benefit you, that is for
- 21 the period between '75 and '79, you just ignored or fabricate the
- 22 context within that period of history.
- 23 Regardless you like or dislike it, majority of Cambodian people
- 24 gave their support to us for our opposition against the Lon Nol
- 25 regime. Regardless you like it or not, we established the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

13

1 resistance movement against the depression during the coup d'état

- 2 made by Lon Nol in 1970 and, at the time, the Vietnamese war
- 3 ignited in Cambodia.
- 4 [09.46.05]
- 5 I myself was given the responsibility to liaise with the King
- 6 Sihanouk, and the resistance inside the country, and the
- 7 leadership of the Communist Party of Kampuchea. Is that a crime?
- 8 I was accused of assisting Pol Pot to come into power, but
- 9 personally I clearly know that I contributed to the national
- 10 reconciliation in order to defend my country, to be a nation with
- 11 a sovereign, independent both toward the United States, to the
- 12 Communist Vietnam, who always tries to find all sort of means to
- 13 include Cambodia into the Chinese -- into the Indo-Chinese
- 14 Federation controlled by Vietnam.
- 15 In such a situation, how dare that you claim that I had the idea
- 16 of wanting to destroy the Cambodian people, whom I loved the
- 17 most, and more than everything else and whom I tried to defend
- 18 through my entire life?
- 19 [09.48.16]
- 20 What you had raised on Monday and Tuesday are monumentally
- 21 biased. When listening to you, based on those senseless stories
- 22 in order to convince people to believe that they are the truth, I
- 23 have the feeling that you really want my head on the block,
- 24 either through the superfluous trial or through the affront,
- 25 through the victims whom you declare to defend them.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 As a matter of fact, the only thing that I feel better about
- 2 after listening to you for so long is your acknowledgement that,
- 3 before the liberation of Phnom Penh on 17 April 1975, the city
- 4 was about to become the major disaster for human lives. You
- 5 acknowledged that, at the time, there was nothing to eat and
- 6 there was no medicines. As I have already stated, majority of the
- 7 people fled from the vicious bombing by the United States to
- 8 shelter in the city in the expectation that they would receive
- 9 the protection. When you spoke all those words, even if I did not
- 10 have even a minor responsibility for the decision to evacuate the
- 11 people from the city, I still have a small hope, as in my mind I
- 12 think you would not proceed to charge me based on the situations
- 13 that you acknowledged, that is the chaotic situation at the time.
- 14 This situation already existed before my arrival in Phnom Penh
- 15 city.
- 16 [09.52.26]
- 17 However, my hope was short-lived. Then you talked about the
- 18 thousands of pages of documents, and to you it seemed those
- 19 numbers of thousands of pages are actually the evidence.
- 20 On the other hand, everybody should have access to those
- 21 thousands of documents, and yet those thousands of documents have
- 22 yet to be translated, either into English, or into French, or
- 23 into both.
- 24 Moreover, had you, yourself, read all those documents? It is hard
- 25 for me to believe that you have. And if you were to have read

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 them all, do you still maintain your position and allege that I'm
- 2 a member of the Standing Committee of the CPK, when there is not
- 3 even a single document identifying me as a member of the Standing
- 4 Committee and when you only have 19 of the records of the
- 5 meetings, which could be in the number between 150 to 200
- 6 meetings to be held between 1975 and 1979?
- 7 [09.54.48]
- 8 If you have read the case file, are you're still in the opinion
- 9 to claim that, amongst those documents, there must be evidence to
- 10 support your allegation, at the time during the 19 meetings, that
- 11 you have in your hand as evidence, that I in fact participated in
- 12 14 out of those 19 meetings? I spoke only twice on the very
- 13 subject under my responsibility, and it had nothing at all to do
- 14 with the crimes that you alleged against me.
- 15 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, why there is no document confirming that I am
- 16 an official member of the Standing Committee? Why there is no
- 17 document confirming I am the Head of the Political Office 870?
- 18 There is nothing else besides the fact that I am not a member of
- 19 the Committee, that I am not the chief of the Political Office
- 20 870. That is the truth, regardless -- whether you like it or not.
- 21 [09.57.35]
- 22 I noted, when reading your recent indictment, that the
- 23 prosecution had invented an expression, the term "Party Centre".
- 24 On Monday and Tuesday, I listened with some concern as you
- 25 employed this term once again as a way of avoiding the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

16

1 distinctions which bother you but which nonetheless existed at

- 2 the time.
- 3 Thus, you would have the public belief that everybody is lumped
- 4 together in the same bag, but it's a lie, a manipulation. In
- 5 fact, you know very well that Democratic Kampuchea was very
- 6 structured, compartmentalized and had a penchant for secrecy.
- 7 Mr. Co-Prosecutor, you will also say that the fact that I was
- 8 appointed the President of the State Presidium of the Democratic
- 9 Kampuchea committed me to a joint criminal enterprise; in which
- 10 case, why are you not prosecuting King Norodom Sihanouk?
- 11 You are nonetheless bound to be aware that this presidium was
- 12 mere window dressing, to the extent that it never even met. What
- 13 criminal enterprise can be -- or rather can one belong to if one
- 14 has been and continues to be a phantom?
- 15 [10.01.17]
- 16 You also stated that I must have been aware of the conditions
- 17 endured by Cambodians during the period and the examination
- 18 because I visited the dam work sites.
- 19 But do you really think, Mr. Co-Prosecutor, that, when I visited
- 20 these work sites alone or accompanied by the King, workers were
- 21 being murdered in front of us with hoes or bullets in the back of
- 22 the neck?
- 23 At that point, your words seemed just as absurd as when, the day
- 24 before, the National Co-Prosecutor had had claimed that Angkar
- 25 gave individual instructions for forced marriages and personally

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 monitored them to see that they were physically consummated. Of
- 2 course, I wasn't a member of Angkar, but I imagine that, with a
- 3 country to run, its members had other things to do than check if
- 4 people were having sex. The comment is derisory, and I am almost
- 5 ashamed to put it into words; I only do so to give you an answer.
- 6 [10.04.12]
- 7 The Co-Investigating Judges and a few journalists have taken me
- 8 to task for having changed my views over time in my statements
- 9 and in my books. It is true that I have developed, and that
- 10 development took place in keeping with the knowledge I was
- 11 gaining and my meditations on this period of history. Is my
- 12 thought process to be held against me as well? I find that hard
- 13 to believe.
- 14 My lawyers advise me to restrict my comments to events as I saw
- 15 and knew them at the time of the facts. But, Your Honours, I
- 16 would ask you to bear in mind that it's not always easy, because
- 17 36 years have gone by since 1975, and in 36 years, a man learns
- 18 and changes.
- 19 [10.06.45]
- 20 Despite everything I have said, I continue to hope that this
- 21 trial will at least give me an opportunity to explain to the
- 22 Cambodian public how it is possible for me to have occupied an
- 23 official senior position in Democratic Kampuchea without having
- 24 been a part of the decision-making process and without having
- 25 been informed of all that was happening in our country, of all

