00777033



### **អ**ល្លដ៏សុំ៩ម្រះចិសាមញ្ញត្តួខត្នលាការកម្ពុជា

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

# ព្រះរាជាឃាត្តមិនអតិ ជានិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាត្យត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

## អតិន្នុន្សតិន្

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

#### TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS **PUBLIC**

Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

2 February 2012 Trial Day 26

Before the Judges:

NIL Nonn, Presiding

Silvia CARTWRIGHT

YA Sokhan

Jean-Marc LAVERGNE

YOU Ottara

THOU Mony (Reserve)

Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers:

**DUCH Phary** 

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors:

**CHAN Dararasmey** Tarik ABDULHAK

Sarah ANDREWS

For Court Management Section:

**KAUV Keoratanak** 

The Accused: **NUON Chea** 

**IENG Sary** KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun

Michiel PESTMAN Jasper PAUW Andrew IANUZZI

ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS

KONG Sam Onn Arthur VERCKEN

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ang

Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT

SAM Sokong LOR Chunthy **HONG Kimsuon VEN Pov** 

**CHET Vanly** 

00777034

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

### INDEX

MD	YOl	11/	$\triangle \Box$	1111	
IVIK	YUU	JK	(,H	HAľ	Wì

Questioning by Mr. Vercken	page 5
Questioning by Mr. Kong Sam Onn	page 34
Questioning by Mr. Pauw	page 61

# **List of Speakers:**

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ABDULHAK	English
MR. ANG UDOM	Khmer
JUDGE CARTWRIGHT	English
MR. KARNAVAS	English
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MR. PAUW	English
MR. PESTMAN	English
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer
MR. VERCKEN	French
MR. YOUK CHHANG	Khmer

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0900H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 [09.01.34]
- 6 As we scheduled in hearing the testimony of the DC-Cam director,
- 7 this morning, the floor should be given to the three defence
- 8 teams.
- 9 As previously stated, two days have been allotted to the three
- 10 defence teams.
- 11 Before I hand over to the Nuon Chea's defence teams, I'd like to
- 12 inquire with the three defence teams how have you arranged
- 13 amongst yourselves for the time allocations of the two days
- 14 allotment for the three defence team.
- 15 And I'd like to hear comment, first, from Nuon Chea's team.
- 16 MR. PESTMAN:
- 17 Yes, thank you. Yes, we have negotiated with the other teams. We
- 18 envisage that we will need one day for our cross-examination of
- 19 this witness. And, as far as I understand, the other parties have
- 20 agreed to give us that day and they will divide the remaining
- 21 time among themselves, if I'm correct. If I'm not correct, then
- 22 feel free to contradict me.
- 23 We were told by the international council for Khieu Samphan, who
- 24 will not be here on Monday, that he would like to ask his
- 25 questions today. And, if your Trial Chamber agrees, we would

- 1 allow him to use at least an hour of our time today, and in
- 2 return we would be able to use his time on Monday, if that's ok.
- 3 So we would do a bit of a relay when questioning this particular
- 4 witness. So we will need one day, if the other parties agree, but
- 5 we would like to give part of our time today to the Khieu Samphan
- 6 team if we can get -- or use, his time on Monday.
- 7 [09.03.42]
- 8 I hope I've made myself clear.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Khieu Samphan's defence, you can proceed.
- 11 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 12 Thank you, Mr. President. Let me clarify in regards to the
- 13 interpretation.
- 14 In fact, my client, Mr. Khieu Samphan, will be present on Monday,
- 15 but it's my international counterpart who will not be available
- 16 on Monday. Thank you.
- 17 (Judges deliberate)
- 18 [09.05.11]
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 I'd like to inquire with the Ieng Sary's defence team.
- 21 What is your opinion on the comment and request made by Nuon
- 22 Chea's defence?
- 23 MR. KARNAVAS:
- 24 Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. And good
- 25 morning to everyone in and around the courtroom.

- 1 We would not object if Nuon Chea wishes to have the Khieu Samphan
- 2 international lawyer take up an hour of their time today and then
- 3 have an hour of their time on Monday.
- 4 As far as our time is concerned, I would say one and a half to
- 5 two hours. However, most likely, it would be a lot less, I would
- 6 say more in the range of 45 minutes to an hour, depending on what
- 7 is covered today. It's hard to predict, since I'm going to be
- 8 going last, but certainly I intend to be very efficient, not
- 9 cover any new ground and not prolong the proceedings more than
- 10 they need to be. So, hopefully, 45 minutes but I would like as
- 11 much as an hour and a half, Your Honours, if that's okay. Thank
- 12 you.
- 13 [09.06.31]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 Thank you, Defence Counsel.
- 16 What about Khieu Samphan's defence?
- 17 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 18 As my international counterpart would like to examine the witness
- 19 for an hour this afternoon, then I'll use the remaining time for
- 20 my team on Monday. And it may take between one to two hours.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Thank you, defence teams.
- 24 We now hand over to Nuon Chea's defence to cross-examine this
- 25 witness. You may proceed--

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 (Judges deliberate)
- 2 [09.09.51]
- 3 Due to the request by Khieu Samphan's defence that the
- 4 international defence counsel will not be available on Monday,
- 5 that is the 6th of February 2012, and that the time shall be used
- 6 today instead, it is rather difficult for the Chamber to decide.
- 7 For that reasons, the Chamber decided to change the order of
- 8 questioning -- of examining this witness. We would like now for
- 9 the Khieu Samphan's team to question this witness first.
- 10 So, now, Khieu Samphan's defence, you may proceed--
- 11 Judge Lavergne, you may take the floor.
- 12 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 13 Thank you. The Chamber has just been informed of the
- 14 unavailability of Khieu Samphan's international counsel and is
- 15 therefore providing counsel the possibility to examine the
- 16 witness now. If not, the Chamber does not wish to see the
- 17 sequence of questioning to be interrupted and then resumed.
- 18 So, if it's possible, you can begin examination of the witness,
- 19 and then we shall pick up in the same order as previously
- 20 planned.
- 21 MR. VERCKEN:
- 22 Thank you very much--
- 23 [09.12.09]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Khieu Samphan's Defence Counsel, could you please pause?

- 1 I notice the Nuon Chea's defence team is on his feet. You may
- 2 proceed.
- 3 MR. SON ARUN:
- 4 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours. I support the
- 5 clarification by Judge Lavergne for giving the floor first to
- 6 Khieu Samphan's defence. And then we will proceed after their
- 7 conclusion.
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Thank you for your comment regarding the decision by the Trial
- 10 Chamber.
- 11 Therefore, Khieu Samphan's defence, you may now proceed.
- 12 [09.13.24]
- 13 QUESTIONING BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 14 Thank you very much, Mr. President. I had a few documents to put
- 15 forward to the witness, and this was the reason why I had
- 16 preferred to commence my examination at the start of this
- 17 afternoon's session. However, I am happy to begin right away if
- 18 this is the Chamber's instruction.
- 19 Good morning, Mr. Witness. My name is Counsel Arthur Vercken. I
- 20 represent the Accused, Mr. Khieu Samphan.
- 21 The questions I wish to put to you relate primarily to documents
- 22 that are supposedly belonging to the era of Democratic Kampuchea,
- 23 of the plethora of documents that are -- is gathered by DC-Cam.
- 24 My questions will focus on documents that are dated from the time
- 25 of Democratic Kampuchea.

- 1 Q. My first question is as follows: To your mind, what is the
- 2 most certain and sure way of conducting an investigation? Is it
- 3 to rely on an original, a copy or a document that is dated from
- 4 the era in question?
- 5 [09.15.19]
- 6 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 7 Your Honours, we would object to this question.
- 8 Clearly it's not a question that's within the witness' expertise
- 9 or within the purposes for which he was called to testify,
- 10 whether or not an investigation is best conducted with originals
- 11 or copies. That is a matter for the Judges, in our submission.
- 12 MR. VERCKEN:
- 13 If I may reply, Mr. President, yesterday, when the witness was
- 14 responding to questions put by the civil parties, the witness
- 15 stated that he was of the belief that the organization was in a
- 16 position to carry out inquiries or investigations, even if they
- 17 were not judicial investigations per se.
- 18 We are all well aware that DC-Cam, in one manner or another,
- 19 conducts inquiries or investigations and this is exactly why my
- 20 question focuses on an investigation or an inquiry, be it
- 21 judicial or not, which speaks directly to the mission of DC-Cam.
- 22 (Judges deliberate)
- 23 [09.17.55]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 The objection by the Prosecution is sustained. The witness, you

- 1 are instructed not to respond to the question by the Defence. The
- 2 Defence now can proceed with other questions.
- 3 MR. VERCKEN:
- 4 Could Your Honours please explain to me why this issue is being
- 5 dismissed?
- 6 The witness represents an organization that collects documents
- 7 from the world over. I believe it is entirely natural and routine
- 8 to ask the director if copies hold a greater probative value than
- 9 their originals.
- 10 If I'm not able to ask such questions to the witness, I will stop
- 11 my line of questioning immediately.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Judge Lavergne, you may take the floor in order to respond to
- 14 this defence counsel.
- 15 [09.19.23]
- 16 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 17 Allow me to make some clarification, subject, of course, to
- 18 intervention by my colleagues. What we are dealing with today is
- 19 a judicial debate, a judicial proceeding to determine the
- 20 probative value of DC-Cam documents. Therefore, the research that
- 21 is conducted by DC-Cam are of great interest for historical --
- 22 for academic reasons.
- 23 However, today, what we are chiefly concerned with are the
- 24 documents that are part and parcel of these judicial proceedings.
- 25 Therefore, we deem that such a question is not warranted because

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 it does not deal with the judicial process.
- 2 [09.20.16]
- 3 MR. VERCKEN:
- 4 I understand, Your Honour. However, a very high percentage of the
- 5 documents on the case file are indeed -- have been collected by
- 6 DC-Cam. Therefore, those documents have a direct link, and that's
- 7 where the problem lies. It is in fact one of the problems of this
- 8 trial. We have an organization, against which I absolutely have
- 9 no objections, that carries out its own and proper activities.
- 10 However, the position of the Defence is that the Prosecution has
- 11 taken for granted the value of those documents, integrated them
- 12 directly into the case file, which were collected by DC-Cam
- 13 without any further verification. This is exactly why the two
- 14 issues that you have just raised are intrinsically related.
- 15 On that note, I shall continue.
- 16 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 17 Q. Mr. Witness, where are the originals of the documents that
- 18 date back to the time -- where are the originals that DC-Cam has
- 19 availed itself of? Where are they today?
- 20 [09.21.47]
- 21 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 22 A. There are five types of documents if you can recall from the
- 23 testimony last week. The first type of document is a DC-Cam--
- 24 Q. Excuse me for interrupting you, Mr. Witness. I do not have a
- 25 lot of time, so I'll reformulate my question and be much more

- 1 specific. I don't need you to layout the definitions of each
- 2 category of documents. I've already told you that I want to focus
- 3 solely on documents that date back to the era of Democratic
- 4 Kampuchea. Please do not talk to me about the classification of
- 5 documents or those that are conserved by DC-Cam. That is not the
- 6 subject of my question.
- 7 My question for you is: Where, today, are the originals of the
- 8 documents that you believe date back to the era of Democratic
- 9 Kampuchea and that are in the possession of your organization?
- 10 Where are those documents? Where are they?
- 11 [09.22.48]
- 12 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 13 A. They are in Cambodia.
- 14 Q. Where are they, in Cambodia?
- 15 A. Due to the security reasons, it is difficult to inform the
- 16 counsel of the location of the original documents. However, with
- 17 your permission, I can illustrate that.
- 18 (Judges deliberate)
- 19 [09.24.13]
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 The Co-Prosecution, you may proceed.
- 22 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 23 Thank you, Mr. President. We'll be very brief.
- 24 I think the question is too broad to begin with. Where are the
- 25 originals? Mr. Youk Chhang testified yesterday there's about 1

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 million, I believe, pages.
- 2 And so the question should be more specific: What -- which types.
- 3 And I think that's what the witness was trying to do, is be more
- specific. 4
- 5 [09.24.37]
- 6 Now - but, secondly, also, there was testimony yesterday about a
- 7 number of categories whose originals are kept, for example, at
- the National Archives. So we have been given some of these 8
- 9 responses already. But if the witness is asked to provide a full
- listing, if you like, of all of the originals which DC-Cam holds 10
- 11 - and perhaps, if that's a matter of -- if that's a sensitive
- 12 matter, perhaps, it could be dealt with in closed session. Thank
- 13 you.
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- I notice Nuon Chea's international defence counsel is on his 15
- 16 feet. You may proceed.
- 17 MR. PESTMAN:
- I fully support this suggestion. I think it's a very good idea to 18
- 19 go into closed session if that is the condition attached to the
- 20 witness revealing the location of the original documents, because
- 21 I'm very curious to know where those documents are. And I think
- 22 it's important that we know because we might ask for the
- 23 production of the original documents when those documents will be
- 24 discussed in Court. So it's very important for us to know where
- 25 they are, to know whether it's actually possible and feasible,

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 and if so, how long it will take to produce those original
- 2 documents.
- 3 [09.25.58]
- 4 So I would -- I would support the suggestion made by the
- 5 Prosecution and go into closed session.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Thank you, parties, for your comments and requests.
- 8 (Judges deliberate)
- 9 [09.28.08]
- 10 The National Co-Prosecutor, why did you stand on your feet before
- 11 the Bench deliberated? Do you have any other issues beside the
- 12 issues that we already deliberated?
- 13 Mr. Witness, you do not need to respond to the question by the
- 14 defence counsel, then, as a principle of safety and security of
- 15 those documents, that is in regards to the original documents and
- 16 the photocopies documents used in this courtroom, is the core of
- 17 the debate. It is not necessary to reveal the location of those
- 18 original documents.
- 19 And the issues raised by parties for a closed session, we have
- 20 the view that it is not necessary.
- 21 [09.29.27]
- 22 You may proceed, but please make sure that it is not necessary to
- 23 specify the provenance -- secret provenance of the -- of the
- 24 documents. But the most important thing is the copies of the
- 25 documents which have been copied from the original.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 So we would like to hear from the DC-Cam whether or not the
- 2 copied documents are authentic and it can be verified or not. So
- 3 it means that the original documents are there for verification,
- 4 but the secrecy of the document is simply to ensure the security
- 5 of the document.
- 6 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 7 Well, if any parties would like to verify the copied documents
- 8 with the original ones, the DC-Cam is readily available to assist
- 9 them to do so.
- 10 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 11 Q. Precisely. Mr. Witness, has one of the parties to this Court,
- 12 whether it is the Co-Prosecutors or the Co-Investigating Judges,
- 13 to date, asked you to find original, contemporaneous documents
- 14 from Democratic Kampuchea, wherever they have been stored in
- 15 Cambodia, asking you to present them to them? Has such a thing
- 16 happened? Has DC-Cam been asked by the Co-Prosecutors or the
- 17 Co-Investigating Judges to produce originals which you believe
- 18 date back to the era of Democratic Kampuchea? Because you said
- 19 that you haven't found one that appeared to be false among all
- 20 the ones in your possession. But has this incident occurred?
- 21 [09.32.34]
- 22 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 23 A. I'm sorry, Your Honour, I do not understand the question
- 24 clearly, but I assume that the question was that, if parties
- 25 would like to verify documents -- the copy ones -- with the