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 the horrors that Madam Co-Prosecutor told us about on Monday the
- 2 21st of November.
- 3 I have pledged before my nation that I will do my best to
- 4 participate in this proceeding, and I will try to live up to that
- 5 pledge. However, I believe that the more procedures at the Court
- 6 evolve, the more the monks and the public see, understand, judge
- 7 the issues by yourselves.
- 8 Thank you very much.
- 9 [10.09.22]
- 10 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 12 Security personnels are now instructed to take Khieu Samphan back
- 13 to his allocated seat.
- 14 (The accused Khieu Samphan leaves the dock)
- 15 [10.10.00]
- 16 In order to ensure the smooth flow for statement by Khieu
- 17 Samphan's counsel, we may take 20 minutes break. We'll resume
- 18 after this to hear the statement made by counsel for Khieu
- 19 Samphan in response to the opening statements by the
- 20 Co-Prosecutors.
- 21 (Judges exit courtroom)
- 22 (Court recesses from 1010H to 1033H)
- 23 (Judges enter courtroom)
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 We shall now give the floor to the defence team of Khieu Samphan
- 2 to continue with their brief response to the opening statements
- 3 made by the Co-Prosecutors.
- 4 The co-defence counsel, you are reminded you have half a day for
- 5 your brief response. Due to some interruption, we can proceed
- 6 until 12.20.
- 7 [10.34.39]
- 8 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 9 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 10 Good morning, Your Honours. My sincere respect to Venerable
- 11 Monks. Good morning, my compatriots, Cambodian people, and good
- 12 morning to everyone.
- 13 Before I touch upon the issues, I'd like to make a brief
- 14 observation. I have already been recognized on Friday by the
- 15 ECCC, and now it is my turn to make my brief response, and this
- 16 sudden time constraint put some pressure on me to provide an
- 17 effective brief response to the opening statements made by the
- 18 prosecution.
- 19 However, what the defence team has done so far has some benefits
- 20 for me to continue with the proceedings, and I'd like now to
- 21 proceed with my brief response.
- 22 [10.36.09]
- 23 After having heard the charges presented by the prosecution in
- 24 the opening statements against my client, Mr. Khieu Samphan, I
- 25 understand and acknowledge it is a difficult process to allege my

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 client, based on the facts that the crimes have been committed
- 2 for so long. What will be debated in this Court will subsequently
- 3 reveal the truth, based on the testimonies by witnesses or
- 4 evidence, to reveal what happened 36 years ago. That means we
- 5 rely on the surviving documents or the memory of the witnesses.
- 6 Due to this time lapse, memory probably cannot be completely
- 7 relied upon.
- 8 Both the inculpatory and exculpatory evidence will be restricted
- 9 due to the time passage.
- 10 [10.37.34]
- 11 The prosecution rest their allegations relying on the texts of
- 12 authors, or journalists, or researchers. Those texts have not yet
- 13 verified whether they represent the facts.
- 14 As we're all aware, some texts were only produced several years
- 15 after what happened.
- 16 And I'd like to seek the Bench's attention to focus on three
- 17 points in relation to the allegations raised by the prosecution.
- 18 One, to verify whether the acts committed during the Democratic
- 19 Kampuchea regime, from the 17 April '75 to the 6 January 1979,
- 20 which fall under the temporal jurisdiction of the ECCC, are
- 21 actually the offences as such. As a defence counsel, I do not
- 22 reject that certain acts were committed during that regime. The
- 23 question is whether the acts are offences falling under the
- 24 jurisdiction of the ECCC.
- 25 The prosecution stated that, based on the Law on the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Establishment of the ECCC, this Court has jurisdiction to examine
- 2 Articles 4, 5 and 6 of the ECCC Law, which is the foundation of
- 3 the charges.
- 4 Number 3, even if the acts committed under the jurisdiction of
- 5 the ECCC and they are indeed the offences, the question to be
- 6 asked is whether my client Khieu Samphan is responsible for those
- 7 acts or offences.
- 8 [10.40.35]
- 9 For that reason, the presentation of evidence shall be clearly
- 10 beyond reasonable doubt, which is, as we all know, the burden of
- 11 the prosecution to do so.
- 12 What I can foresee regarding the overview of this case is that it
- 13 seems this is a trial between the Communist ideology and the
- 14 Western Ideology. Why? Because, when the events happened during
- 15 the Democratic Kampuchea regime and as he mentioned, as Mr. Khieu
- 16 Samphan mentioned, a lot of events happened during his youth.
- 17 Communism was sprayed everywhere throughout the world, and for
- 18 more than half a century, such a Communist ideology has
- 19 subsequently disappeared and emerged was the Western Ideology.
- 20 [10.42.17]
- 21 The issue of the passage of time would have a great impact on the
- 22 application of law. During the DK period, political violence was
- 23 regarded as a simple matter for politicians. In Cambodia, there
- 24 is a saying: When you are playing with politics, you win -- if
- 25 you win, you become a King, and if you lose, you die or go to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

22

1 prison. So political violence existed within the context of the

- 2 society, human society, not only in Cambodia, due to the
- 3 differences in their ideological tendency and their choices of
- 4 adherence to politics.
- 5 That when we talk -- in hindsight, now let me refer to what
- 6 happened now and the issue of globalization. What happened
- 7 happened a long time ago, and some nations have a strong belief
- 8 that we can actually benefit from the experience through those
- 9 conflicts and ideologies. The new ideology, based on the modern
- 10 development, supersedes what happened in the past.
- 11 The question we so ask is that: The human rights condition, for
- 12 example freedom of speech, in one regime, with only one party in
- 13 power, can be universal and non-restricted? Of course not.
- 14 [10.44.41]
- 15 A real example is that in Cambodia, after the fall of the
- 16 Democratic Kampuchea regime, at that time, that is merely after
- 17 the fall, Cambodia was known as People Republic of Cambodia. And
- 18 for that regime, do you think that freedom was given to every
- 19 citizen? Of course not. At that time, it was the transitional
- 20 period, and based on that, the transition cannot be done in one
- 21 go, it is a gradual effect. And until 1993, when we have the
- 22 Constitution acknowledging the right of the people and the
- 23 political right, and -- political offences were eliminated. And
- 24 based on this, the spirit of the Constitution, which is a result
- 25 of the Paris Agreement in 1991, it gives the right to the people