- 1 original documents, of course, at DC-Cam, we will be able to do
- 2 that upon request.
- 3 But if Your Honours are of the opinion that the question is
- 4 different from this, then please advise me so.
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Please, Counsel, be advised that you should maintain your proper
- 7 question. And make sure that you pause in between questions and
- 8 answers so that the translation can get through, so possibly
- 9 before you pose the next question. You may proceed.
- 10 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 11 Q. My question is whether, to date, the Co-Prosecutors and the
- 12 Co-Investigating Judges have ever asked you if they can see the
- 13 originals which you believe are contemporaneous with the
- 14 Democratic Kampuchea era.
- 15 [09.34.08]
- 16 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 17 A. To date, the -- both the Co-Prosecutors and the
- 18 Co-Investigating Judges have never requested verification with
- 19 the original documents, but they have so far scanned those
- 20 documents from the original document, like Mr. Heder, from OCIJ,
- 21 has done that so far.
- 22 Q. Have you received any kind of official instruction from this
- 23 Court to -- under judicial seal?
- 24 A. No, they're not.
- 25 Q. Yesterday, you referred to an episode that related to a

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 project -- a draft agreement with the Court, and you said that
- 2 the agreement wasn't actually signed; was it something of that
- 3 nature?
- 4 [09.35.50]
- 5 A. We -- the parties can request documents of -- from the DC-Cam
- 6 anytime they like. I have met with Michelle Lee and Sean Visoth
- 7 to draft a mutual agreement or mutual understanding with the
- 8 DC-Cam as to how the document may be used by the Court. So we
- 9 have drafted this mutual understanding agreement for several
- 10 years, but upon the resignation of Sean Visoth and Michelle Lee,
- 11 then we also sent those draft mutual understanding agreement to
- 12 Knut and others, but there have not been response from the Court.
- 13 So, from 2006 to 2009, parties have requested documents from the
- 14 DC-Cam, and we have been happy to provide them support. So far,
- 15 we have provided documents to the OCP, to civil parties, and
- 16 other parties as well. So we consider our place as an archive
- 17 where documents are kept, so we are not hesitant to provide any
- 18 document to parties upon request.
- 19 [09.37.15]
- 20 Q. But for the moment, since you said that none of the parties
- 21 wanted to have the original documents in their possession, which
- 22 you believe date back to the Democratic Kampuchea era, the
- 23 documents that you have remitted to the parties that requested
- 24 them -- and these include the Co-Investigating Judges and the
- 25 Co-Prosecutors -- are scans or copies; am I correct?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 A. When sending documents to parties at the tribunal, we
- 2 establish a register list in order to indicate whether or not the
- 3 documents were copy or scanned from the original documents. The
- 4 OCIJ has so far requested that the scanning was -- be made from
- 5 the original documents.
- 6 Q. Well, picking up on this, yesterday, when you were answering
- 7 questions from the Prosecution, you told us that in order to
- 8 avoid damaging the documents, which you believed are
- 9 contemporaneous with the era, you didn't write any direct DC-Cam
- 10 references on those documents; is that correct?
- 11 A. (No interpretation)
- 12 [09.39.23]
- 13 Q. Having said that, you told us that these codes were only
- 14 written down on copies of what you thought were the
- 15 contemporaneous documents; am I correct?
- 16 A. All documents we copy from the original documents; we index
- 17 them with serial number preceding by "D" -- letter D -- and we
- 18 have a small bracket which indicates the source of the document.
- 19 As I indicated to the prosecutor yesterday, if you want to verify
- 20 with the original document, then you can look at the serial
- 21 number given below the document number; that will make it easy to
- 22 track the source of the document.
- 23 Q. So what this means, Mr. Witness, is that, when I find in this
- 24 Court's files a document that is alleged to be from the
- Democratic Kampuchea era, which has a DC-Cam code, that means

- 1 that the document is a copy, inevitably, because it's got the
- 2 code from DC-Cam on it.
- 3 [09.41.00]
- 4 A. If you refer to the copied documents sent to the Court, of
- 5 course there are serial numbers indexed by the DC-Cam, which
- 6 certify that those document were copy from the original. However,
- 7 at the Office of Co-Investigating Judges, they look at the
- 8 numbers in the bracket, below the document number, and then they
- 9 go to those original documents and they request that those
- 10 documents be scanned from the originals.
- 11 Once again, if you want to make any verification, it was not
- 12 difficult because we have the number over there and then we can
- 13 verify it anytime.
- 14 Q. There is a certain amount of correspondence mail from the
- 15 Prosecution in the files, and the prosecutor is writing, asking
- 16 to -- you to remit documents that you have in your possession. I
- 17 can quote you an example of one of these letters if you wish. We
- 18 do have one or two, but they haven't been translated. It's just
- 19 00141959. And all of these other references I have are comparable
- 20 kinds of communications. I think we can probably put one of these
- 21 up on the screen.
- 22 [09.43.20]
- 23 And what emerges from this correspondence is that the prosecutor
- 24 is asking you to submit documents and also asking for an
- 25 authentication certificate. This authentication certificate, can

- 1 you please tell us precisely what that is?
- 2 A. This is a request form from the Office of Co-Prosecutor, and
- 3 this is only one of them; there are actually a number of other
- 4 similar requests. So I have an initial on this request, and it
- 5 indicates that I have actually provided the documents upon this
- 6 request.
- 7 Q. And the authentication certificate, which the prosecutor is
- 8 asking you to produce, says what exactly, this certificate, which
- 9 -- and this is a question -- you may have submitted?
- 10 A. I once wrote it to Mr. Robert Petit; it consists of six, seven
- 11 pages outlining the process of research and documentation as well
- 12 as the authenticity of the document. I sent to the -- both
- 13 Co-Prosecutors some time in 2006 or 2007, but of course there was
- 14 a written form of certificate of authenticity.
- 15 [09.45.25]
- 16 Q. Does that mean that, since 2007, you have provided one single
- 17 authentication certificate for all of the documents that you have
- 18 provided, and similarly for all the ones that you will submit in
- 19 the future, or, on the other hand, was there a separate
- 20 authentication certificate each time you received a request from
- 21 the prosecutors?
- 22 A. For each request from the Office of Co-Prosecutors, we issue a
- 23 certificate certifying whether or not they were the original
- 24 documents or copied documents and we record it in the list, so
- 25 there is a column or a field which we indicate to the request

- 1 that whether or not the documents were the copies of the copies
- 2 or the copy from the original. And we also indicate whether or
- 3 not those documents is in the category of "KL" or "I" document.
- 4 So we follow the procedures of document custody so that we can
- 5 authenticate the document.
- 6 [09.46.51]
- 7 Q. In yesterday's hearing, you described for us the ways in which
- 8 you check the authenticity of the documents that you use, and you
- 9 told us about the importance of colour, the visual presentation
- 10 of a document.
- 11 Would you agree that this sort of work cannot be done with a copy
- 12 or a copy of a copy?
- 13 A. That's correct. It's -- it's not possible to verify it with
- 14 the copy of the copied document, but we can only certify that the
- 15 copy of the document -- the copy of the copy is a copy of the
- 16 document. So it means that if there is another document that is
- 17 in visual colours, for example, we can tell whether or not the
- 18 document is original or copied document.
- 19 Q. Among the various aspects which you dwelt on when you were
- 20 examining the document, you also told us that the question of the
- 21 date was important carried on the document.
- 22 Would you agree that somebody who was deceitful and who was
- 23 fabricating a document would probably not put "2012" on their
- 24 document, but might choose something more relevant to their
- 25 intentions, shall we say, between 1975 and 1979?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 [09.48.56]
- 2 A. Well, I think if any one person can produce -- fabricated 1
- 3 million documents and that one particular person know many people
- 4 involved in this document, I think it is virtually impossible in
- 5 this context. And in other words, we also look at the visual
- 6 presentation of the document, we do not only consider only one
- 7 factor or variables. We have -- we consider a number of factors
- 8 in order to certify that this document belongs to the Democratic
- 9 Kampuchea.
- 10 Q. Yesterday, when you were answering the questions from the
- 11 Co-Prosecutors and the civil parties, you said that you were
- 12 careful to examine the kind of vocabulary used in the documents
- 13 which were given to you or which you collected.
- 14 Would you agree that, if a deceitful person was trying to
- 15 fabricate a false document from that era, they would probably not
- 16 talk about mobile phones, and the internet, and things like that,
- which didn't exist between 1975 and 1979?
- 18 [09.50.37]
- 19 A. I would like to bring up an example. A confession of a former
- 20 prisoner, Bophana, which comprised of 200-page confession, and if
- 21 he want to fabricate this document or, for example, by changing
- 22 the terminology used, it's a bit difficult with the confession
- 23 this thick, and it can easily be tracked, as well, if only a few
- 24 pages were modified.
- 25 And in addition to that, we do not verify or -- and come to a

- 1 conclusion with a document by a mere looking at this particular
- 2 document; we actually read it in conjunction with others, and
- 3 other peoples also comment on this document. And, as I mentioned
- 4 earlier, if any one person can make up 1 million documents, it
- 5 would be amazing if it was not virtually impossible at all. And
- 6 if -- even if they could make it, it would have taken them years
- 7 to do it.
- 8 [09.51.48]
- 9 Q. Nobody was talking about one single person fabricating a
- 10 million counterfeit documents. But you, yourself, admitted that,
- 11 once in a million times, you did manage to find a false document
- 12 among the ones that had been sent to you; is that true?
- 13 A. Yes, that -- that is true. And the factor that I actually
- 14 could see that that document was not from the contemporaneous
- 15 document was the date, because, by looking at the dates, it was
- 16 clearly that it was not within the Democratic Kampuchea period.
- 17 And in addition to the -- to the date, we also look at the
- 18 content of the document as well. So, by looking at combined
- 19 factors, then we can come to a conclusion that this document was
- 20 not the contemporaneous ones.
- 21 Q. Can you tell the Court what date was written on the document
- 22 that you have just referred to?
- 23 [09.53.08]
- 24 A. To the best of my recollection, it was actually back to 1998
- 25 or '99, when there was arrest warrant of the kidnappers somewhere

- 1 in Kampot province.
- 2 Q. So you deduced that whoever fabricated this document was not
- 3 particularly good at the job?
- 4 A. I saw that that document was indeed fabricated; it was not
- 5 actually from the Democratic Kampuchea period.
- 6 Q. Several times, yesterday, when you were explaining to us the
- 7 sources of the different collections of documents held by DC-Cam,
- 8 you talked about Vietnamese experts.
- 9 Can you tell us who these Vietnamese experts were? This
- 10 denomination covers who and what, exactly?
- 11 A. Your Honours, yesterday-- He mentioned that I used the word
- 12 "Vietnamese experts", but unfortunately I did not use "Vietnamese
- 13 experts". But I do not hesitate to answer this question, but once
- 14 again, I would not want it to be on record what I did not say.
- 15 And with the -- Your Honours' permission, I would respond to this
- 16 question.
- 17 [09.55.06]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 Counsel, can you indicate the point in the transcript which you
- 20 pointed out to the testimony of this witness, concerning the
- 21 Vietnamese expert, so that the witness has the basis to respond
- 22 to your question?
- 23 And in addition, the Chamber would base on that particular
- 24 transcription in order to decide whether or not to let the
- 25 witness respond to this question.

- 1 MR. VERCKEN:
- 2 I'll proceed in a different way, if I may, Mr. President? Let me
- 3 simply ask the witness if he remembers having answered a question
- 4 from the Co-Investigating Judges and if he recalls having talked
- 5 to them about documents that were collected by Vietnamese
- 6 experts.
- 7 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 8 Your Honour, I would like to hear the answer from him, whether or
- 9 not I did say that, the "Vietnamese expert", or so.
- 10 [09.56.44]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Well, the counsel has already changed his line of questioning, so
- 13 there might be confusion in terms of the documents used -- he
- 14 used when he took it from the statement taken by the Office of
- 15 Co-Investigating Judge, which may have involved the testimony of
- 16 Vietnamese expert or so, so he decided to change his line of
- 17 questioning.
- 18 So the Chamber may allow this question.
- 19 But the prosecutor is now on his feet so he may proceed.
- 20 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 21 Your Honour, just a point of order. I think it would be more
- 22 appropriate if counsel could refer the witness to the specific
- 23 statements. There are six statements, and some of them are quite
- 24 long and they discuss numerous matters.
- 25 So I think it would be fair to the witness and more conducive to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 ascertain the truth if the witness could be shown the specific
- 2 passage or statement and asked to-- And then questions could be
- 3 asked about it.
- 4 [09.58.01]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you. So, once again, the Chamber directs the counsel to
- 7 indicate specifically the statement you refer to. If you base
- 8 your questioning on the statement taken by the Office of
- 9 Co-Investigating Judge, then you have to indicate so.
- 10 MR. VERCKEN:
- 11 I agree with you, of course, Mr. President; I was going to do
- 12 that.
- 13 At this juncture, however, I am simply asking the witness if he
- 14 remembers talking about Vietnamese experts with the
- 15 Co-Investigating Judges. I simply wanted to ask the witness
- 16 directly if he remembered. That's why I'm not yet quoting any
- 17 documents. If the witness says that he does not recall this, then
- 18 I would move on to quoting evidence from statements, but in so
- 19 doing, I'm -- I'm trying to proceed more rapidly so as not to
- 20 overstep the bounds of my time.
- 21 [09.59.19]
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 Witness is directed to answer the question.
- 24 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 25 Well, Your Honours, I am very happy to ask -- to answer this

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 question, but the counsel offer to answer my question concerning
- 2 the truthfulness of what I said yesterday.
- 3 Well, the custodian of the documents in Ministry of Interior,
- 4 there was one expert working with this Cambodian team, and I
- 5 tried to look for him, but unfortunately he has already passed
- 6 away. And I actually got this document and information from the
- 7 personnel working in the Ministry of Interior.
- 8 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 9 Q. Mr. Witness, I see that you are failing to answer my question,
- 10 which is impeding our dialogue. The Court has just asked you to
- 11 answer my question. I am the one putting questions to you. This
- 12 is how it works.
- 13 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 14 Defence Counsel, you are mistaken. The witness clearly responded
- 15 already.
- 16 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 17 Perhaps, Mr. President, because you benefit from direct
- 18 interpretation into Khmer, perhaps you feel that the message was
- 19 crystal clear.
- 20 Q. But for my benefit, sir, can you please tell me the name of
- 21 the Vietnamese expert that you cited, what that person's duties
- 22 were, and the years during which they exercised their duties?
- 23 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 24 A. I cannot recall his names, but if you refer to the OCIJ's
- 25 interviews, then you would clearly know their name. The person

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 was an expert working at the Ministry of Interior. I cannot
- 2 recall their name exactly, but of course their name will be in
- 3 that document, because I submitted a complete set of document
- 4 through OCIJ.
- 5 Q. And, sir, you were stating today that you had communicated
- 6 with the Co-Investigating Judges and you had provided the name of
- 7 that Vietnamese expert; is this correct?
- 8 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 9 Q. Can you please tell us the number of documents that you
- 10 collected from this particular person?
- 11 [10.02.55]
- 12 A. Could you please repeat your question? Which I received?
- 13 Q. How many documents -- what is the number of documents that you
- 14 received directly from this source, from that person?
- 15 A. I never received a document from that expert at Ministry of
- 16 Interior. I actually received document from the Ministry of
- 17 Interior from a working group of the documentation at that
- 18 ministry. Directly, I never received any document from that
- 19 expert. Please make this clear. And I believe it is clear in the
- 20 French translation that you are listening now.
- 21 Q. What serial code -- or what reference does DC-Cam put on
- 22 documents that you received from Mr. Hun Sen?
- 23 [10.04.00]
- 24 A. In the document, we use the word "HS" to notify that a
- 25 document was given by him. We found the documents, actually,

- 1 through the book that he authored, and then I requested through
- 2 his office for those documents. If you see the document with the
- 3 word "HS", it would denote the documents from him.
- 4 Any party can request for any document from DC-Cam. We do not
- 5 hide any document even if for the -- for the opposition parties
- 6 in the government. I also give them the documents when they wish
- 7 to get the documents that they wish.
- 8 [10.04.45]
- 9 Q. Are you aware of the year during which Mr. Hun Sen discovered
- 10 those documents?
- 11 A. I do not know what year that he obtained those documents.
- 12 However, I received the documents from him around 1995 or 1996,
- 13 when I started to research on his book at the National Archives.
- 14 And he referenced to those documents in his book. Then, I
- 15 requested to him to have those document possessed and obtained at
- 16 DC-Cam, and, as those documents belong to the DK period, then his
- 17 office gave those documents to us.
- 18 Q. How much time did DC-Cam require to gather all originals of
- 19 documents that you deemed as contemporaneous with the DK period
- 20 and that have been submitted before this Court?
- 21 A. Document collection is ongoing because we refer to documents,
- 22 and documents do not only come in the form of hard copy paper, or
- 23 photograph, or films; human beings are also considered to
- 24 documents, and we have millions of survivors, and we continue to
- 25 interview them so that we can collect all those events for the

- 1 young generations to understand.
- 2 So, if you ask how long do we need to take, this is kind of
- 3 ongoing and a permanent job. This is a historical activity, and
- 4 we do have living documents, so that is ongoing.
- 5 And in Cambodia, even if we have a younger generation that is
- 6 about 65 percent of the population, the 35 percent of the
- 7 population were those who went through the DK regime, and it is
- 8 important that we record their experiences throughout those --
- 9 the regime.
- 10 [10.07.19]
- 11 Q. Very well, sir. And that speaks directly to the noble mission
- 12 of your organization. However, we are here in a courtroom, and
- 13 the rules may differ.
- 14 Can you, therefore, please answer my question? And I repeat: How
- 15 much time did you require to gather all original copies of
- 16 documents that you judged as contemporaneous with the Democratic
- 17 Kampuchea period, which you have told us is deposited in secret
- 18 locations across Cambodia? How long did it take for you to
- 19 retrieve those documents and put them before this tribunal?
- 20 I'm talking about documents; I'm not talking about individuals.
- 21 Let us be very clear, I'm talking about documents that you
- 22 declare date back to the Democratic Kampuchea period.
- 23 [10.08.27]
- 24 A. Regarding the hard copy documents, we are working with
- 25 Michigan University. We are in the process of digitizing every

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 document so that they can be uploaded on the internet and
- 2 everybody can access it -- that is, access those contemporaneous
- 3 DK documents, since we do not have a permanent location and we do
- not want the original documents leaving Cambodia, as those 4
- 5 documents belong to Cambodia. So, if you are willing to wait, you
- 6 can see those original documents after they have been digitized;
- 7 they will be placed on the internet for you to view.
- And the process is ongoing, in digitizing those documents to be 8
- 9 placed, that the entire set of documents will be placed on the
- 10 internet. Everyone can view it, can make their opinions, and can
- understand how come people died during that regime. 11
- MR. PRESIDENT: 12
- 13 Mr. Witness, please compose yourself, and listen carefully to the
- question, and respond it accordingly. If you do not understand a 14
- 15 question, you can ask him to rephrase the question or you can
- 16 request the Chamber to clarify the question.
- 17 And, once you understand the meaning of the question, and please
- 18 respond only to what you are asked and don't go outside the
- 19 content of the question.
- 20 [10.10.20]
- 21 If I am not mistaken, your response is not a direct response to
- 22 the question asked by the counsel.
- Defence Counsel, you may repeat your question again. There could 23
- 24 be a misunderstanding of your question.
- 25 [10.10.40]

- 1 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 2 Q. This morning, you told the Court that original documents that
- 3 are contemporaneous with the Democratic Kampuchea era within the
- 4 possession of DC-Cam are stored in secret locations across
- 5 Cambodia.
- 6 My question is as follows: Are you able to produce them before
- 7 this Court? And how much time would you require to do so?
- 8 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 9 A. Mr. President, is my understanding correct that he wants me to
- 10 bring the original to the Court?
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 I notice the Lead Co-Lawyer is on her feet. You may proceed.
- 13 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. President. Good morning to everyone.
- 15 I believe that the question being asked by my friend, across the
- 16 way, is not relevant
- 17 This is a technical question that should be put by the Chamber if
- 18 Your Honours believe that DC-Cam should produce before this Court
- 19 one, 10 or a million original copies of those documents. But I
- 20 think those questions should be put at the appropriate time, and
- 21 not now.
- 22 [10.12.34]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- Nuon Chea's defence, you may proceed.
- 25 MR. SON ARUN:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Mr. President, I'd like to respond to the comment made by the
- 2 Lead Co-Lawyer.
- 3 The request by Khieu Samphan's defence is the same thing that I
- 4 initially requested to the Prosecution, in particular in regards
- 5 to the "Revolutionary Flag".
- 6 My client, Mr. Nuon Chea, while he was in the dock, he requested
- 7 for the original document repeatedly, and when he was given the
- 8 document, he threw that away because that was not the original
- 9 document. And he demanded for the original document so that he
- 10 can verify it.
- 11 Of course, it is not my turn to take the floor, but I believe the
- 12 original documents are important.
- 13 [10.13.55]
- 14 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 15 What is on your mind, Mr. Co-Prosecutor? Do you have anything
- 16 else to add? Can you organize yourself amongst the parties to
- 17 express your objections or comments in order?
- 18 And, as you know, the Lead Co-Lawyer is on the support side of
- 19 the Prosecution, and on the other side is the Defence, and if you
- 20 keep taking turn, this morning session would not be efficient. I
- 21 believe you need to organize yourself so that your objection
- 22 shall be done once and together to make it more efficient, as we
- 23 shall adhere to the principle of proceedings -- a fair trial
- 24 proceeding.
- 25 You may proceed -- the Prosecution, I mean.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. I'm reluctant, always, to intervene. I
- 3 was compelled to do so simply because I think my friend was
- referring to a matter on which Your Honours have ruled, that, I 4
- 5 believe, during Nuon Chea's giving evidence, you indicated that
- 6 originals were not required. And I just simply wanted to remind
- 7 my learned friend, and also for the record, this matter has been
- dealt with. Thank you. 8
- 9 [10.15.58]
- MR. PRESIDENT: 10
- 11 I have the decision in my hand. So I advise all the parties to
- read the verbal instructions that we announced earlier -- that 12
- 13 is, that we announced on the 26th of January 2012, regarding this
- very subject matter. And you are not allowed to raise the same 14
- 15 issue again and again. It has been ruled already by the Chamber.
- 16 You may now proceed.
- 17 BY MR. VERCKEN:
- 18 Q. Mr. President, I asked the witness if, from a technical
- 19 standpoint, it was difficult for DC-Cam to produce original
- 20 documents, and how much time would be required to introduce into
- 21 this Chamber all documents that DC-Cam judges as contemporaneous
- 22 with the period. I do not believe that I was in breach of any
- 23 decision that has been previously handed down by Your Honours.
- 24 [10.17.45]
- 25 MR. YOUK CHHANG:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 A. Regarding the technical aspect for transportation of those
- 2 documents upon the request by the Court, it may take a month.
- 3 This is just a rough estimation, because we have never
- 4 transported any original document outside the Center.
- 5 Regardless, if we are seized by Your Honours request, we will
- 6 have a discussion with the Court's technical team on how the
- 7 documents can be transported safely and securely to the Chamber.
- 8 I'd like to add that, if Uncle Nuon wants the original
- 9 "Revolutionary Flag" or the original documents, I can personally
- 10 deliver those documents to him. We do have those original
- 11 documents.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 Thank you, Mr. Witness. Defence Counsel, you may proceed with
- 14 your next question.
- 15 MR. VERCKEN:
- 16 I have no further questions, Mr. President.
- 17 [10.19.11]
- 18 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 19 National defence counsel for Khieu Samphan, how much time do you
- 20 anticipate for your turn?
- 21 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 22 I do have question, Mr. President, but I believe it is now time
- 23 for a break?
- 24 [10.19.30]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Of course, you are right. Because we want to know how much time
- 2 you need. If you need much time, then we can have a break first.
- 3 And, since your answer is not that clear and since the time is
- now appropriate for a break, we'll take a break now and we shall 4
- 5 resume at 10.40.
- 6 Court officer, please assist the witness during the break with a
- 7 refreshment, and bring him back into the courtroom before the
- 8 Court resumes.
- 9 Defence Counsel for Ieng Sary, you may proceed.
- 10 MR. ANG UDOM:
- Thank you, Mr. President. Your Honours, due to the health issue 11
- 12 and back pain of my client, Mr. Ieng Sary, he cannot -- he can no
- 13 longer sit here and he wishes to waive his right to participate
- 14 in today's proceeding by following it in the holding cell,
- 15 downstairs.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 After having heard the request by the accused Ieng Sary through
- 18 his defence counsel to waive his right to follow directly the
- 19 proceedings in the courtroom and instead to follow it in the
- 20 holding cell, downstairs, the Chamber grants the permission.
- 21 And the defence team needs to deliver to the Chamber the waiving
- 22 letter with your client's signature or thumbprint.
- 23 For the AV Unit, you are instructed to connect the proceedings to
- 24 the holding cell, downstairs, for the Accused to follow it.
- 25 [10.21.48]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 And that is for today's proceeding.
- 2 And security quards are instructed to bring him to the holding
- 3 cell, downstairs.
- We now adjourn. 4
- 5 (Court recesses from 1022H to 1044H)
- MR. PRESIDENT: 6
- 7 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- I now hand over to the national defence counsel for Khieu Samphan 8
- 9 to put question to the witness, Mr. Chhang Youk. You may now
- 10 proceed.
- 11 QUESTIONING BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- Good morning, Mr. President. Good morning, Your Honours. And good 12
- 13 morning, everyone. And good morning, Mr. Chhang Youk. My name is
- 14 Kong Sam Onn; I am the defence counsel for Khieu Samphan. I am
- 15 going to put some questions to the witness, and I hope that you
- 16 will provide truthful answers to my question.
- 17 Q. First, I would like to get the confirmation from you.
- 18 Yesterday, you said that you had not any previous study on
- 19 authentication of documents.
- 20 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 21 A. No. Yes -- No, I don't.
- 22 Q. At DC-Cam, you do not have any expert in authenticating or
- 23 verification of authenticity of documents?
- 24 [10.46.23]
- 25 A. We worked with National Archives to actually verify the

- 1 authenticity of the documents.
- 2 [10.46.34]
- 3 Q. So do you admit that you do not have any expert or specialist
- 4 in certifying the authenticity of document -- I mean that you do
- 5 not have any dedicated teams with a specialized skill in
- 6 authentication?
- 7 A. No, we don't have. We work together as a team, but we do not
- 8 have the so-called specialist.
- 9 Q. So it means that you admit that there is no specialist in
- 10 authentication of document; is that correct?
- 11 A. No, we don't.
- 12 Q. So, in your Center, there is no written principle in terms of
- 13 authentication of document?
- 14 A. Yes, we do. We have four criteria.
- 15 I mean, upon receiving one document, we first ask question. We
- 16 know that certain owner of the document or those who possess
- 17 document do not want to have any, you know, problems in the
- 18 future. For example, he may be summoned by the Court, so -- so he
- 19 simply offers it to the Documentation Center of Cambodia. And,
- 20 upon receiving that document, we ask them for the provenance of
- 21 the document, but as I indicate earlier, we did not make it in
- 22 writing when we receive that.
- 23 But actually we have the procedure to follow. For example, in our
- 24 worksheet we have different fields, one of which is the source of
- 25 the document. So in any event, when we need additional

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 information, we can ask them.
- 2 [10.48.16]
- 3 Q. So, if you have any doubts, then you ask further question; is
- 4 that correct? But you do not have any principle of
- 5 authentification of the documents?
- 6 A. It's a bit difficult to understand the question.
- 7 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 8 Your Honour, could the speaker be directed to pause a bit in
- 9 between question and answer?
- 10 [10.48.41]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The witness and counsels are advised to pause in between
- 13 questions and answer so that parties and others who speak other
- 14 languages other than Khmer can understand the questions and
- 15 answers through translation.
- 16 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 17 A. When we obtain the document, we have a list of questionnaires
- 18 and we follow the guidelines. So, upon receiving the document, we
- 19 have to ask the person who handed over the document, for example,
- 20 where he found the document and whether or not he can provide us
- 21 the contact details so that we can follow up with him when we
- 22 want to ask question.
- 23 Q. So, when you say that there is a written guideline, are you
- 24 talking about the questionnaire or line of questionings that you
- 25 ask them? Have you actually had a printed guideline that you can

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 ask -- ask them to follow, or you simply have the question?
- 2 A. We ask the question. Normally, we advise our staff to frame
- 3 their questions, for example when we receive the document. They
- 4 have to check whether or not the document was obtained from a
- 5 proper place. And we ask a few questions, but generally we ask
- 6 general questions about the document. But we also narrow it down
- 7 to a specific question as to the provenance of the document, as
- 8 well.
- 9 [10.50.27]
- 10 Q. So, when you put question to the person who handed over the
- 11 document to you, you ask the general question about the document,
- 12 not the specific content of the document?
- 13 A. Mr. Khieu Tola (sic) is a classic example of that. He is a
- 14 retired office -- civil servant -- Khieu Kola -- sorry -- and he
- 15 has in possessions several documents about Khmer Rouge, so he
- 16 handed over a number of document and photos to me as well. And I
- 17 -- when I met him, he told me that I could make use of those
- 18 documents when-Particularly, I can keep those document for the
- 19 research purpose. So I ask him for the contact number so,
- 20 whenever there is any question about this document, I can contact
- 21 him later on. But so far, for example, journalist or media wishes
- 22 to take this document and they want further information as to who
- 23 gave it to the DC-Cam in the first place, then we can contact
- 24 them.
- 25 [10.51.46]

- 1 Another example: I receive a number of photos from Japan. There
- 2 was a Cambodian quy working in Japan; he came across a pile of
- 3 photographs, and then he emailed it to me. He said he had in his
- 4 possession a number of photographs which he found in the former
- 5 Embassy residence in Japan. And he wanted to send those photos to
- 6 me and he did not want anything in exchange for those photos. He
- 7 merely wants them to be kept as a historical record. So we, so
- 8 far, have used those photos to publicize, we provide courtesy
- 9 copies to others as well. But we actually have the contact
- 10 address of the person who actually gave them in the first place.
- 11 So, at the same time, I have the overall question --
- 12 questionnaires to ask the person who actually give the photos and
- 13 documents.
- 14 Q. Just now, you brought up two examples, the photograph you
- 15 obtained from the Embassy and the other photograph from Khieu
- 16 Kola.
- 17 Did you ask them how they obtained those documents from the two
- 18 sources?
- 19 [10.50.36]
- 20 A. Well, we did not receive photograph from the Embassy --
- 21 Cambodian Embassy in Japan, but from the personnel of the
- 22 Embassy, the staff member of the former Cambodian Embassy in
- 23 Japan. And, when I receive document or photograph from Mr. Khieu
- 24 Kola, I ask -- I ask him as to where he obtained those document.
- 25 Because those photographs in colours, he said he obtained those

- 1 documents -- those photographs when he first entered Phnom Penh.
- 2 At that time, Phnom Penh was -- was an empty city. There were not
- 3 many people in the city, so he went to somewhere around the
- 4 former Soviet Embassy, and then he found those photographs, and
- 5 he want those photographs to be kept in the place where people
- 6 actually can benefit from it in the future use.
- 7 [10.54.37]
- 8 Q. Well, I'm not dwell on the details of the photos, but I would
- 9 like to actually want to ask you to enlighten the Chamber. Now,
- 10 for example, Mr. Kola discovered photograph somewhere in the
- 11 premise of the former Soviet Embassy. So Mr. Kola himself did not
- 12 have any knowledge as to how those photos -- photographer
- 13 actually kept in the Embassy in the first place.
- 14 A. That was correct.
- 15 Q. So it means that the chain of custody was actually
- 16 disconnected because you did not have the full information of the
- 17 custody of the document from when the photo were taken and how
- 18 the photos were kept in different places at different stages; is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 A. It depends on documents, because certain documents may be
- 21 small collection and other big collections, and it's sometimes
- $\,$  22  $\,$  difficult to ask for the minor details of the document. But Mr.
- 23 Kola -- Mr. Kola discovered those documents from the former
- 24 Soviet Embassy. So we ask -- we continue to ask, not only from
- 25 Mr. Kola, but we also look at the people who were in the photos

- 1 as well and we try to track down whether or not we could find the
- 2 first -- a trace of these photos.
- 3 [10.56.27]
- 4 Q. Earlier on, I asked you about the written guidelines on the
- 5 authentication of documents, and you said this guideline is
- 6 available in your Center. Can you enlighten the Chamber as to
- 7 what are the principles or basic guidelines for authentication?
- 8 [10.56.56]
- 9 A. Well, I can ask my staff member to send you a copy of that
- 10 guideline, if you -- if you like. And with permission from the
- 11 Chamber, I can inform the Chamber that, upon receiving document,
- 12 we have to ask where they obtained those documents, and the
- 13 address of the person who handed over the document, and when the
- 14 photos or document were discovered or from whom did the person
- 15 receive the document. And, upon counting the number of document
- 16 or pages, we ask them whether or not there are any other relevant
- 17 or supporting documents.
- 18 So there are many other details in each question, and If I
- 19 remember correctly, there are seven, eight questions, and these
- 20 question actually was established by a law professor; he was
- 21 professor in one university in California, in -- and I think now
- 22 he was being appointed as the charged affair at large of all
- 23 crime.
- 24 Q. Well, I am sorry to cut you but I think that the Chamber may
- 25 not want to hear the details of this; that should be enough.