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 to establish their political parties and to participate in the
- 2 political activities.
- 3 [10.46.34]
- 4 What I want to say is that, Your Honours, the application of the
- 5 law at the present time on an act that occurred half a century
- 6 ago is a complicated issue. We cannot rely on our current view to
- 7 be fully applied to what happened many, many years ago.
- 8 Your Honours, regarding my client, Mr. Khieu Samphan, I'd like to
- 9 make the following observations. Indeed, the prosecution raise
- 10 some background of Mr. Khieu Samphan. And just before the break,
- 11 we heard his response regarding his background. And as we can
- 12 see, there are a number of good points of the assistance of Mr.
- 13 Khieu Samphan through his youth to his current age. And what are
- 14 those good points that we can see?
- 15 Mr. Khieu Samphan is an intellectual who started a doctoral
- 16 degree and obtained such a degree overseas. Khieu Samphan also
- 17 actively involved in the activities of building the country
- 18 during the Sangkum Reastr Niyum. He was also a people
- 19 representative and was appointed as the State Secretary, which is
- 20 a very high status within the government.
- 21 [10.48.40]
- 22 In addition, his participation in liberating the country through
- 23 the movement of Democratic Kampuchea is not intention to destroy
- 24 the country. As he informed Your Honours, he was charged in
- 25 liaising with DK and King Sihanouk after the coup d'état by Lon

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Nol in 1970.
- 2 So his active involvement in liberating the country, which he
- 3 failed, and the control of foreign countries was a proper one,
- 4 based on the view at that time, because, as a citizen of a
- 5 country, the idea of patriotism is a major one. If someone loves
- 6 the country, that person will be liked by the rest of the
- 7 citizens of the country, and of course that spirit remains 'til
- 8 today. For that reason, his involvement resulted in victory. This
- 9 does not mean he alone brought about the victory.
- 10 And finally, when the victory was achieved by his involvement and
- 11 the Democratic Kampuchea team, what happened to Cambodia?
- 12 The prosecution presented some points regarding this matter; for
- 13 instance the evacuation of people, forced labour, and some other
- 14 acts. The question we shall ask is that whether those acts are
- 15 under -- or are in the hands of Mr. Khieu Samphan. Of course, you
- 16 would remember that Mr. Khieu Samphan was appointed the President
- 17 of the State Presidium after the resignation of Sihanouk.
- 18 [10.51.53]
- 19 At that point, Cambodia seems to have two former Heads of State:
- 20 one was the King Sihanouk, and two, my client, Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 21 Your Honours, I'd like to present a brief biography of my client,
- 22 which was prepared by the Public Affairs Section of the ECCC. I'd
- 23 like to seek your permission to do so.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Yes, you may proceed.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 [10.53.00]
- 2 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 3 In that biography, which was published by the ECCC on its
- 4 website, it -- I'd like to read the relevant page: "In 1975 he
- 5 was appointed [Head of State of Democratic Kampuchea]."
- 6 I believe that Your Honours and everyone would review this
- 7 paragraph. I think this is a defect by the Public Affairs Section
- 8 of the ECCC. When it states that Mr. Khieu Samphan was appointed
- 9 the Head of State or the President of the State Presidium, was
- 10 not in 1975, but it was a year after, that is one year after the
- 11 resignation of King Sihanouk.
- 12 And I would like to seek Your Honours to issue an order for the
- 13 correction on this point. To the layperson, it seems this is just
- 14 a minor mistake, but it could have a dire consequence on the
- 15 actual history of Cambodia.
- 16 [10.55.11]
- 17 Let me touch upon this issue that is on the responsibility of Mr.
- 18 Khieu Samphan as the Head of State of the Democratic Kampuchea. I
- 19 believe the prosecution already heard the statement read by Khieu
- 20 Samphan, (inaudible) he was the Head of the Democratic Kampuchea.
- 21 And why the King Sihanouk is not summoned to appear before this
- 22 Chamber? What is the difference?
- 23 I believe this Court cannot summon the King Sihanouk to provide
- 24 clarification on this issue. However, I believe his handwritten
- 25 notes have been published regarding his life and affairs, and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 that can be used by the Chamber.
- 2 As the Head of State of Democratic Kampuchea during the first
- 3 year, the King did not have any real power to administer
- 4 Democratic Kampuchea regime. And what happened when Khieu Samphan
- 5 replaced him? What kind of power that Khieu Samphan was given by
- 6 Democratic Kampuchea? Of course, we cannot forget the Democratic
- 7 Kampuchea Constitution which was adopted, and there was also one
- 8 article stating about the President of the State Presidium.
- 9 [10.57.26]
- 10 I'd like to urge Your Honours to see the actual role and
- 11 authority that Khieu Samphan has as Head of State of Democratic
- 12 Kampuchea and what kind of activities that he actually performed
- in exercising his authority given by the DK.
- 14 I believe the prosecution would not have any evidence to prove
- 15 that the Head of State of the DK had his own office or cabinet to
- 16 function. For the Head of State representing a country with no
- 17 real office, no support staff or secretariat, and only by
- 18 himself, can that Head of State exercise his authority?
- 19 Point number two regarding other roles presented by the
- 20 prosecution, for instance that Mr. Khieu Samphan is the Head of
- 21 the Political Office M-870, I think there is some
- 22 misunderstandings in the allegations raised by the prosecution.
- 23 [10.59.35]
- 24 Actually, Office M-870 was used during the DK period relying on
- 25 two offices: one is the 870 Committee, and one is the 870 Office.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 The documents that the prosecution will show would be confusing
- 2 whether those documents were sent to M-870 as an office or to
- 3 M-870 as 870 Committee.
- 4 As the prosecution indicated the structure within M-870 and the
- 5 DK regime, it represented the Standing Committee. The Standing
- 6 Committee is the one who conducted daily activities on behalf of
- 7 the DK regime, and the Office 870 is merely a political office
- 8 with one person in charge, monitored and reported.
- 9 [11.01.08]
- 10 It seems to be a coincidence that Mr. Khieu Samphan sat together
- 11 with one person who was in charge of Office 870, and there lies
- 12 the confusion that the office belonged to him.
- 13 When documents were sent from zones or sectors to this office,
- 14 then the confusion confusion started.
- 15 During the DK period, there is no modern means of communication.
- 16 Messages were sent as letters, or typewritten letters, or
- 17 handwritten letters, and there was no computer, there was no
- 18 modern telephone system as we have at the present day. So the
- 19 level of communication was limited. So what are the actual levels
- 20 of communications which we can rely upon? Currently, during these
- 21 Internet errors, information can be passed on or through
- 22 immediately, but unfortunately just one -- biography of my
- 23 client, there is already a mistake. Of course, there have been
- 24 numerous publications regarding his biography, and there are
- 25 still mistakes during this time. Can you imagine discrepancies or