- 1 A. Well, that -- that is the chain of custody, if you want to
- 2 know the historical trace of the document.
- 3 Q. Well, most importantly, I want to know the step or procedures
- 4 you work with the document.
- 5 [10.58.37]
- 6 A. Well, that -- that is the procedure, the existing procedures
- 7 and guidelines we have in our place.
- 8 Q. I would like to move on to the mission of your organization.
- 9 You mentioned earlier that your organization was established in
- 10 order to collect documents in order to reconcile. That's what you
- 11 said yesterday. And you also mention it again this morning; is
- 12 that correct?
- 13 A. Well, like yesterday, I could dwell on the subject for hours.
- 14 [10.59.24]
- 15 Q. Well, I do not ask you to dwell on this matter, but I would
- 16 like to ask you whether or not it was true or not you mention
- 17 about that mission of the organization. If you want to change
- 18 your mind, you can.
- 19 A. No, that was -- that was the mission, and it stands.
- 20 Q. In your view, as it is the mission of your organization, I
- 21 believe national reconciliation, as you stated, it's the vision
- 22 of your organization as well. I would like you to confirm to the
- 23 Chamber if Cambodia at its present situation has an issue that
- 24 needs to be reconciled. Can you provide you organization's view
- 25 on this matter?

- 1 And, of course, in fact, there are two questions linking to this.
- 2 The first question is that: Is your mission at DC-Cam has changed
- 3 since its inception until the present time?
- 4 A. Yes, I can respond -- if Your Honour permits, since Mr. Dara
- 5 already replied to this question.
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Witness, you are instructed not to respond to this question. It
- 8 is a repeated question and, as you represent the legal entity of
- 9 DC-Cam, you don't need to respond.
- 10 And, the Defence Counsel, please direct your question to the
- 11 subject matter being examined at this stage for this particular
- 12 witness in the named as the director of DC-Cam, in particular in
- 13 regards to the operations, the management, and collection of
- 14 documents at DC-Cam, as I remind other parties on a number of
- 15 occasions.
- 16 [11.02.22]
- 17 As regards to the missions or visions of DC-Cam, they are not
- 18 related to the subject matter being examined this morning.
- 19 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to make my observation that,
- 21 when DC-Cam was established in 1995, there were the Khmer Rouge
- 22 movements who were yet to be integrated into the Cambodian
- 23 Government, and it has changed since.
- 24 For that reason, I'd like to know the purpose of the mission of
- 25 DC-Cam at that time and its mission at this stage, or whether the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 mission that was set at the inception has not yet changed.
- 2 In addition, I'm of the view that my question is not repetitive,
- 3 as I focus on the status of change of vision at DC-Cam, if there
- 4 is any. And I'd like the instruction from you for the witness to
- 5 respond to this question.
- 6 [11.03.55]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Witness, you are instructed not to respond as we have already
- 9 ruled.
- 10 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 11 Q. I will now move on to another question for Mr. Chhang Youk.
- 12 Last week, Mr. Vanthan further testified before this Chamber.
- 13 And my question is: Did you follow his testimony?
- 14 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 15 A. Yes, but it was on occasion, not the complete testimony.
- 16 [11.04.52]
- 17 Q. You heard the main important -- the main points of the
- 18 testimony.
- 19 Did you discuss with your deputy, Mr. Vanthan Peou Dara, after
- 20 his testimony?
- 21 A. Before I went to Thailand, I met him. And later on I spoke to
- 22 him on the phone to expressing my support that he should not have
- 23 any worry or concern, because our testimony is important both the
- 24 victims and for the Accused. And of course, if I am the Accused
- 25 defendant, I would also want the victims and the ones who -- and

- 1 as for the ones who committed the crime to be punished. So I ask
- 2 him not to be worried.
- 3 Q. I don't really understand what you just said. If you are a
- 4 defendant for the Accused, you meant you want the victims to
- 5 become the criminals?
- 6 A. I think maybe you don't understand my statement, and my staff
- 7 probably would understand me more. What I intended to say is that
- 8 we want a fair and just Court. For that reason, we need to
- 9 respect the principle of the right for the Accused. It means they
- 10 have to have a good lawyer, like Mr. Karnavas.
- 11 So, in general, we have various methods. Some victims are actual
- 12 victims, but in certain examples, it seems that the victims were
- 13 portrayed as the criminals or the offenders. So that is the
- 14 concept that I always advise my staff not to get angry with the
- 15 questions put to him by the defence counsel so that he needs to
- 16 compose himself to provide a fair answer.
- 17 [11.07.29]
- 18 Q. Can you confirm to us in regards to the categorization of the
- 19 document? One point is that you had to categorize your documents.
- 20 Can you provide us a little bit of details regarding this
- 21 process?
- 22 A. If you study through the university, it seems simple enough.
- 23 In order to conduct research, we need two kinds of documents. And
- 24 of course you already believe -- I believe you already finished
- 25 your education and became a lawyer.

- 1 The two types -- the two levels of documents are the original
- 2 document and the supplementary document. For the five documents,
- 3 the five types, we put them into category. In English, people
- 4 would say the primary source; that is the direct original
- 5 documents that we received from the first custodian.
- 6 [11.08.53]
- 7 And, secondly, that a secondary resource that we receive from
- 8 another party. So, for all the five types of documents -- and I
- 9 believe you can recall those five -- they are into different
- 10 categories.
- 11 And of course, if you study at university, either locally or at
- 12 international university, everybody should be familiar with this
- 13 type of process. I hope you understand my statement. Of course, I
- 14 do, but I wait for the interpretation to finish first.
- 15 MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 16 I believe I need to seek a permission from the Chamber that, when
- 17 the witness gives an example, in his response, about my personal
- 18 background and education or that I should understand this
- 19 process, that is my personal affair. And the witness shall not
- 20 raise this issue as an example. And I'd like the Chamber to
- 21 instruct the witness not to do so again.
- 22 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 23 I think it applies to both. The Defence Counsel, you need to make
- 24 your questions clear and precise in order to have them as the
- 25 base for the witness to respond. If the questions are not clear,

- 1 then the response would not be clear.
- 2 And for the witness, please listen carefully to the question.
- 3 And, if you do not understand, you can ask for the rephrase or
- 4 the repeat of the question. Or you can do it through the Bench;
- 5 then the Bench can instruct the counsel to ask another question.
- 6 [11.11.15]
- 7 And, number two, please only respond to the question being asked.
- 8 And, when it comes to personal matters, please try to avoid this
- 9 issue -- for everyone in the courtroom -- so that we can uphold
- 10 the respect for one another. Counsel, you may now proceed.
- 11 [11.11.50]
- 12 BY MR. KONG SAM ONN:
- 13 Q. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Youk Chhang, before the
- 14 establishment and operation of this Court, did your organization
- 15 upload on the webpage the documents -- the contemporaneous
- 16 documents from the DK regime?
- 17 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 18 A. We uploaded the information in our four databases. They are
- 19 photographs, the maps of the mass grave, biographies, etc. And
- 20 other documents were scanned and attached to the -- each
- 21 database.
- 22 Q. Did you also upload the confessions on the website?
- 23 A. If you refer to 1,000 confessions that we received from the
- 24 Ministry of Interior, we tried to scan and to upload them, but
- 25 only some were uploaded. At that time, the internet speed was

- 1 slow, and if we uploaded more, it would crash the system. As a
- 2 result, only some were uploaded, not a complete set. But we did
- 3 not upload the confessions from the Tuol Sleng Museum.
- 4 [11.13.30]
- 5 Q. For all the documents that DC-Cam has received, does DC-Cam
- 6 make an assessment of those documents?
- 7 A. I believe my deputy, Vanthan Peou Dara, already stated on this
- 8 issue, how the -- whether we made an assessment or not. But my
- 9 response is that, for every piece of documents that enter the
- 10 DC-Cam, it would have to come across my desk. And, after I review
- 11 it, I would put it in different categories, based on the -- our
- 12 database: biographies would go to the biography section,
- 13 photographs would go to the photograph section, or mass grave
- 14 would go to each database accordingly. And, if you consider this
- 15 as an assessment, this is the kind of assessment that I did.
- 16 And then I would deliver this to my staff to scan, and to
- 17 digitize it, and put it the computer database.
- 18 [11.14.43]
- 19 Q. In your organization, does it have any assessment made by an
- 20 expert?
- 21 A. Yes, by Professor Ben Kiernan. He made assessment on the
- 22 Santebal dossiers. The assessment was not that difficult because
- 23 the word "Santebal", it is already Khmer on those documents. And
- 24 Ben Kiernan wrote a summary on the -- this type of document. You
- 25 may find this information in -- on the Yale University website.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 He made an evaluation and have it on that website, and he also
- 2 made an assessment or evaluation on the different types of
- 3 biographies. As I recall, it was not on the website. And, if you
- 4 need, I can provide you with this document as well.
- 5 Q. The assessment that you just mentioned, is it an official
- 6 assessment or it is a personal assessment?
- 7 A. It is an assessment made by the historian, Ben Kiernan.
- 8 Q. So it is a personal assessment, isn't it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. For the documents that you received from the National
- 11 Archives, had you conducted any official assessment?
- 12 [11.16.50]
- 13 A. I was aware of these documents after I read a book written by
- 14 Ben Kiernan, and he referenced those documents that was stored at
- 15 National Archives. So, in his book, it -- you can mean it is
- 16 already an assessment by him. And I was aware of these documents
- 17 through reading his book. So, probably, his book can be used in
- 18 order to support the assessment by this historian, Ben Kiernan,
- 19 on those documents.
- 20 Q. My question is that: The documents that you received from the
- 21 National Archives, does it have any assessment on them?
- 22 A. You mean the assessment by DC-Cam.
- 23 Q. I mean by the National Archives.
- 24 [11.17.58]
- 25 A. For the National Archives, it is a government and legal

- 1 institution. Madam Lim Ky was in charge of the documents. We
- 2 asked her for the source of the document and as who were the
- 3 previous custodians. As well as I can recall, they were received
- 4 from the Ministry of Culture and Propaganda made with Mr. Chanda
- 5 as the minister, at the time, and he was the President of the
- 6 Bench during the trial, at that time.
- 7 So, of course, the National Archives has the regulations, the
- 8 degrees for the maintenance of those documents.
- 9 And whether Madam Lim Ky make an assessment or not -- it might
- 10 have not been published, but I think the question can be directed
- 11 to her if necessary.
- 12 Q. Let me try to sum up your comment: You do not know whether the
- 13 National Archives has made any official assessment; is that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. My next question is that: Are you aware that, in Cambodia,
- 17 there is a law in relation to archiving?
- 18 A. Yes, there is such a law, and it was drafted by the Council of
- 19 Ministry. If I recall well, it was submitted through the National
- 20 Assembly for adoption, but I'm not sure whether it has been
- 21 approved by His Majesty. Of course, we also reviewed that law
- 22 when it was drafted, and we made some comments on the draft.
- 23 [11.20.48]
- 24 Q. I'd like to ask you another question in regards to the
- 25 practice at DC-Cam.

- 1 Did you adhere to the principle in this Archive Law?
- 2 A. The law has only been drafted recently, and it is yet to know
- 3 whether it has been signed by His Majesty. And when we started
- 4 collecting the documents, in 1995, this law was not yet drafted.
- 5 I cannot recall the exact year, but it was about two or three
- 6 years ago, when the law was drafted by the experts at the
- 7 National Archives and the Council of Ministers -- because the
- 8 National Counsel, the National Archives is under the management
- 9 of the Council of Ministers.
- 10 [11.21.55]
- 11 Q. For a proper record of transcript, the Archive Law was adopted
- 12 and signed by His Majesty since 2005. So the law has been in
- 13 force for almost seven years.
- 14 I'd like to ask another question in regard -- in regards to the
- 15 maintenance of the documents at DC-Cam.
- 16 The documents that you receive at DC-Cam, do you have experienced
- 17 staff who are able to authenticate the documents at your office?
- 18 A. Your Honours, when you talk about expertise, how do -- how do
- 19 you measure expertise? It is rather difficult for me to respond.
- 20 Regardless, I'll respond.
- 21 [11.23.29]
- 22 The word or the term "expertise" is too broad.
- 23 As I stated earlier, if we have any doubt, we will take
- 24 consultation with our partner -- that is, the National Archives
- 25 -- and we also work with various other organizations and

- 1 institutions. When you talk about expertise, are you talking
- 2 about a certificate or degree in expertise?
- 3 My staff, including my deputy, Dara, has been working for 17
- 4 years. And, if you said that they lacked the experience and
- 5 expertise and then they need to study in order to get a proper
- 6 master degree or something, that is difficult.
- 7 And I'd like to get instruction from the Chamber for the defense
- 8 counsel; Khmer language is rich, and the word "expertise", in
- 9 Khmer, is -- is so broad.
- 10 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chhang Youk. Let me elaborate that the word
- 11 "expertise", here, in the name that they have receive a special
- 12 training, a specialized training. Another person can be
- 13 considered as being specialty in providing authenticity on those
- 14 documents.
- 15 [11.24.59]
- 16 A. As Mr. Vanthan Peou Dara said, our staff have been trained at
- 17 the New South Wales University, where Madam Helen Jarvis was a
- 18 librarian who provided the training. And we have Madam Cross, her
- 19 assistant, also trained our staff in Australia. These two
- 20 individuals also came to DC-Cam to conduct trainings to various
- 21 other staff, and we have been working and sharing this experience
- 22 for the last 17 years.
- 23 Q. I'd like to focus on the expertise in authentication. This
- 24 morning, when you talk about the physical examination of the hard
- 25 copy, in particular on its colour -- on the age of colour, how do

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 you assess whether the document of that paper was produced during
- 2 the DK period?
- 3 [11.26.28]
- 4 A. Let me respond. There is no scientific -- forensic examination
- 5 on the physical papers; we use our visual observation. For
- 6 instance, this tissue, this piece of tissue cannot be from that
- 7 era. This is a new piece of tissue. The age of colour illustrates
- 8 the -- the time that it has gone through and also sometime people
- 9 use the colours -- the papers left from the DK period. The
- 10 remaining papers from the Lon Nol regime have also been used by
- 11 various other people, and if you actually smell the paper, the
- 12 smell is not that pleasant. And not only I have the visual
- 13 examination of those documents, other people do. And if you train
- 14 your eyes to -- for this visual examination, then for 17 years,
- 15 then you would know.
- 16 So the physical presentation is not the only factor that we
- 17 assessed; also the contents, the author, and the date of those
- 18 documents.
- 19 Why I talk about the colour? Because human beings see everything
- 20 through colour; like when I see you, I see a white colour, not a
- 21 black colour yet. So colour is important. And, when we train your
- 22 eyes for 17 years, with assistance from other people as well,
- 23 combining with various other information and factors, it is
- 24 sufficient for the DC-Cam to make assessment. Of course, we don't
- 25 have any scientific testing on the actual hard copy.