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 mistakes made during such time?
- 2 So what can the Chamber believe where's all those documents that
- 3 will presented by the prosecution? What is the level of
- 4 credibility?
- 5 [11.03.43]
- 6 During the time of the presentation of charges by the
- 7 prosecution, sometimes they seem to went further, that they seem
- 8 to already made their own conclusion, like their final statement,
- 9 and some witnesses were mentioned to support their arguments.
- 10 I think the debate proceedings have yet to be started, so I will
- 11 not respond to those testimonies or the witnesses' arrest by the
- 12 prosecution.
- 13 Also, in the Cambodian domestic practice, the opening statement
- 14 by the defence counsel never happened before. I believe this is a
- 15 good measure. It will be good if it can be adopted in the
- 16 criminal proceeding in Cambodia that is allowing such a
- 17 proceeding in the domestic practice.
- 18 So the critical aspects of the opening statement on the defence
- 19 side could be inadequate because of this very reason.
- 20 [11.05.42]
- 21 I'd like also to bring some points in my response to the opening
- 22 statements of the prosecution.
- 23 Concerning the forced evacuation, the prosecution alleged that
- 24 Mr. Khieu Samphan must have knowledge of it and that they will
- 25 show the evidence and testimonies from witnesses that Mr. Khieu

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

29

1 Samphan is one of those responsible for such forced evacuation.

- 2 However, what I see is contradictory to what has been raised by
- 3 the prosecution. In order for an evidence to be accepted in this
- 4 Court, it has to be consistent with the acts occurred.
- 5 We acknowledge some acts, cruel acts, were committed during the
- 6 DK period. However, the presentation of evidence that Mr. Khieu
- 7 Samphan was involved and responsible for those acts is a separate
- 8 matter. We will wait until the presentation of the evidence
- 9 beyond reasonable doubt by the prosecution. As they claimed in
- 10 their opening statements, that my client -- that they, the
- 11 prosecution, will bear the burden of presenting the evidence
- 12 beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 13 [11.07.57]
- 14 The issue whether my client has a knowledge of the events is an
- 15 important issue. The prosecution applied a form of allegation
- 16 against my client that is a joint criminal enterprise principle.
- 17 That means, if one of those people within his team committed an
- 18 act and that he was aware of it, he shall also be responsible. I
- 19 do not want to touch upon all the elements of the joint criminal
- 20 enterprise.
- 21 [11.08.47]
- 22 So based on the view of the prosecution, that the hands of the
- 23 criminals did not have any black stains on them but they are
- 24 within the ocean of blood. Their hands are clean but there was an
- 25 ocean of blood, not even a blood pool, but an ocean of blood. I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 think it seems like this is an exaggeration by the prosecution to
- 2 make it seem that the Accused had to be responsible for those
- 3 gigantic crimes committed.
- 4 What do we mean by the "ocean of blood"? What is the size of the
- 5 ocean? You can look Tonle Sap; Tonle Sap is a very big river but
- 6 it is not called an ocean. An ocean of blood, whether -- can it
- 7 be as big as the Tonle Sap Lake?
- 8 Sometimes the prosecution seems to play with words to support
- 9 their arguments in their charges. Of course, playing with words
- 10 is not ascertaining the truth. It's just displaying the art of
- 11 using a language, and that illustrates widely in theatre or at
- 12 cinema.
- 13 And I'd like to bring this observation to Your Honours that it is
- 14 to actually see beyond the play of words expressed by the
- 15 prosecution in order to support their allegations.
- 16 [11.11.23]
- 17 The issue of forced labour.
- 18 I listened to the charges rendered by the prosecution, that my
- 19 client had knowledge of the forced labour and that he also
- 20 participated, as he also went for site visits. However, as stated
- 21 by my client this morning, he went to certain locations not to
- 22 inspect the work, but it was a visit. On some occasions, he was
- 23 required to accompany the King Sihanouk for a visit.
- 24 So the question is: When such a visit occurred, can we actually
- 25 prove what happened of all those people in the Democratic

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Kampuchea regime? Can we prove the acts for such a visit? What
- 2 Khieu Samphan witnessed was only scenes which was already
- 3 pre-arranged. It was pre-arranged in order to indicate to him
- 4 that what happened at the (inaudible) was actually the
- 5 development in the country.
- 6 [11.13.25]
- 7 Can we also ask the question whether Khieu Samphan can intervene?
- 8 Of course, the prosecution will claim that my client was
- 9 involved, and what happened at that time was in the knowledge of
- 10 my client, and that he also actively participated, but the
- 11 reality is different.
- 12 What we can say is the distinction in his authority, what he was
- 13 allowed to know and what he was not allowed to know. He could not
- 14 know everything. In particular, the situation during the DK
- 15 period was one that one shall only be mindful of his or her own
- 16 work. It means one has to mind his or her own business and nobody
- 17 else. That was the restriction.
- 18 And for that reason, nobody else cared about any other -- any
- 19 work or anybody else. They only paid attention to his or her own
- 20 assigned work. And that's based on instructions or orders given
- 21 from the upper echelon, and the one who had to implement it had
- 22 to do so within his or her own responsibility.
- 23 [11.15.30]
- 24 Another point that the prosecution raised is the purges of the
- 25 cadre internally, regarding the decision made on the 30 of March

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 1976, that within certain zones or areas the responsibility was
- 2 for those at that leadership level at that location. They have
- 3 the authority to smash the enemies within their respective
- 4 location, for example at a Ministry or Office.
- 5 And the prosecution put the responsibility of arrest, for example
- 6 of the arrest of Panq, on the responsibility of Khieu Samphan.
- 7 [11.16.36]
- 8 What you showed, rely on that decision and that Mr. Khieu Samphan
- 9 shall be responsible, cannot be accepted. Why? Because, if you
- 10 only look at the title of the decision, it seems the only word
- 11 "smash" is there, but when you look at the entire content of that
- 12 letter, you will also see other points, it's not only about a
- 13 smashing. This does not mean that in one zone, when one person
- 14 disappeared or killed, then the chief of that zone had to be
- 15 responsible; there had to be evidence indicating the acts
- 16 committed and the intent to commit an act. Of course, we are
- 17 aware of the legal requirements of the element of committing a
- 18 crime.
- 19 [11.18.16]
- 20 The prosecution also presented their statement regarding the
- 21 genocide of Cham and Vietnamese and also the war crimes against
- 22 the Vietnamese. We can only access these allegations when the
- 23 time comes for the debating on those evidence. For that reason, I
- 24 will not respond at this moment to those charges.
- 25 I'd just like to say that genocide does not merely involve the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 killing of people. Not every killing is a crime, and not every
- 2 killing is a murder, and not every murder is a genocide. So we
- 3 need to analyze the subjective and the objective elements of the
- 4 crime in order to see whether there is any quilt.
- 5 [11.19.54]
- 6 Another point raised by the prosecution: that the Democratic
- 7 Kampuchea regime virtually enslaved everyone in Cambodia at the
- 8 time. Of course, we cannot fully accept this allegation.
- 9 If you refer to the entire Cambodian population, including my
- 10 client, Khieu Samphan, it means he was also enslaved during that
- 11 period. And it means -- at that time Pol Pot was alive, so Pol
- 12 Pot was also a slave; is that so? So this is just like a one fit
- 13 all, which is incorrect. We cannot say it's a one size fit all,
- 14 we have to know the concrete number, for instance. For example,
- 15 on the deaths of people during Democratic Kampuchea, I did not
- 16 hear any evidence from the prosecution of the exact number of the
- 17 deaths during the Democratic Kampuchea and what are the causes of
- 18 those deaths. And I hope the prosecution will indicate the actual
- 19 number of deaths.
- 20 [11.21.34]
- 21 There are numerous causes of death during the Democratic
- 22 Kampuchea, it's not only about murder, killing or execution. So
- 23 the loss of lives of Cambodian people at the time was due to
- 24 various causes, and if one only presumes that it was all the --
- 25 all at the cause of the Democratic Kampuchea, that is a wrong