- 1 [11.28.37]
- 2 Q. Mr. Chhang Youk, can you confirm to the Chamber that the
- 3 physical custodian of paper in various locations might have an
- 4 impact on its -- on the physical condition?
- 5 A. You mean if it is not maintained in an air-conditioned room?
- 6 Q. For example, two pieces of a paper, one is in the room, one is
- 7 outside; the colour is changed, isn't it?
- 8 A. I am not an expert in colour, but in general, the
- 9 contemporaneous DK documents were stored in a room. Even if they
- 10 when they were scattered around in the Tuol Sleng Museum, they
- 11 were scattered in the room. I rarely see those DK documents
- 12 inside -- at my other locations. So, if there is an expert to
- 13 make this assessment, that will be interesting as well.
- 14 [11.30.03]
- 15 Q. When it comes to custodian or documents before they were
- 16 delivered to DC-Cam, did you make verification as in a forms of
- 17 questions on those people who delivered the documents to you in
- 18 regards to where they obtained those documents?
- 19 A. Your Honour, I probably need to provide an answer if you
- 20 allowed.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 Yes, you can do so.
- 23 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 24 A. The-- Let me give you an example. The documents at the
- 25 Ministry of Interior, I try to find the custodian, and I was told

- 1 that the documents were located near Sileb Market, on the way
- 2 approaching the US Embassy residence. But now, of course, it
- 3 became villas and karaoke palace.
- 4 For the documents at the National Archives, they were there. So I
- 5 was instructed directed, okay, they were in this room or that
- 6 room.
- 7 For the documents at the National Archives, they were there, so I
- 8 wasn't instructed or directed, okay, they -- they were in this
- 9 room or that room.
- 10 [11.31.38]
- 11 Previously, those documents were at the office of Mr. Keo Chanda,
- 12 or you can ask Mr. Bergström or Laura Summers that the documents
- 13 were then transferred to the Lund University. So you can really
- 14 try to locate the locations of those documents, but the locations
- 15 have been changed from 1979.
- 16 Q. Can you confirm the number of documents you have discovered
- 17 and the documents that were given to your organization? How many
- 18 percent did you discover by themselves and how many were given by
- 19 others?
- 20 A. Your Honours, I apologize, it's a bit difficult to come up
- 21 with the exact percentage, but of course, if I go into my
- 22 database, I can actually point it out and I can send the
- 23 information. And, talking about the specific percentage, it's a
- 24 bit difficult, as well, because the document is coming in every
- 25 day.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Q. I would like simply to know the document that you have
- 2 received and you have in your possession so far. As for the
- 3 incoming documents, we are going to talk about that later on. So
- 4 I would like to know how many percent of the documents you have
- 5 discovered by yourself -- by your organization, and how many
- 6 percent were you given by others.
- 7 [11.33.40]
- 8 A. Well, if If I refer to a specific percentage, this percentage
- 9 might be -- I might be kept hostage of this number. So I -- my
- 10 estimation, it may be 60 percent from my own organization and 40
- 11 percent from others. It's only indicative.
- 12 Q. I actually have heard the answer, but I would like to check
- 13 your confirmation. Document that you have received, you say --
- 14 you mentioned that there are around 1 million documents, but in
- 15 another occasion I heard that there were around 1 million pages;
- 16 which one is true?
- 17 [11.34.52]
- 18 A. Well, this is a technical aspect. If you look at the
- 19 technicality in compiling or collecting documents, when we talk
- 20 about one page; it is also considered a document as well -- a
- 21 one-page document is a document. So if we look at -- we refer to
- 22 the page, we look at the only page, but if you refer to the
- 23 sheet, there are two pages on the sheet. According to Mr. Ben
- 24 Kiernan, one page is considered a document. And certain people
- 25 consider that one-hundred-page or one-thousand-page book, for

- 1 example, is considered a document. But to us, one page is
- 2 considered one document, and then we continue counting them. So
- 3 this is the counting procedure, but other institutions might use
- 4 different methodology.
- 5 Q. So is it fair to say that over -- around 1 million documents
- 6 you have in your possession, it may be said that it accounts for
- 7 1 million sheets?
- 8 A. Well, it can be said that it's 1 million sheets, but it's
- 9 comprised of the five categories of documents we have in our
- 10 possession.
- 11 Q. According to you, you say that out of 1 million documents you
- 12 have in your possession, no document is considered fake or
- 13 fabricated.
- 14 A. There is one -- only one piece of document; the document
- 15 concerning the kidnapping of foreigners in Kampot province.
- 16 [11.36.50]
- 17 Q. So over -- around 1 million documents you have at the DC-Cam.
- 18 You recognize -- you admit that there is no one document that is
- 19 fake?
- 20 A. Are you talking about a document -- contemporaneous document
- of the DK period? Well, these documents are the contemporaneous
- 22 document from 1975 to 1979.
- 23 Q. So there is no single fake document out of those 1 million
- 24 documents; is that your answer?
- 25 A. Yes, that is the answer. I have never changed my answer.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 What do you mean by "fake"?
- 2 Q. "Fake" means that those document are not authenticated
- 3 documents; it is not a genuine document.
- 4 [11.37.52]
- 5 A. There is one document, as I said repeatedly, about the
- 6 kidnapping of foreigners in Kampot province, but other documents
- 7 are all from the DK period.
- 8 Q. The one document that you said it was irregular and -- it was
- 9 not a contemporaneous document of the DK period?
- 10 A. Well, yes, that's true. It was not relevant to the DK period;
- 11 it was way after 1975 to 1979.
- 12 Q. Documents in the possession of DC-Cam, are they confined to
- 13 the contemporaneous periods from April 1975 to the 6th of January
- 14 1979? Is that correct?
- 15 A. I think's that it's a bit repetitious. When we talk about
- 16 document, it's rather broad. It involves other documents, as
- 17 well. Documents that we actually enter into our database are, of
- 18 course, contemporaneous documents, but there are other documents.
- 19 For example, interviews with the survivors, the photographs
- 20 collected afterwards, they are also considered documents we have
- 21 in our possession, and we actually enter them into our database.
- 22 [11.40.06]
- 23 But the paper database, paper documents that we have and we enter
- 24 into our database are the contemporaneous document of the DK
- 25 period. But there are also documents that we call the "front

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber - Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 document"; those documents were considered petitions and those
- 2 documents were actually produced sometime in the early 1980s. And
- 3 we found those documents in the Ministry of National Festival,
- and those documents was produced after 1979, but it was the 4
- 5 supplementary documents which give some indication as to the mass
- 6 graves, as well as the pits of the Khmer Rouge regime. And we
- 7 actually use those documents as complimentary documents, and we
- consider them relevant and important. But later on we found that 8
- 9 it was not proper to include it into the category of documents,
- and we classify them as the "front documents". 10
- 11 [11.41.24]
- 12 Q. Thank you. Can you assess the documents -- I mean, the
- 13 documents that you consider the contemporaneous documents and the
- 14 documents that were produce later on, post-1979, can you
- 15 distinguish between the two types of documents?
- 16 A. This is quite a difficult question to answer. I think that
- 17 this -- this can be answered by the -- by looking at the database
- 18 of my website. If I answer from the top of my head, I cannot
- 19 actually recall. But if you want this information, you can
- 20 indicate that. I can provide -- can ask my staff to provide you
- 21 the exact data. Otherwise, you may look it in my -- in my
- 22 website. I do not want to tell you the exact number -- percentage
- 23 point because I don't want to be kept hostage with the number I
- 24 mention.
- 25 [11.42.49]

- 1 Q. That brings me to the last question: Do you know that your
- 2 former staff have been recruited at the ECCC? How many of them
- 3 have worked at the ECCC?
- 4 A. Well, yes, there are many -- there are many of my staff
- 5 working here. I noted yesterday Sochea, from OCP, Kalyan, Sophal,
- 6 Makara, Narin, Sorya so people working in Public Affairs, in
- 7 Translation Unit, in the OCIJ, but I cannot tell you the exact
- 8 number. But I remember their names, but I don't know how many
- 9 exactly and there is nothing to hide, as well. I can -- I can --
- 10 I can tell you the names because those people actually apply for
- 11 a job here and they were recruited through a regular process of
- 12 the Court, so they should be proud of themselves, and I can't
- 13 tell them -- I can't tell you the names of those former staff who
- 14 are actually now onboard with the ECCC.
- 15 [11.44.01]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Counsel. And thank you, Witness.
- 18 It is now time to adjourn for lunch. And the Chamber will adjourn
- 19 for lunch until 1.30 this afternoon.
- 20 And for your information, Mr. Chhang Youk, your testimony has not
- 21 yet come to an end yet. So, this morning, you will be brought to
- 22 -- before the Chamber again.
- 23 And the court officer is instructed to arrange the room for the
- 24 witness to take a rest and bring him to the courtroom before
- 25 1.30.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Security guards are now instructed to bring Mr. Nuon Chea.
- 2 And the Chamber notes the counsel is on his feet. Is there any
- 3 issue you want to raise? You may proceed.
- 4 [11.45.14]
- 5 MR. PESTMAN:
- 6 I almost forgot, Your Honours, to make my usual request. My
- 7 client would like to stay downstairs and follow the remaining of
- 8 the procedure from the holding cell.
- 9 And I've already submitted a copy of the waiver -- or the
- 10 original waiver to the greffier.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 Having heard the request by the accused Nuon Chea through his
- 13 counsel, who waives his right to be present in the courtroom, and
- 14 instead follow the proceeding remotely, through audiovisual
- 15 equipment, in the holding cell, the Chamber grants the
- 16 permission, and Nuon Chea may follow the proceeding from the
- 17 holding cell.
- 18 And the counsel also informed the Chamber that he has already
- 19 submitted the Chamber a copy of the original waiver that his
- 20 client would not participate directly in this proceeding. So the
- 21 AV technicians are instructed to connect the AV equipment so that
- 22 Mr. Nuon Chea can follow the proceedings remotely, from the
- 23 holding cell.
- 24 [11.46.56]
- 25 And security guards are instructed to bring Mr. Nuon Chea and

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Khieu Samphan to the holding cell, downstairs, and bring Mr.
- 2 Khieu Samphan to this courtroom before1.30.
- 3 The Court is now adjourned.
- 4 (Court recesses from 1147H to 1331H)
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Please be seated. The Chamber is now back in session.
- 7 We would hand over the floor to Nuon Chea's defence team in order
- 8 to put questions to this witness. You may now proceed.
- 9 [13.32.30]
- 10 QUESTIONING BY MR. PAUW:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honours. Good afternoon, everyone
- in the courtroom. Good afternoon, Mr. Youk Chhang.
- 13 I would like to start today by saying thank you to you, Mr. Youk
- 14 Chhang, because, as has been discussed over the past few days,
- 15 you have been very helpful in providing us with information. And,
- 16 as I understand, you've mostly dealt with my colleague, Andrew
- 17 Ianuzzi, and he tells me that the contact has always been very
- 18 pleasant and that you've always been helpful. And, as it was an
- 19 issue in the last few days, I would like to thank you on behalf
- 20 of the Nuon Chea defence team.
- 21 Q. And-- I'll wait for the translation. And as it was not a
- 22 question, I will continue with my first question, and that
- 23 relates to some general issues that I would like to pose some
- 24 questions about.
- 25 [13.33.25]

- 1 And the first one is-- It's been discussed a lot the past few
- 2 days, but I would like to get it very clear that, if DC-Cam
- 3 refers to a document as an original document, this document may
- 4 not be the actual document as it was produced during the DK era;
- 5 is that correct?
- 6 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 7 A. It is rather difficult because the photocopy document can also
- 8 be considered original because it is copied from the original
- 9 document held overseas.
- 10 Q. I think this answers my question in a slightly roundabout way.
- 11 I understand your question to mean that what you, at DC-Cam, call
- 12 originals are not necessarily documents that were at least
- 13 allegedly created in the DK era, between '75 and '79.
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. Thank you. And you've talked about this a little bit
- 16 yesterday, but I want to also make it very clear: When you come
- 17 across a document that dates from the 1975 to 1979 period, will
- 18 you collect it and keep it no matter what the content of that
- 19 document?
- 20 [13.35.17]
- 21 A. That is also correct.
- 22  $\,$  Q. And DC-Cam also collects documents that have not actually been
- 23 produced in the '75 to '79 period; we know this because some of
- 24 these are actually on the case file.
- 25 What criteria do you use to actually collect a document that was

- 1 not produced during the DK era or not collect it?
- 2 A. In general, those documents were given to us in a big chunk,
- 3 so there is a mixture. For example, those from Sweden, there were
- 4 some document from the DK era, and some were done by the DK
- 5 officials but after that period, and the whole lot of it went to
- 6 us. So we did not separate those documents as we tried to retain
- 7 the original collection. We were given those documents as a bunch
- 8 together.
- 9 [13.36.30]
- 10 Q. Okay, let me try to be more specific. If you, as a DC-Cam
- 11 researcher, or one of your researchers goes out into the
- 12 countryside in Cambodia and comes across a document that is
- 13 dated, let's say, 1982, but it still may or may not relate to the
- 14 '75-'79 period, what criteria do you use to then collect the
- 15 document or not?
- 16 A. In general, if the document was not produced during the DK
- 17 era, but it -- its content is related, for example regarding mass
- 18 grave or relocations, then we also collected that type of
- 19 document as well.
- 20 Q. And you say it is "related" to the DK era, but could you be a
- 21 bit more specific? Do you use any criteria to determine whether
- 22 it's related to the DK era?
- 23 [13.37.46]
- 24 A. I am not really clear on your question. Do you mean what --
- 25 what criteria I use in order to identify that a document was from

- 1 the DK era or how do I consider the documents that was produced
- 2 after the DK era?
- 3 Q. I'll make it easier, maybe, by giving a specific example -- a
- 4 fictional example. But let's say you come across a document that
- 5 is clearly produced in 1983, 1984, for example, and it talks
- 6 about my client, Nuon Chea; will you, then, collect it even if it
- 7 relates to actions or acts by my client that may have occurred
- 8 after the '79 period?
- 9 A. In general, we do not go to the countryside or to other places
- 10 to collect those documents. However, we were given those
- 11 documents as well, and then we retained them at our DC-Cam.
- 12 Q. I don't want to linger too much on this point so I will move
- 13 on. The question has not been answered, but that may be my fault
- 14 because the question is not clear. But I will move on.
- 15 [13.39.11]
- 16 You have stated at the Investigating Judges that certain scholars
- 17 have made annotations on the original DK-era documents. Can you
- 18 give us some names of some of those scholars?
- 19 A. From what I can recall, they're Professor Ben Kiernan, David
- 20 Chandler-
- 21 THE INTERPRETER:
- 22 The interpreter cannot catch the third name.
- 23 Q. Could you please repeat the third name?
- 24 A. Julio Jeldres, the-- That scholar was from Chile.
- 25 Q. At the Investigating Judges, you have actually discussed one

- 1 particular document and you've recognized the handwriting of Mr.
- 2 Stephen Heder; do you recall recognizing this document at the
- 3 Investigating Judges?
- 4 A. If you show me the document, then I can tell you that because
- 5 I know the handwritings of many senior researchers.
- 6 [13.40.47]
- 7 Q. Okay. Well, I would love to do that, but I, again, want to
- 8 keep an eye on the clock. So my question will be: According to
- 9 your knowledge, do you know if Steve Heder ever wrote on original
- 10 DK-era documents?
- 11 A. I cannot recall that well. And also which document you refer
- 12 to? I only remember the three scholars that I mentioned earlier;
- 13 they did make annotation on the minutes meeting of the Standing
- 14 Committee. In the case of Steve Heder, I need to see that
- 15 document before I can say so.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- 17 In your discussions with the Investigating Judges, you have used
- 18 the term "at-risk documents". Can you explain what the term
- 19 "at-risk documents" means?
- 20 A. We use the term "at-risk" in reference to those documents.
- 21 This type of document is a document that -- of our concern due to
- the clashes in 1997.
- 23 [13.42.18]
- 24 I discussed with Ben Kiernan and Helen Jarvis what shall we do to
- 25 that documents due to the unstable situation. Then, we were

- 1 advised that for the important documents, we should gather them
- 2 in one secure location. As I remember, our building was being
- 3 refurbished. And our building was on the east of the Independence
- 4 Monument, and at that time there were a lot of armors and
- 5 soldiers, so I told Ben Kiernan that this one document -- or
- 6 these documents were at-risk documents, and we need to find a
- 7 secure location.
- 8 Q. Thank you, that's a clear answer.
- 9 We've discussed several times in the past few days that DC-Cam
- 10 has as its mission searching for the truth, and one of the things
- 11 that your organization is searching for is the truth about the
- 12 people that died during the DK era; is that correct?
- 13 [13.43.37]
- 14 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 15 Q. In your research, do you ever come across documents or other
- 16 forms of evidence that could provide other explanations for
- 17 deaths that have been attributed to the DK regime?
- 18 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 19 Mr. President, if I may, we would object to this question, simply
- 20 because it relates to DC-Cam's other activities and methods in
- 21 areas into which they may undertake research.
- 22 And, just recalling Mr. Karnavas' objection yesterday, we're here
- 23 to deal with the methodology for obtaining, cataloguing, and
- 24 treating DC-Cam documents that are now before the Court, other
- 25 DC-Cam activities being irrelevant to that issue. Thank you.

- 1 MR. PAUW:
- 2 Mr. President, if I may respond shortly, I think the objection by
- 3 the OCP is -- should not be sustained for several reasons.
- 4 It's clear that this type of evidence, if it is collected by
- 5 DC-Cam, is relevant also in this trial, because it would raise
- 6 the question if DC-Cam possesses this evidence, has or has not
- 7 transferred this evidence to the Court.
- 8 [13.45.02]
- 9 As to what my colleague, Mr. Karnavas, said yesterday, that was
- 10 his position. I do not remember the OCP standing up in support of
- 11 his position, and I think the Chamber actually ruled in favour of
- 12 my colleague from the civil parties, stating that the collection
- of evidence is something that can be discussed.
- 14 [13.45.23]
- 15 Our position is that, if you talk about the collection of
- 16 evidence, the flip side of that is that the not collection of
- 17 evidence or certain evidence that is not transferred to the
- 18 Court, for that matter, should be a topic of discussion. We
- 19 cannot limit ourselves to just discussing the documents that have
- 20 been found and that have been transferred; we need to also
- 21 discuss what documents have not been looked for, have not been
- 22 collected or, possibly, have not been transferred. We need to get
- 23 that whole picture; that's what we are here for today. It belongs
- 24 to the discussion of the collection of evidence.
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Witness, please wait.
- 2 (Judges deliberate)
- 3 [13.47.16]
- 4 Witness, have you heard clearly the questions that you were
- 5 asked?
- 6 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 7 I would like the counsel to repeat his question.
- 8 BY MR. PAUW:
- 9 Q. Yes, Mr. Youk Chhang. My question was--
- 10 I'll give a little bit of an introduction to make it, perhaps,
- 11 more clear.
- 12 The DK regime has been accused of being responsible for the death
- 13 of many people. There might however be other causes of some of
- 14 those deaths, at least.
- 15 Do you, in your research, ever find documents or other forms of
- 16 evidence that could provide other explanations for those deaths,
- 17 for example documents relating to the American bombing raids or
- 18 documents relating to Lon Nol atrocities?
- 19 [13.48.28]
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 21 Witness, you can respond to this question, and the objection
- 22 raised by the Prosecution is denied.
- 23 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 24 A. Usually, we receive information, in particular from Svay
- 25 Rieng, where heavy bombardment was made. We also witness the

- 1 fragment of the bombs. We also saw the remnants of weaponry on
- 2 the mountain, in Rattanakiri. And we also informed the military
- 3 museum, in Siem Reap, regarding these remnants of weapons.
- 4 We also used to be informed of the death of people, and not due
- 5 to the imprisonment, but due to sickness and lack of medicine.
- 6 BY MR. PAUW:
- 7 Q. Thank you. Thank you. And my actual question was: When you
- 8 come across that evidence, for example remnants of bomb craters,
- 9 would you collect that evidence? Would you take pictures? And
- 10 would you store it at DC-Cam?
- 11 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 12 A. Yes, we do. We went to wrote some articles in the "Search for
- 13 the Truth" magazine.
- 14 Q. And, when you go out in the countryside to interview people as
- 15 to the things that happened in the DK era, do people voluntarily
- 16 speak about other things that may have happened, such as, again,
- 17 American bombing raids or the Lon Nol regime?
- 18 A. Usually, we would ask them to describe about their large
- 19 events. Some of them started from the fifties, the sixties or
- 20 during the events of the bombardment. So our staff actually
- 21 recorded the description of those events, and they are on the
- 22 tape.
- 23 Q. Okay, thank you. That is very clear.
- 24 [13.50.57]
- 25 Then-- And moving on to another topic, and that is your

- 1 interactions with the OCP-- And we have asked your deputy, Mr.
- 2 Dara, some questions regarding this, but some things remain
- 3 unclear.
- 4 So the first question would be the following. You have stated
- 5 that you received a letter from the OCP on the 8th of September
- 6 2006; in this letter, the OCP officially requested you to
- 7 transfer documents.
- 8 Do you remember giving this date in your interview with the
- 9 Co-Investigating Judges?
- 10 A. I cannot recall that. We have received many request letters.
- 11 If you can show me the particular letter, then I can describe.
- 12 Because, usually, we instruct any party for a request to make it
- in writing.
- 14 Q. Again, with a view on the clock, I will not show you the
- 15 actual letter; I will just tell you that you have made a
- 16 statement with the Investigating Judges, in which you stated
- 17 that, on the 8th of September 2006, you received a first letter
- 18 by the OCP in which the OCP requested DC-Cam to hand over
- 19 documents.
- 20 [13.52.34]
- 21 My question is the following: Before you received this first
- 22 letter by the OCP, had you had meetings with representatives of
- 23 the OCP?
- 24 A. We never discuss about the documents that a party would like
- 25 to request. We know that, at the ECCC, there is Mr. Craig

- 1 Etcheson who usually informs his peers about the database used at
- 2 DC-Cam. And, if anyone wants a particular document, then they
- 3 needs to refer to the code used at DC-Cam. So all the letters
- 4 from OCP, OCIJ or the DSS, they must be brought to my attention
- 5 with the codes of the documents that they would like to obtain.
- 6 And that is the practice.
- 7 Q. Thank you for that answer, but my question was: Before the OCP
- 8 actually requested documents from DC-Cam by official letter, had
- 9 you had -- you, personally, Mr. Youk Chhang -- had you had any
- 10 meetings with representatives of the OCP?
- 11 [13.54.11]
- 12 A. If you talk about the meeting to discuss a document, no, but
- 13 if they were -- or they went to work in an office in the DC-Cam,
- 14 yes, because we have an office reserved for all parties, but
- 15 there was no discussion on any particular document.
- 16 Q. Thank you. When-- According to your recollection, what was the
- 17 first time you met a representative from the OCP?
- 18 A. I cannot recall that. Because at that time it was Mr. Robert
- 19 Petit. I met him and I met Madam Chea Leang, but I cannot recall
- 20 the first time that I met with them. I can say I met with them
- 21 only during the operation of the ECCC.
- 22 Q. Okay. And do you remember what was discussed in your first
- 23 meeting with Robert Petit? And I'm not sure if Chea Leang was
- 24 present, but do you remember what was discussed with Mr. Robert
- 25 Petit?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 [13.55.54]
- 2 A. It was rather difficult because Mr. Robert Petit was a man of
- 3 a few words, he did not speak much and he was rather reluctant,
- 4 as he believed I worked for the government institution. I met
- 5 with Mr. Robert Petit once, and he was really unfriendly at the
- 6 time, and I was rather surprised because it was the first time
- 7 that I met him and I met his wife; she was a dark woman. And, of
- 8 course, nothing else of interest at the time.
- 9 Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Robert Petit, at any point, which
- 10 documents DC-Cam would supply to the OCP?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you ever suggest to the OCP which documents it should look
- 13 at in order to prosecute the individuals that are standing trial
- 14 at the ECCC?
- 15 A. No, not at all.
- 16 [13.57.16]
- 17 Q. And do you know -- so I'm asking for your knowledge -- do you
- 18 know how the OCP decided which documents they wanted to receive
- 19 from DC-Cam?
- 20 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 21 We would object to this question, Your Honours. Clearly, it is
- 22 not a fact that is within the witness' knowledge, as to how the
- 23 OCP may have assessed the documents at DC-Cam.
- 24 MR. PAUW:
- 25 Mr. President, it would be in the witness' knowledge if the -- if

- 1 a representative from the OCP has told Mr. Youk Chhang why they
- 2 select certain documents, so I would ask the witness to answer
- 3 the question, with your permission, of course.
- 4 (Judges deliberate)
- 5 [13.58.49]
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Your objection is sustained.
- 8 Defence Counsel, you may proceed with another question or another
- 9 precise question.
- 10 BY MR. PAUW:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. President. I will move on.
- 12 Q. Has the OCP or the OCIJ ever asked you for documents that you
- do not actually have in your collection?
- 14 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 15 A. Generally speaking, we never discussed the documents. We will
- 16 copy documents upon the request.
- 17 Q. And-- Okay. I'll let this be for now and I will move on to a
- 18 following topic. And it's something that we discussed this
- 19 morning. And we've had a lot of discussion about the words
- 20 "assessment", or "analysis", or "evaluation", and apparently, in
- 21 Khmer, there's -- there's some confusion -- as there might be in
- 22 English, by the way -- about the exact meanings of those words.
- 23 So I'm going to try to be precise in my questions.
- 24 [14.00.19]
- 25 And my question is the following: When DC-Cam receives documents,

- 1 you have stated this morning that you assess the documents and
- 2 you place it in a category or you assign it to a category such as
- 3 a photo, or a biography, or a mass-grave site; is that correct?
- 4 Is that what you stated this morning?
- 5 A. Yes, it is correct.
- 6 Q. When you receive a document, does DC-Cam "assess" whether this
- 7 document contains inculpatory information?
- 8 [14.01.11]
- 9 A. No, we did not do that. We only look at the content of the
- 10 document so that we can put that in our database.
- 11 Q. Do you "analyze" whether that document contains inculpatory
- 12 information? I'm just changing the word, to be sure.
- 13 A. We made summaries that I included in a work sheet in order to
- 14 capture what I included in the documents, and these are uploaded
- on the webpage of the DC-Cam. We call them summaries. So, in
- 16 order to have a brief understanding of what the documents are all
- 17 about, we included these summaries an upload them on the website
- 18 so that researchers can have a quick look on the content of the
- 19 documents.
- 20 Q. So you prepare a summary; I understand this. But is part of
- 21 the summary analyzing whether statements -- or, sorry, whether
- 22 information contained in document is inculpatory?
- 23 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 24 Your Honours, we would object to this line of questioning.
- 25 I was reluctant to intervene immediately, but I think my friend

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 is continuing beyond the scope of relevance.
- 2 The inculpatory or otherwise contents of the document and their
- 3 assessment as such by DC-Cam is clearly not relevant for the
- 4 purposes of the test of prima facie relevance, reliability, and
- 5 authenticity, which Your Honours have clarified recently.
- 6 MR. PAUW:
- 7 Mr. President, with your permission, again, I think we have a lot
- 8 of misunderstanding about the meaning of the word "to analyze",
- 9 "to evaluate", "to assess", and I want to be absolutely clear
- 10 about what these words mean and what DC-Cam actually does to
- 11 these documents. And Mr. Dara was very, very clear about this
- 12 last week, and that may have been due to a translation issue.
- 13 So, here, we are -- we can clarify this issue, and it is
- 14 important. And I will also demonstrate this in the remainder of
- 15 my questioning.
- 16 So I would, again -- would like to ask the witness whether a part
- of making summary is --whether he "analyzes" whether the document
- 18 is inculpatory.
- 19 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 20 Can the witness hold?
- 21 (Judges deliberate)
- 22 [14.05.47]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 The objection is sustained.
- 25 Witness is not required to answer the question put forward by

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 defence counsel.
- 2 And counsel may begin with a new questions.
- 3 BY MR. PAUW:
- 4 Thank you, Your Honour. I will move on.
- 5 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, you stated yesterday that one of the
- 6 important activities of DC-Cam had been to push for a trial by an
- 7 independent tribunal that would try the alleged perpetrators of
- 8 crimes in the DK era; is that correct?
- 9 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. Which specific individuals did DC-Cam want to have prosecuted
- 12 before such a tribunal?
- 13 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 14 Your Honours, I object. Clearly, this question is not relevant.
- 15 MR. PAUW:
- 16 Mr. President, if I may, it's -- clearly is relevant because it
- 17 relates to the again -- the collection of evidence, and DC-Cam
- 18 has been collecting evidence; it's the core of this case. And it
- 19 simply matters if DC-Cam had certain individuals in mind when it
- 20 was collecting this evidence.
- 21 And I think Mr. Youk Chhang is the best person to answer this
- 22 question: Did DC-Cam have a preference for certain persons to be
- 23 prosecuted? And I think it's a straightforward question. I don't
- 24 understand the objection by the OCP.
- 25 (Judges deliberate)