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 assumption.
- 2 When the regime fell, the situation in the country was chaotic.
- 3 There was no real statistics showing actual number of the
- 4 population. People died of various causes, and some who survived
- 5 were probably mistakenly counted as dead. They may have fled to
- 6 the third countries or to other neighbouring countries, but the
- 7 statistics show they disappeared from Cambodia, so they were
- 8 presumed dead.
- 9 [11.23.02]
- 10 We can also refer to the actuals encountered by my client, Khieu
- 11 Samphan, when he left Cambodia in 1967, and that, as the
- 12 prosecution claimed, he disappeared for a while and then he
- 13 reappeared. And then it was -- he was referred to as the three
- 14 ghosts.
- 15 So we need also to actually assess the situation concretely and
- 16 firmly, based on actual evidence. Maybe people presumed dead, but
- 17 in fact they were not. We need to know the exact number of deaths
- 18 and the actual cause of death.
- 19 [11.23.58]
- 20 Another point presented by the prosecution is that this trial is
- 21 not revenge, but in seeking the truth. It seems to be positive
- 22 and pleasant to listen to when such a statement is made, but when
- 23 you come to seeking evidence in a criminal matter, this is
- 24 inappropriate.
- 25 If we do not revenge -- do not seek the truth, then we -- there

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 should be a truth commission as the one set up in Africa. I mean,
- 2 there will be no criminal charges, no imprisonment. But here,
- 3 there will be criminal punishment, and the -- one of the four
- 4 principles of criminal punishment is revenge. There shall be an
- 5 element of revenge in it.
- 6 But such a revenge is done legally, based on the law, not a
- 7 physical exercise or physical attack.
- 8 When it comes to the presentation of evidence that my client,
- 9 Khieu Samphan, participated in the Standing Committee's meetings
- 10 on numerous occasions, that is, based on the 19 meeting minutes,
- 11 he presented in 14 of them. And in the chart presented by the
- 12 prosecution, that he was in the third rank in those meetings; it
- 13 means his presence was at a senior level.
- 14 [11.26.06]
- 15 And the prosecution made a conclusion that Khieu Samphan should
- 16 have known about the decisions made by the Standing Committee as
- 17 he participated on several occasions. The reality is on the
- 18 contrary.
- 19 I'd like Your Honour to carefully examine each meeting's minute.
- 20 Are they real or are they fake, and why? Those records, or the
- 21 majority of them, did not have any signature as the one who
- 22 actually took that minute. There was no indication of the
- 23 identification or that it is a proper record. It was merely a
- 24 typewritten record, and of course it could have been manipulated
- or it could have been typed at a later stage.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 [11.27.34]
- 2 We need to put this into real situation, whether we have any
- 3 doubt on this. Of course, based on the law, if there is any doubt
- 4 in any evidence, the benefit shall be that of the Accused.
- 5 To sum up, I'd like to draw Your Honours' attention to a
- 6 situation that happened during the Democratic Kampuchea regime,
- 7 the situation which constituted a chaotic environment at the
- 8 initial stage of the victory by the Khmer Rouge.
- 9 [11.28.37]
- 10 You could imagine how such chaotic situation impact on the social
- 11 fabric as a whole. What caused this chaos? Why anarchy was the
- 12 matter to be raised at that time?
- 13 Please be informed that the victory by the DK was resulted from a
- 14 war, so there could have been the opposing parties in the country
- 15 at that time. Those opposing parties would take revenge, would
- 16 kill as -- kill others for that cause.
- 17 I would like to draw your attention also to another example of
- 18 what happened at island -- the Diamond Island, during the Water
- 19 Festival, last year. During very small chaotic situation, the
- 20 lives, hundreds of lives of Cambodian people perished. More than
- 21 300 people died. So that only was a very small chaotic situation
- 22 in a very confined area.
- 23 [11.30.20]
- 24 What happened to the chaotic situation in the whole country at
- 25 that time during the Democratic Kampuchea? We could imagine that

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

37

1 the situation would be much deteriorating and worse than this. I

- 2 have noted several other international events, for example like
- 3 natural disasters like flood or earthquake. When these happened,
- 4 people panic and they had to move places. And during such
- 5 situation, there are -- there could have been other acts, legal
- 6 and illegal, happen. Even in the United States, so far as I
- 7 recall, there was a Katrina storm which destroyed several homes,
- 8 and at that time, the cameraman who was reporting on the scene
- 9 observed the chaotic situation and observed the looting, anarchy,
- 10 raping, and so on and so forth. And that happened in the heart of
- 11 America, and it happened very recently.
- 12 [11.32.03]
- 13 What about a situation that happened more than 30 years ago,
- 14 where people did not enjoy the state-of-the-art equipment to
- 15 control people?
- 16 These chaotic situations, indeed, have caused other consequences
- 17 and other incidents, including the rape, the murder, etc.,
- 18 because the new Government of the Democratic Kampuchea could not
- 19 take full control of the situation immediately upon taking over
- 20 the country, because the competence of the cadres, at that time,
- 21 were not enough or there was a lack of significant human
- 22 resources to deal with the appalling situation. There was no such
- 23 service to assist in a situation where there could be resistance
- 24 or chaotic situation. So these phenomenons have caused other
- 25 harms to the ruling by the Democratic Kampuchea when it had not

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 installed the proper organized body yet. I refer to the organized
- 2 body when the institution was well organized or structured.
- 3 [11.33.45]
- 4 Last but not least, I would like to have a request or suggest
- 5 that the Bench review the testimonies of the witnesses the
- 6 prosecutor will bring before this Chamber by examining the
- 7 details of them to see whether they are genuine witnesses or
- 8 genuine evidence to be considered as worth being put before the
- 9 Court, for example evidence that could have been extracted from
- 10 torture as those obtained at S-21. And those testimonies could
- 11 have not been well verified. We don't know whether those who left
- 12 the notes have written it genuinely.
- 13 Thank you, Your Honour.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Thank you, Counsel.
- 16 The International Council for Khieu Samphan, would you wish to
- 17 make any statement in response to the opening statement by the
- 18 prosecutor?
- 19 You may have the floor now.
- 20 [11.35.03]
- 21 MR. VERGÈS:
- 22 Given the amount of time I have left, Mr. President, it will have
- 23 to be brief.
- 24 At the outset, Mr. President, Members of the Bench, prosecutors,
- 25 Cambodians, let me just have a thought for the forgotten dead of

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 this trial, the victims of the American bombardment, the bombs
- 2 that fell not only on this country, but also on others.
- 3 I heard somebody say that these bombs were only loaded with
- 4 chewing-gum.
- 5 Let us also think about those children who were born on the Ho
- 6 Chi Minh Trail, blinded, made deaf and dumb by Agent Orange. I
- 7 just want the public opinion to remember that these dead have not
- 8 been forgotten in this trial and that the defence is thinking
- 9 about them.
- 10 [11.36.26]
- 11 I was particularly touched to hear the two statements by the
- 12 Co-Prosecutors. It sounded like a novel written by Alexandre
- 13 Dumas about what happened in Cambodia. Unfortunately, it was all
- 14 based on anonymous testimony and reports by journalists. And as
- 15 Mr. Khieu Samphan said himself, journalists are entitled to their
- 16 opinion, but they were all people who were hostile to the
- 17 Accused. And at the -- when all is said and done, we had a rather
- 18 fantastical view of reality, namely that an entire people was
- 19 oppressed by a kind of trinity of these gentlemen, here.
- 20 Everything, therefore, sprang from the doings of these people.
- 21 [11.37.36]
- 22 Unfortunately, this bears no relation to reality. For example, if
- 23 we had listened to other witnesses, witnesses known by the world
- 24 over, which the prosecution does not know, for some reason --
- 25 think of Mr. Bizot, a French researcher, apolitical gentleman who

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

40

1 has worked at Angkor, has written a book that everybody knows

- 2 except the prosecution.
- 3 And in this book, Mr. Bizot recounts two events which are
- 4 connected very much to the trial. One is the evacuation of Phnom
- 5 Penh. He was there. He, himself, was chased out of Phnom Penh,
- 6 and in his account, he tells us the different kinds of behaviour
- 7 shown by the soldiers. I haven't got the book in front of me now,
- 8 but it's easily accessible. And, when you look at it, he
- 9 distinguished them by their uniforms: some came from one region,
- 10 who were extremely cruel with everybody, others showed
- 11 considerable humanitarian delicacy.
- 12 [11.38.47]
- 13 And how can you therefore say that there was this evil trio that
- 14 was distributing orders to these soldiers? Not at all. The
- 15 soldiers were behaving in a very distinguishable, individual kind
- of way. Everything depends on this trilogy; it's just not true.
- 17 Secondly, Mr. Bizot was fortunate enough, if I can put it that
- 18 way, to have been arrested by Khmer Rouge in what you would call
- 19 security operations. He is very well aware of the situation. Ta
- 20 Mok was in charge, and as far as he was concerned, Bizot was a
- 21 CIA agent. But Duch refused that, he said no, he talked with
- 22 Bizot and finally was instrumental in saving his life. So there
- 23 you have the perfect proof that everything was not this kind of
- 24 fantastical vision of a trio of people dispensing a nightmare and
- 25 subjecting an entire people to slavery; it's just not true.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 We were asked to recall all of the children who died in the
- 2 course of the 20th century. Is this something else to be laid at
- 3 the feet of this trio? Now, we're moving into kind of
- 4 expressionist film language, but again we are departing severely
- 5 from the truth.
- 6 [11.40.45]
- 7 To close, Mr. President, everybody knows, in this country, that
- 8 Cambodia went through appalling torment unique to this country.
- 9 There was a military coup d'état; that military coup d'état set
- 10 in place a fascist regime. But as far as the prosecution is
- 11 concerned, this is a republican regime -- well, some republic.
- 12 And that fascist regime toppled Sihanouk. Sihanouk was toppled by
- 13 Lon Nol and the CIA, and that was the moment at which my client,
- 14 Mr. Khieu Samphan, left Phnom Penh to take refuge in the maquis,
- 15 and suddenly it's -- this presented as if this is some kind of
- 16 underground communist who pulls off his mask. No, he was marched
- 17 naked through the street by Lon Nol's agents; you seem to have
- 18 forgotten that. He was treated in that way by the fascist members
- 19 of the government that you call republican.
- 20 And then you've got the American bombings, you've got the
- 21 Vietnamese and the Thai territorial claims, and perhaps, in such
- 22 conditions, one can admit that men with their strengths and
- 23 weaknesses can make mistakes, can commit crimes; but this idea of
- 24 these bloodthirsty people who wanted only that is totally false;
- 25 it's just pure literature.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 [11.42.32]
- 2 These people, the Khmer Rouge, came to power thanks to who? The
- 3 resistance? Yes, and a popular movement. And they were toppled by
- 4 what? By a foreign invasion, let's bear that in mind as well. And
- 5 you have to know that the regime was not supported by an entirely
- 6 terrorized people. Yes, they committed crimes, but it is a
- 7 complete falsification of history to pretend that the entire
- 8 people was subjected.
- 9 So, Mr. President, Your Honours, let me simply say that, in this
- 10 torment that Cambodia went through, men did make mistakes, they
- 11 event committed crimes, but they are not monsters. What we are
- 12 talking about is the work of global powers, here, that were
- 13 involved as well, and there are some who have nothing to
- 14 apologize for. The Presidents of the Presidium have nothing to
- 15 apologize for. One, I believe, was called Norodom Sihanouk; the
- 16 other, I believe, was called Khieu Samphan. And I frankly don't
- 17 believe these people can be tarred with the brush of being
- 18 monsters.
- 19 [11.43.58]
- 20 Ladies and gentlemen, when you come to judge this reality,
- 21 remember that you're looking at human beings. They caught -- they
- 22 were caught up in a holocaust and they suffered and made
- 23 mistakes, but to paint them as monsters totally responsible for
- 24 the situation is totally unreasonable. Let us try and be
- 25 reasonable.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Remember what Talleyrand said, a Napoleon's foreign minister,
- 2 another bandit, shall we say. And Talleyrand used to say -- and
- 3 I'm addressing the prosecution: "Everything that is excessive is
- 4 vain." Everything you said was excessive, and it's therefore
- 5 totally vain for the Tribunal to take it into account.
- 6 I hope I haven't wasted your time. Thank you very much.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you, Counsel.
- 9 [11.45.00]
- 10 The proceedings concerning the opening statements by the
- 11 Co-Prosecutors and the brief statements by defence counsel have
- 12 come to an end, and it is an appropriate time for the
- 13 adjournment. So the session is adjourned as of today, and -
- 14 Co-Prosecutor, you may now proceed.
- 15 MS. CHEA LEANG:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 17 I am on my feet with nothing to respond to the defence counsel or
- 18 make any further statement, but I wish to add that -- for the
- 19 following week session, what do we expect from the session? And I
- 20 would like to make some clarification on this.
- 21 [11.46.07]
- 22 Having observed or heard the statements by the three Accused and
- 23 responses made by the defence counsel for Khieu Samphan, we
- 24 encountered an issue because there was a meeting in which
- 25 counsels for Khieu Samphan and Nuon Chea attended, and they said