- 1 [14.10.02]
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Concerning this issue, it is not clear, so the Chamber would like
- 4 to hand over to Judge Cartwright to inquire about this issue.
- 5 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 6 Thank you, President. Counsel for Nuon Chea, the Chamber
- 7 considers that you could rephrase your question in such a way as
- 8 to inquire whether, as director of DC-Cam, Mr. Chhang Youk has
- 9 ever publicly expressed a view on who might be prosecuted before
- 10 this tribunal. What he might say privately is a different matter,
- 11 but "publicly", "as DC-Cam".
- 12 Are you clear about that? Does that cover your concerns?
- 13 MR. PAUW:
- 14 Thank you, Judge Cartwright. I could not have said it better
- 15 myself. So, if this question can be put to the witness as your
- 16 phrase it, I would be delighted.
- 17 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 18 You want me to dictate your question to the Accused, now, do you?
- 19 [14.11.30]
- 20 Well, Mr. Chhang Youk, as director of DC-Cam, have you ever
- 21 publicly stated what, if any, people should be charged --
- 22 prosecuted before the ECCC, in your capacity as director?
- 23 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 24 A. Thank you, Your Honour. I once talked that any Khmer Rouge
- 25 leader who committed crimes shall be brought to be prosecuted. I

- 1 used to say this several times.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 3 Defence counsel may proceed with other questions.
- 4 BY MR. PAUW:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President. And thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang, for
- 6 that answer.
- 7 [14.12.36]
- 8 Q. "Searching for the Truth" is a DC-Cam publication; is that
- 9 true?
- 10 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. And is it true that you write articles in this magazine?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. And in "Searching for the Truth", in this magazine, have you
- 15 ever explicitly called for the prosecution of Mr. Nuon Chea?
- 16 A. As I told Madam Judge, I wish any Khmer Rouge leader that has
- 17 been found by the Khmer Rouge to be responsible for the crimes to
- 18 be punished.
- 19 Q. I understand your position, Mr. Youk Chhang, but the question
- 20 is; Have you ever specifically mentioned the name of my client,
- 21 in your publication "Searching for the Truth", as somebody that
- 22 should be prosecuted by this Court?
- 23 A. I have written -- I said so -- but I do remember which issue
- 24 that include that phrase in the magazine.
- 25 Q. Thank you. When DC-Cam was started, at the very early

- 1 beginning, did you or anybody else at DC-Cam already expect that
- 2 some DK-era officials might be brought to trial?
- 3 [14.14.43]
- 4 A. I am never hopeless that there would be such a court to bring
- 5 Khmer Rouge leaders to trial, so I always thought that there
- 6 would be a court. But I am not a court, I am not the senior
- 7 researchers, so I will admit myself to only collecting documents
- 8 to be ready for use by the public and by the Court. So, if I
- 9 remember it correctly, in 1995, I began to work at Yale
- 10 University with these kind of ideas, that I expect that there
- 11 would be a court to bring those who were responsible for the
- 12 crimes during the regime to be punished.
- 13 Q. Thank you. And you say you collected documents and you wanted
- 14 to make sure that they were ready for use by the public and by
- 15 the Court.
- 16 Can you tell us whether you took any special measures to have
- 17 your documents be ready for use by a court, or by this Court --
- 18 oh, but, no, this Court was not in existence then -- by a court?
- 19 A. At the time, there were many researchers who were interested
- 20 in this issue, and I have my personal requests, and we had
- 21 requests also from the United Nations, who requested to me to
- 22 collect documents for them to do their research. And those people
- 23 also included Steve Heder. I helped him to the extent possible in
- 24 order to collect documents for him.
- 25 [14.16.45]

- 1 Q. This did not answer my question entirely. My question was:
- 2 When you collected and assembled these documents, did you take
- 3 any special measures to prepare for a possible future use of
- 4 these documents by a court?
- 5 A. I'm not clear about the question. Are you asking whether I had
- 6 any plan to compile case files for individuals? Is that what you
- 7 are asking me?
- 8 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 9 Can Counsel ask the question again? And make sure that the
- 10 question is easy to understand so that there is no confusion in
- 11 the answer, or the -- otherwise, we cannot have the answer for
- 12 that question.
- 13 BY MR. PAUW:
- 14 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 15 Q. Again, Mr. Youk Chhang, I could not have said it better
- 16 myself. This is exactly what I am asking for: Did you collect
- 17 documents and put them in a sort of case file relating to
- 18 specific individuals?
- 19 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 20 A. I prepared these case files upon the request by different
- 21 individuals who are interested in analyze -- in evaluating those
- 22 individuals.
- 23 Q. Can you tell me the names of those individuals that were
- 24 interested?
- 25 [14.18.45]

- 1 A. There are many. There are many, and I can provide some
- 2 examples. Some requests as to find the relatives who died during
- 3 the regime, and some others are local cadres. And some requested
- 4 to find information about their relatives who were detained at
- 5 the security center, and some also requested information related
- 6 to Ieng Sary, Om Van, Om Hem.
- 7 So, upon those requests, we collected documents and copied the
- 8 documents into places so that people who are interested can
- 9 research. We expect that there may be some others who might
- 10 request the same thing, so we prepare it in advance; it would be
- 11 easy for those people.
- 12 [14.19.45]
- 13 And I recall that Mr. Heder came to me and asked for documents. I
- 14 was able to collect documents and put them on some cabinets. Mr.
- 15 Heder wrote a document called "The Seven Candidates for
- 16 Prosecution".
- 17 We have had different requests, and most of those requests are
- 18 interesting, and so we prepared these documents well in advance
- 19 so that we-- We expected, like I said. If there were similar
- 20 requests, then everything will be ready for them.
- 21 And once we have those documents well in advance, then, it takes
- 22 only less than two hours to give the documents to those who
- 23 requested for the documents.
- 24 Q. And the follow-up question would then be: At DC-Cam, at the
- 25 time, in the 1990s, was there a file, or a folder, or a

- 1 collection of documents, at DC-Cam, that was called the "Nuon
- 2 Chea file"?
- 3 A. We have a Nuon Chea folder, and the Nuon Chea documents are
- 4 also provided to Mr. Roger, because he requested us to collect
- 5 any document related to what we had done before and prepare those
- 6 documents and deliver them to him. So he requested us to deliver
- 7 these documents to him. He requested documents related to
- 8 telegram or other kind of documents that are related to Om Hem,
- 9 for example, or to pits or mass graves.
- 10 [14.21.50]
- 11 Q. Thank you, but I have very little time this afternoon. So I
- 12 hear your answer that, indeed, you have a Nuon Chea folder.
- 13 Can you indicate when this folder was first established, in which
- 14 year, more or less?
- 15 A. Since the establishment of the DC-Cam, in 1995, there were
- 16 ongoing requests, so I do not remember which request was that
- 17 made -- when that request was made.
- 18 And we may have had this kind of ideas a long time ago, because
- 19 we may have journalists or media personnel who may come to us and
- 20 ask for this kind of documents. So what I'm trying to say is that
- 21 these documents existed for a long time ago.
- 22  $\,$  Q. Do I understand you correctly that the Nuon Chea folder was
- 23 first created upon request by somebody external? Is that how I
- 24 understand your answer?
- 25 A. Yes.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Q. And, after you created the Nuon Chea folder, has the only
- 2 purpose of that Nuon Chea folder been to assist outside research
- 3 outside researchers for requests for documents?
- 4 A. We also have internal requesters. For example, the opposition
- 5 party also requested this kind of documents. I provided about
- 6 one-thousand-page document to them, according to their request.
- 7 Q. And at DC-Cam, have you ever analyzed the contents of the Nuon
- 8 Chea folder to see whether those documents are inculpatory,
- 9 possibly exculpatory or neutral?
- 10 A. First of all, I now made myself clearly: I am not a lawyer, so
- 11 I'm not interested in analyzing legally the contents of the
- 12 documents. I am not a legal practitioner, but I am interested in
- 13 reading historical documents. At any point that I am interested
- 14 in a particular point, I will seek information. For example, if I
- 15 am reading a document on a particular page and I'm interested in
- 16 that, I will seek for more information relating to that. Because,
- 17 I know, me, myself, clearly, I am not a lawyer.
- 18 Q. So do I understand your answer correctly when I say that you,
- 19 yourself, personally, have not analyzed these documents or
- 20 assessed these documents to see if they contained inculpatory
- 21 material?
- 22 A. In English, we use the word (in English) "curiosity". I am
- 23 interested in something, for example, and so I try, in order to
- 24 find out -- you know, to cure my curiosity.
- 25 Q. I would suggest that we do not add yet a fourth word to the

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 discussion to describe what's happening at DC-Cam at the
- 2 documents. So I will phrase my question, and I will use the
- 3 English words "to analyze", and I would ask the Khmer
- 4 interpreters to actually put the English word to you, "to
- 5 analyze": Did you personally ever analyze the collection of
- 6 documents to see if they contained inculpatory information?
- 7 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 8 Your Honours, we object.
- 9 [14.26.18]
- 10 This very question was ruled inadmissible only 10, 15 minutes
- 11 ago.
- 12 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 13 The objection is sustained.
- 14 Witness does not have to answer this last question.
- 15 BY MR. PAUW:
- 16 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 17 Q. In the -- in your collection of evidence, in DC-Cam's
- 18 collection of evidence, have you or DC-Cam ever focused on
- 19 collecting evidence that would implicate certain particular
- 20 individuals?
- 21 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 22 A. I am of the view that the law cannot-- Rather, we are not
- 23 using the word "evidence". For us, we are seeking for the truth.
- 24 I don't know whether you prefer to call it evidence. For us, we
- 25 want to know the truth. And in this case, for the document that I

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 have read, no matter where the document comes from, I am
- 2 interested in all of them. So like I told you, if there is a
- 3 request for that document, I will feel interested in reading that
- 4 document, every day.
- 5 [14.28.11]
- 6 Q. I hear you say that, at DC-Cam, you do not use the word
- 7 "evidence", and I note that your deputy said a similar thing last
- 8 week.
- 9 Are you aware that I showed the strategic plan for DC-Cam for the
- 10 years 2009 and 2011 to your deputy, that actually uses the word
- "evidence"?
- 12 A. There may be a confusion confusion when it comes to the
- 13 analysis of this word. The document was written by me, myself,
- 14 and there were three photos. My photo was one of -- is one of
- 15 them. I remember this document clearly.
- 16 What I said just now is that the law never monopolize the word
- 17 "evidence". For historians, this can be used in order to seek the
- 18 events that happened in the history. And, if you read documents
- 19 in -- historical documents, you can also see that the word is
- 20 used. And you read our poster, you will see that the word
- 21 "evidence" is used. That's why I'm saying -- I'm saying here the
- 22 law does not monopolize the word -- the use of the word
- 23 "evidence". For me, coming from a social science background, we
- 24 use this word for the purpose of our research because we want to
- 25 know what happened in the history. And the reason why we want to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 know what happened in the history, because we prevent the events
- 2 from not -- from happening again. And if you interpret the word
- 3 in another way, that -- the meaning will change accordingly.
- 4 [14.30.16]
- 5 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. I think the confusion remains, but
- 6 I'll leave it at that.
- 7 I have spoken with your deputy, Mr. Dara, about the
- 8 Accountability Project at DC-Cam. Could you briefly explain what
- 9 the goal of the Accountability Project is?
- 10 A. This project -- for this project, we have a questionnaire, and
- 11 the questionnaire was submitted to the Court, to the
- 12 Co-Investigating Judges, and this questionnaire was developed by
- 13 one of our advisors. And we had consultations with other legal
- 14 officers.
- 15 We tried our best to avoid leading the interviewees from giving
- 16 self-incriminating answers.
- 17 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, I'm sorry to interrupt you again. I didn't
- 18 ask you about the procedure of the Accountability Project; I'm
- 19 asking you what the goal of the Accountability Project is. Could
- 20 you give me a short answer on that, please?
- 21 A. We want to know about what happened in our history -- that is,
- 22 for the crimes committed during the DK period.
- 23 Q. Last week, I read a short excerpt from a document that is --
- 24 that can be found on your website. And I will not show it, but I
- 25 will read from it. I did the same thing last week. And I will

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 quote:
- 2 "The project is directly relevant to the cases to the cases
- 3 against senior surviving Khmer Rouge leaders at the ECCC. It
- 4 provides a way to illuminate chains of command, reporting
- 5 practices, and other institutional features of the DK regime that
- 6 can help to hold leaders accountable for atrocities committed in
- 7 the field." End of quote.
- 8 [14.32.37]
- 9 And I put it to you that, apparently, one of the goals of the
- 10 Accountability Project is to hold DK leaders accountable for
- 11 alleged atrocities committed.
- 12 And my question to you is: Did you -- has DC-Cam actively looked
- 13 for evidence implicating those leaders in atrocities?
- 14 A. The purpose, in this project, is to gather historical
- 15 information for the Court in order to find the culprits who
- 16 committed crimes during that period. As Mr. Dara already informed
- 17 you, that is -- our action is based on those historical documents
- 18 and to interview those peoples, based on the documents, as to
- 19 what that person did during that period. And of course this would
- 20 assist in expediting the process before the Court.
- 21 And we only conduct our interviews based on the names of those
- 22 people in the documents in the historicals that we received. Many
- 23 of those people that we tried to interview already died, and then
- 24 we tried to find the rest or the remaining of the relatives of
- 25 those who already died. If you want to look at the transcript or

- 1 the tapes, you are welcome at DC-Cam.
- 2 Q. Thank you. I think that answers my question. In this document
- 3 that I just quoted from, DC-Cam speaks about the "illumination of
- 4 chains of command".
- 5 Can you explain to us why the illumination of chains of command
- 6 is important to DC-Cam?
- 7 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 8 Your Honours, for some time, now, we've been hearing questions
- 9 that appear completely unrelated to the issue of provenance of
- 10 documents and their processing and registration at DC-Cam.
- 11 I-- We did refrain, for a period of time; we thought our friend
- 12 might move on to more relevant topics, but clearly DC-Cam's
- 13 commitment to the work of this Court or their interest in having
- 14 people prosecuted -- held accountable -- is not relevant.
- 15 [14.35.11]
- 16 Unless my friends wishes to suggest that, somehow, DC-Cam has
- 17 engaged in forging documents, we're embarked on an inquiry that
- 18 really has little relevance to the issues before Your Honours.
- 19 MR. PAUW:
- 20 Mr. President, if I may respond. Clearly, I have not suggested
- 21 that DC-Cam has embarked on forging documents. It is the same
- 22 issue that came up earlier.
- 23 We are discussing now the way that DC-Cam has collected evidence.
- 24 Again, this entire case relies on the evidence that has been
- 25 provided by DC-Cam, and it's simply important to understand why

- 1 they have looked for certain types of evidence and may have
- 2 overlooked and not collected other types of evidence. It is
- 3 simply important to know what their mindset was when they went
- 4 out to look for this evidence.
- 5 I would add that this is especially important at this Court, at
- 6 this moment, because, as you are aware -- as you are well aware,
- 7 to the Defence, it is totally opaque, what the OCP has done with
- 8 the documents it received, and why it chose to use certain
- 9 documents, and why it did not choose to use other documents,
- 10 combined with the fact that the OCIJ's investigation was also
- 11 shielded from the view of the Defence. The Defence has complained
- 12 about it several times; we did not achieve any transparency. We
- 13 now have the chance to look at the root of this case to see how,
- 14 let's say, the first responders, to use that term, how the first
- 15 responders looked at the evidence, why they collected certain
- 16 evidence, and what their mindset was.
- 17 So I would suggest that, rather than irrelevance, I think we
- 18 might hit the core of this case. So I would ask your Court to at
- 19 least allow this question: Why is the illumination of chains of
- 20 command important to DC-Cam? Thank you.
- 21 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 22 The witness, you don't need to respond now. You have to wait.
- 23 (Judges deliberate)
- 24 [14.40.45]
- 25 The objection is denied.