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

44

1 that they would not be able to respond during this stage and

- 2 that, during the future hearing, it will be session for
- 3 testimonies, but the two Accused already made it clear that they
- 4 would not be able to make any comment or respond to such
- 5 testimonies. And we have found that it is really important that,
- 6 if the Accused Persons shall testify to some of -- content or
- 7 respond to testimonies, they need to make it clear for the smooth
- 8 operation for such a hearing.
- 9 I have noted three points.
- 10 Mr. Khieu Samphan indicated today that he would be participating
- 11 in the proceedings. So I can presume that he will be able to
- 12 provide testimony during such session.
- 13 [11.47.39]
- 14 The second observation is that Mr. Ieng Sary indicated that he
- 15 will not take part in the proceeding. However, in the contrary,
- 16 in his statement, he say he would be able to participate in the
- 17 proceeding. And the defence counsel stated already clearly that
- 18 Mr. Ieng Sary would not be joining in this testimony. So the
- 19 prosecutors (sic) have not been clear on this, and we need
- 20 clarification.
- 21 Third point. There is no clear indication from Nuon Chea position
- 22 -- rather in this participation in this testimony. So our
- 23 question is whether the Accused Person will be able to provide
- 24 testimonies during the session on the 5th of December. I would
- 25 like the Bench to enquire the three counsels for the three

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Accused and the Accused themselves, to clarify their position and
- 2 so that we can really be less ambivalent as to what their
- 3 position is.
- 4 The Co-Prosecutors have already indicated in the opening
- 5 statements, and we would like the Bench to make the ruling on
- 6 this.
- 7 [11.49.05]
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Counsel Pich Ang, would you wish to make any observation with
- 11 regard to this?
- 12 Counsel for the Accused, would you wish to respond to what have
- 13 been observed by the prosecutor?
- 14 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 15 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours, and good
- 16 morning to everyone in and around the courtroom.
- 17 [11.49.46]
- 18 I'm rather shocked to hear that the prosecutors, both
- 19 international and national, seem to be confused with the word
- 20 "participate." Surely, they must know and must have read the
- 21 Constitution which claims that an accused has fair trial rights,
- 22 one being the right not to speak or to speak, as well as the
- 23 right to participate in his own defence.
- 24 Participating in one's defence does not mean necessarily taking
- 25 the stand and giving evidence. Participating does mean coming to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 court or watching it by video link as -- with the special
- 2 proceedings, engaging with his counsel, giving instructions to
- 3 counsel, and being engaged at every facet of the trial, in the
- 4 proceedings.
- 5 [11.50.40]
- 6 Mr. Ieng Sary, from the very beginning, has been very engaged
- 7 with his lawyers. He's already indicated in writing, having
- 8 executed a waiver, that he will not be testifying. We provided
- 9 this information to the Trial Chamber, one, because we wished to
- 10 inform you as early as possible for scheduling purposes, given
- 11 that you had indicated that you wished, at least at this phase or
- 12 this part of the trial, to have those Accused who wish to testify
- 13 to be testifying as first witnesses. So we provided this
- 14 information to your Honours for scheduling, so that, if none of
- 15 the other Accused, for instance -- because I don't know and I
- 16 can't speak for them -- do not wish to testify, then clearly
- 17 there will be a need to ensure that witnesses are available when
- 18 we're next due to sit. So it was for your convenience.
- 19 [11.51.50]
- 20 So let me make it abundantly clear for the two prosecutors who
- 21 seem to be at a loss of what the word "participation" means: Mr.
- 22 Ieng Sary will continue to participate and assist in his own
- 23 defence; he will not be testifying; he's exercising his rights.
- 24 I cannot speak for the others.
- 25 Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 2 Thank you, Counsel.
- 3 Do other defence counsels for the other Accused would wish to
- 4 make any other observation?
- 5 MR. PESTMAN:
- 6 I'm not sure how much time is allotted for my answer, but I still
- 7 have a response to the opening statement. So if there is some
- 8 time, I can do my response. As far as our client is--
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 We've already indicated that your floor is now to respond to what
- 11 the National Co-Prosecutor has just indicated momentarily ago,
- 12 and it is more about whether your client would be testifying or
- 13 not, it is not about the old story that has already been ruled by
- 14 this Chamber. If you would like to touch upon this again, you
- 15 should be reminded that it is not allowed.
- 16 [11.53.38]
- 17 Our question is now whether you have any observation with regard
- 18 to the respond, also to the observation made by the Co-Prosecutor
- 19 just now, in particular for the convenience of the scheduling
- 20 order concerning the hearings to be conducted on the 5th of
- 21 December, and to tell the Court whether your client will be
- 22 testifying or maintain the exercise of right to remain silent,
- 23 because your remarks will be beneficial to the arrangement and
- 24 the flow of the management of the proceedings on the 5th of
- 25 December. And other irrelevant remarks are not permitted.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 [11.54.35]
- 2 MR. PESTMAN:
- 3 Thank you. That was very helpful.
- 4 I'm not impressed by the ability of this Court to schedule. All I
- 5 can say about my client's willingness to answer questions of the
- 6 prosecutor is that we will cross that bridge when we get there.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 Does counsel for Khieu Samphan wish to make any clarification on
- 10 this?
- 11 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 12 Your Honours, Mr. Khieu Samphan will be testifying.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 MR. KHIEU SAMPHAN:
- 16 I just wish to clarify that, in the following hearings, I will -
- 17 I will not yet respond, but I wait until I have heard what the
- 18 prosecutors would be saying, and it only with the right moment,
- 19 an appropriate moment, that I will respond, because the burden of
- 20 proof is at the Co-Prosecutor's side. Whatever accusations they
- 21 could come up with, they can really present in this Court; I will
- 22 listen to them attentively and I will see when the opportunity
- 23 fits for me to respond.
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Thank you.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 Counsel for -- Lead Co-Lawyer for the civil parties, you may now
- 2 proceed.
- 3 [11.56.42]
- 4 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. We wanted to hear the views of the
- 6 defence before we expressed our position, and if silence is a
- 7 right for each one of the Accused, I believe that the civil
- 8 parties believe that, out of respect for all of those who are
- 9 attending this trial, out of respect for the people of Cambodia,
- 10 who they claim they love "beaucoup" -- very much, the least, I
- 11 think, we could receive is a few explanations at the outset of
- 12 the trial. Those explanations would be the very least we could
- 13 hope for. If they could actually tell the truth, that will be
- 14 even better.
- 15 Thank you.
- 16 [11.57.33]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 Counsel Pich Ang, you may proceed.
- 19 MR. PICH ANG:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours. During the evidence
- 21 session and as Elisabeth -- Ms. Elisabeth Simonneau-Fort already
- 22 indicated, it is really important that the Accused Person
- 23 testifies, because civil parties and victims have been waiting to
- 24 hear from the Accused what they have to say. And if they choose
- 25 not to respond, it perhaps presumes that they have admitted the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 guilt already. Indeed, it is the right of the Accused. And given
- 2 the fact that the civil party and victim have been waiting for
- 3 long, they should respond.
- 4 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 5 Counsel for Ieng Sary, you may proceed.
- 6 [11.58.46]
- 7 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 I do regrettably have to make the following remarks. It seems
- 10 that there is a fundamental lack of understanding on the part of
- 11 both national and international lawyer for the victims as far as
- 12 what are fair trial rights. There are no qualifiers in those
- 13 rights. If one wishes to exercise their right to remain silent,
- 14 they can do so, they need not give any explanations whether it's
- 15 in a national court or an international court. That I would have
- 16 expected, at the very least, to have been knowledgeable to -- for
- 17 the two lawyers to have knowledge of. I don't see how they can
- 18 possibly represent their clients effectively if they intend to
- 19 stand up and misstate the law as they're doing right now.
- 20 [11.59.41]
- 21 Silence does not mean guilt, and I understand that the civil
- 22 parties, because they were not afforded an opportunity to give an
- 23 opening statement -- and let's face it, an opening statement is
- 24 foreign in this particular jurisdiction, other than in this
- 25 particular Court -- now they take the opportunity to somehow make

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 a public statement for public consumption. They're pandering to
- 2 the audience. Presumably they know what the law is. They know
- 3 that an accused has a right not to say anything.
- 4 The prosecution drafted the introductory submission. We've gone
- 5 through the process. It is now for the prosecution to meet its
- 6 burden. The prosecution realizes that and claim that they will do
- 7 so.
- 8 [12.00.38]
- 9 There is no need for me to comment any further on this, but I
- 10 would appreciate, Your Honours, if in the future the lawyers that
- 11 are representing the civil parties would not make such grossly
- 12 inappropriate comments such as when "silence" means "guilt", or
- 13 explanations are required.
- 14 Surely my colleague, who comes from a well-trained country where
- 15 the laws apply, should know better. I would have expected more.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 I think there would be no more floor for further response or
- 19 reply.
- 20 And lawyers now are advised not to use some kind of warning terms
- 21 in their expression to the parties, and please be mindful.
- 22 The parties now should be informed that the sessions -- the
- 23 issues concerning the arrangements for the hearing sessions have
- 24 already been discussed at length, and indeed the following
- 25 session will commence from the testimonies of the Accused.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 [12.02.30]
- 2 The Accused will have to testify to all the relevant facts to be
- 3 put before the Chamber at each stage of the proceeding, and we
- 4 have already planned to see that some accused person will
- 5 exercise their right to remain silent with respect to some
- 6 certain facts or paragraphs in the evidence and that they may --
- 7 they may not exercise such right to remain silent when time fits.
- 8 And the parties could have been informed already that why the
- 9 Chamber does not continue the hearing on evidence as scheduled
- 10 originally and why it was rescheduled to the 5th of December
- 11 2011. It is because of these reasons, the reason that we need to
- 12 properly manage the flow of the proceedings, in particular in the
- 13 debates on the evidence. To ensure such smooth sessions, we had
- 14 to do that.
- 15 [12.04.04]
- 16 The Chamber has noted that it is very complicated to do this but
- 17 it has done its best to find the best solution for that.
- 18 The Chamber has already issued several memorandums to relevant
- 19 parties with regard to their duties or their roles and
- 20 responsibilities in addressing some of the questions or
- 21 testimonies by the witnesses or the victims.
- 22 The Chamber's still learning from this arrangement, because the
- 23 Chamber is still considering to see how much time is needed for a
- 24 witness to testify in each proceeding, because there were --
- 25 there are a lot of facts. The facts that happened across the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

- 1 whole country, there are more than 500 paragraphs of facts, and
- 2 that we need to allow appropriate time for parties to wisely
- 3 challenge each and every fact, and that we have to maintain the
- 4 purpose of expeditious trial.
- 5 [12.05.48]
- 6 So, to conclude, the questions put by the Co-Prosecutor, we can
- 7 say that parties should be prepared to what has been scheduled.
- 8 And as long as we follow the flow, and as well -- as long as we
- 9 have been prepared, we will deal with these problems on the way.
- 10 We understand very clearly that it has never been easy to do
- 11 this, but we need every one of us to be prepared for this.
- 12 We hope that the parties and also the prosecutors understand
- 13 this, and the Chamber will do its best to inform the parties in
- 14 order to ensure the smooth proceedings.
- 15 We have been convinced that we have not envisaged any obstacle to
- 16 these hearing that is scheduled on the 5th of December. We
- 17 already believe that such sessions will be conducted smoothly
- 18 because we have already communicated our message to all parties
- 19 involved to assist us with this smoothness.
- 20 [12.07.36]
- 21 The session on the opening statements and the statements made by
- 22 the defence counsel have already come to an end, and it is an
- 23 appropriate time for the adjournment of today's session.
- 24 The Trial Chamber will be now adjourned, and the session on
- evidence will take place on the 5th of December, at 9 a.m.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Hearing Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/11/2011

Parties are -- the public are now informed and invited to participate in the proceedings as scheduled. Security personnels are now instructed to bring the Accused back to the detention facility and bring them back to the courtroom on Monday, the 5th of December, at 9 a.m. The Court is adjourned. (Court adjourns at 1208H)