- 1 Witness, you are now instructed to respond to this question.
- 2 Defence Counsel, this is an exception, and please do not follow
- 3 this line of questioning to the witness.
- 4 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 5 A. Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like
- 6 the defence counsel to ask me again, please. Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. PAUW:
- 8 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 9 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, what I asked you was the following: In the
- 10 document that I just quoted, which relates to the Accountability
- 11 Project, it is stated that the Accountability Project "provides a
- 12 way to illuminate chains of command".
- 13 And my question to you is: Why is it important to DC-Cam to
- 14 illuminate chains of command?
- 15 A. Because we want to know who actually killed 2 million
- 16 Cambodians. Based on our interviews, thousands interviews, none
- 17 of those interviewee ever say they killed any person, and we want
- 18 to know what happened in our that history.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang.
- 20 I've noted your comments, Mr. President, and I would accordingly
- 21 move to the next topic. And I'm looking at the clock; I can
- 22 continue. Of course, it's up to you to decide whether we need a
- 23 break or not.
- 24 [14.43.06]
- 25 MR. PRESIDENT:

- 1 The time is now appropriate for a break. We shall take a break
- 2 now and we shall resume at 3 p.m.
- 3 Court officer, please assist the witness during the break and
- 4 bring him back into the courtroom before the court resumes.
- 5 (Court recesses from 1443H to 1501H)
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 8 And the floor is now handed over to defence counsel for Nuon Chea
- 9 to continue his questioning to this witness.
- 10 BY MR. PAUW:
- 11 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 12 I would like to show, with your permission, one document to Mr.
- 13 Youk Chhang. It's document number D366/7.1.68, and the ERN is
- 14 00444387 until 0044488. And with your permission, I would like to
- show the cover page of this document on the screen.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Your request is granted.
- 18 [15.03.48]
- 19 BY MR. PAUW:
- 20 Q. Mr. Youk Chhang, you see, on your screen, a document. And I
- 21 will read it to you. It says: "Highly Confidential Draft -- 'Not
- 22 for Circulation'". And it's called "The Study of CPK CC SC".
- 23 And, if we go down a little bit with the screen, if we scroll
- 24 down a little bit, we see your name appear, and the date, May of
- 25 2000.

- 1 Can you explain to us what this document is?
- 2 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 3 A. This is a document I created, and there are a lot of guestions
- 4 concerning the Central Committee and Standing Committee of the
- 5 CPK. I have collected copies from -- of documents from Ben
- 6 Kiernan, from Helen Jarvis, and from Steve Heder; I combined them
- 7 together.
- 8 And the reason why I indicate that it is a -- a confidential
- 9 document is because I am mindful that this is a court document
- 10 and it is not for personal use. And I always -- even though we
- 11 always remind parties that the documents are not for personal
- 12 use, we can still spot documents being used in public.
- 13 [15.05.45]
- 14 We- As I still remember, I can see there are emails from Helen
- 15 Jarvis, and I ask her: What do we mean by the work of the Central
- 16 or Standing Committee of the CPK? And so she explained to me and
- 17 sent me the document.
- 18 In other words, these are the copies of documents that are
- 19 obtained from researchers, and this is also a compilation of
- 20 documents for my personal studies to understand better regarding
- 21 the Standing and Central Committee of the CPK.
- 22 Q. So, if I understand you correctly, Mr. Youk Chhang, this is a
- 23 collection of documents that should help in the understanding of
- 24 the functioning of the Standing and Central Committee.
- 25 A. Yes, it is correct, because I am curious to know how the

- 1 structure was organized.
- 2 Q. Okay. And another question that comes to mind: Do you still
- 3 have other documents, at DC-Cam, that are strictly confidential
- 4 and that are not accessible to the public?
- 5 A. In fact, these documents are available in our library and they
- 6 are not confidential. Like I told you, the reason why I indicate
- 7 that the document is confidential: because here, at this Court,
- 8 some of the interns use these documents for personal purposes, so
- 9 I am concerned that those interns may these documents in the
- 10 wrong way against the purpose of the Court. That is why, for all
- 11 documents that I delivered to this Court, I indicated with
- 12 confidentiality.
- 13 This is the this document is obtained as a second resource I
- 14 collected, like I told you, from a number of researchers: Steve
- 15 Heder, Ben Kiernan, and Helen Jarvis.
- 16 Q. And I heard you say that it is confidential because you
- 17 consider it to be a court document; is that correct?
- 18 A. Before I sent this document to the Court, I indicate this word
- 19 on this document because I do not want this document to fall in
- 20 the hands of interns, and which may be used for their personal
- 21 purposes. As you have -- may have observed, this is a draft,
- 22 which is not a finalized version, and I don't want this document
- 23 to fall in the hands of those who did not request for this
- 24 document.
- 25 Q. Thank you. And I would like to scroll down a bit to page 4 of

- 1 this document, which would be ERN ending in 493, if people are
- 2 looking themselves. Do we see on page 4, on the top? We see an
- 3 email from Benedict Kiernan to DC-Cam, and I just want to
- 4 establish that.
- 5 And then move on to page 7 of this document, that's the bottom of
- 6 that page. And there you see a short message by what I would
- 7 think is Ben Kiernan, because he signs off right above that line.
- 8 And Ben Kiernan asks you, apparently: "P.S. Did you finally get
- 9 copies of the recent KR documents from the 'Phnom Penh Post'?"
- 10 And my question is: Do you remember what documents you requested
- 11 from the Phnom Penh Post?
- 12 A.I am not so sure. From my recollection, there are two types of
- 13 documents that I requested from the "Phnom Penh Post": one is the
- 14 photographs, Kathleen Hayes, who is the editor of the "Post", in
- 15 the -- is in the possession of a lot of a KR photographs. These
- 16 photographs are not obtained from Tuol Sleng Museum, but the
- 17 photographs are taken by visitors who travel across the country.
- 18 [15.10.52]
- 19 However, we are not able to cooperate with the "Post", and make
- 20 photocopies of the photographs, and publish these photos. From my
- 21 research, I observe -- I understand that the "Phnom Penh Post"
- 22 obtained these photographs from Anlong Veng, and I emailed Miss
- 23 Hayes several times.
- 24 Q. I'm sure you're trying to answer my question, and I appreciate
- 25 that, but just briefly, so, you think it might consider might

- 1 concern photographs that you requested from the "Phnom Penh
- 2 Post"; might there also be other documents that you requested
- 3 from the "Phnom Penh Post", to your recollection?
- 4 [15.11.32]
- 5 A. I was actually talking about that, but you interrupted me. The
- 6 other type of document is the documents that "Phnom Penh Post"
- 7 obtained from Anlong Veng. In 1998, Anlong Veng was a place where
- 8 former Khmer Rouge lived. I wrote many letters to Michael Hayes
- 9 several times requesting for the documents.
- 10 And, as I remember, there is also another type of photograph that
- 11 the Director of AP Press in Bangkok sent to me, and I can recall
- 12 that his name is Denis Gray, who is the friend of Steve Heder. He
- 13 told me that--
- 14 [15.12.32]
- 15 Q. I hate to interrupt you again, but this goes beyond what I
- 16 actually asked you.
- 17 Let me ask you very briefly: Do you remember whether you actually
- 18 obtained any of those photographs or documents from the "Phnom
- 19 Penh Post"? I-- Here, it says that you requested them; did you
- 20 actually obtain them?
- 21 A. I obtained photocopies of the photos on the A4 paper, and
- 22 there were in total three copies.
- 23 Q. And did you receive copies or originals of the documents that
- 24 you also mentioned, the Anlong Veng documents, to your
- 25 recollection?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 A. Do you mean the documents from Anlong Veng? As I told you, I
- 2 was not able to finish; I was interrupted twice. I requested
- 3 these documents but I did not obtain the documents; I only
- 4 obtained the photos.
- 5 [15.13.47]
- 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. And I just note for the record that, so far,
- 7 the "Phnom Penh Post" has not been mentioned today as a as a
- 8 source of the documents in this case, and I may I ask something
- 9 -- some more questions about this on Monday.
- 10 But for now I will continue and I will want to show, at page 12
- 11 of this same document -- And I see that we have it on the screen.
- 12 Is it visible in its entirety? Yes, okay.
- 13 And at the top -- I will read it out for you -- it says:
- 14 "Summaries:
- 15 "Craig Etcheson (private communication) gives the following list
- of key culprits--"
- 17 First of all, do you recognize this document?
- 18 A. Can I have the question again, please?
- 19 Q. My question was: Do you recognize this document, as it is put
- 20 before you on the screen?
- 21 A. Yes, I do; I made this document myself. I collected this
- 22 document myself.
- 23 Q. Can you explain why Craig Etcheson apparently speaks of "key
- 24 culprits" in this communication?
- 25 [15.15.27]

- 1 A. Craig is an activist who used to be a director of the campaign
- 2 against the Khmer Rouge. Perhaps you can direct this question to
- 3 him because he is here, in this court, at the Office of the
- 4 Co-prosecutors.
- 5 Q. Thank you for that suggestion, but I'm asking you about your
- 6 knowledge, whether you know why Craig Etcheson used the word
- 7 "culprit" in this document.
- 8 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 9 Your Honours, we would object to this line of questioning.
- 10 Against -- again, with respect to my learned friend, I think he
- 11 is veering off topic. I don't understand how any of this relates
- 12 to the documents that are on the case file and originate from
- 13 DC-Cam. Unless my friend can establish a connection and show how
- 14 reliability of documents that are before Your Honours are
- 15 affected by any of this, I don't think this line of questioning
- 16 should be allowed.
- 17 And of course, more to the point, and specifically on this issue,
- 18 it's clearly inappropriate to ask Mr. Youk Chhang why Mr.
- 19 Etcheson used certain words in his communications.
- 20 [15.16.42]
- 21 MR. PAUW:
- 22 Mr. President, if you allow me, again, this is the same topic. It
- 23 is all about the attitude that DC-Cam took to the collection of
- 24 evidence: What avenues did they pursue? Which piece of evidence
- 25 may they have neglected? Again, this is the core of this case.

- 1 As to the objection by my colleague at the OCP as to whether or
- 2 not the witness can testify about why Craiq Etcheson used the
- 3 word "culprit", I will totally follow your discretion on this
- 4 issue. But other than that, I think, especially at the end of
- 5 this hour, I hope it will become clear why this line of
- 6 questioning is actually relevant when one considers the
- 7 collection of evidence by DC-Cam.
- 8 So I will gladly withdraw my question as to Craig Etcheson's
- 9 mindset when he wrote this.
- 10 [15.17.49]
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The witness has already answered: it was the documents of Mr.
- 13 Craig Etcheson, that in order to know the reason why, we need to
- 14 ask the author of the document. I think it is clear in the Khmer
- 15 language, at least.
- 16 BY MR. PAUW:
- 17 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 18 Q. I will move on to the next page, which is page 13 of the
- 19 document. And, again, let me see if it is visible. We go one
- 20 further down, to page 13. You can go a bit lower-- Yes. There,
- 21 you see the signing off of this document, and it states:
- "Compiled by HJ, 20th of April 2000".
- 23 Could you identify to us who HJ might be?
- 24 A. It's Helen Jarvis.
- 25 [15.19.10]

- 1 Q. And, if you look at this date of the 20th of April 2000, was
- 2 Craig Etcheson an employee of DC-Cam?
- 3 A. No, he is not the staff of DC-Cam; nor is Ms. Helen Jarvis.
- 4 Q. Can you explain what the role of Craig Etcheson was in
- 5 relation to DC-Cam?
- 6 A. He's an independent researcher and he is a friend of mine.
- 7 Usually, he visited Cambodia and assisted me in my research. As
- 8 you can- You may have observed that I put  $\operatorname{--}$  I used the word
- 9 "study" here, so it is a research regarding the Democratic
- 10 Kampuchea. So he conducted his own research, but he also provided
- 11 me documents related to this issue, to me.
- 12 Q. Thank you. And if we, in the same document, go slightly up, to
- 13 page 12 again -- we just skipped over it to establish that this
- 14 was compiled by Helen Jarvis -- if we look at the screen and the
- 15 box, the red box that's now visible, I will read: "Mam Nay, (on
- 16 my list because he was #2 on the S-21 Party Committee, and such a
- 17 killer, plus I don't think he should retain his position as a
- 18 policeman in Battambang)".
- 19 [15.20.52]
- 20 My question to you is I'm just not clear on this. One speaks
- 21 about "on my list". Are we speaking about Craig Etcheson's list,
- 22 are we speaking about Helen Jarvis' list, or are we speaking
- 23 about your list? Do you -- can you provide some clarification?
- 24 A. Are you asking me whether I have a list of S-21? Can you ask
- 25 me again?

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Q. Certainly. I -- If we can put it on the screen again, the
- 2 actual phrase, the actual text? If you read the text, it says:
- 3 "Mam Nay--"
- 4 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 5 "Mam Nay", yes.
- 6 BY MR. PAUW:
- 7 "Mam Nay, (on my list because he was #2--", etc.
- 8 I am just not sure, because of the way the document is laid out,
- 9 whether we are speaking about the list of Craig Etcheson, the
- 10 list of Helen Jarvis or the list of you, Mr. Youk Chhang. Can you
- 11 clarify this matter for us?
- 12 A. I am not so sure, but if you read from the top of the page to
- 13 see who is the recipient of this letter, perhaps we can shed
- 14 light on this issue, because the person may be expressing his
- 15 view as to who could be brought to be prosecuted. Perhaps we can
- 16 check who would receive this document. Maybe the page can be put
- 17 up and scrolled ahead.
- 18 [15.22.33]
- 19 Q. I think we can do that, but I don't think it will clarify
- 20 matters because I think it's a standalone document in this actual
- 21 D366. I can actually check that. No, actually it's -- if we would
- 22 go up to page 10 -- and I would ask my case manager to do so --
- 23 that's all it says, and so there is no recipients indicated.
- 24 So-- And again, if you do not know, from this document, whose
- 25 list we're talking about, I will not ask you to speculate.

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 I just want to point out to you that to me, when I read this, it
- 2 sounds like somebody is actually selecting suspects to be
- 3 prosecuted. There seems to be a pick and choose going on. And if
- 4 you look at the language that is used, "I don't think he should
- 5 retain his position as a policeman in Battambang", there seems to
- 6 be a purpose here.
- 7 Would you agree with that assessment?
- 8 [15.24.00]
- 9 A. Because we cannot see who is the recipient of this document, I
- 10 think that each researcher is competing each other in terms of
- 11 their research, and they so have their own lists. I'm sure that
- 12 Helen Jarvis has her own list, and Ben Kiernan also has his list,
- 13 as it was published in his book. So, perhaps they are describing
- 14 who is who.
- 15 Q. Thank you, Mr. Youk Chhang. Does DC-Cam have a list? Or let me
- 16 rephrase that: Did DC-Cam have a list, in the 1990s, as to who
- 17 should be prosecuted?
- 18 A. I seemed to answer this question yesterday, already, that I
- 19 received document from my friend, Douglas Johnson, an American,
- 20 and the document, as -- if I remember it correctly, it's about
- 21 the biography of the Khmer Rouge. So I include all these kinds of
- 22 documents into this compilation. This is a compilation of various
- 23 documents for me to understand. As I remember, we do not have the
- 24 Khmer title of this document. It's probably known as the
- 25 "Biographies of the Khmer Rouge Leaders".

- 1 Q. Do you reluctantly-- Because I don't think you are answering
- 2 my question. The question is-- You mentioned that all researchers
- 3 have their own lists, and my question to you is: Did DC-Cam, in
- 4 the 1990s, have a list of people that they wanted to have
- 5 prosecuted?
- 6 [15.26.13]
- 7 A. As I told you, in the 1990s, Professor Ben Kiernan, who was my
- 8 boss, published this list in his book titled "Genocide in
- 9 Cambodia". The book is available at the office, at the
- 10 University. It is on pages 13 and 14.
- 11 Q. That is most probably true, but it doesn't answer my question.
- 12 My question is: Did DC-Cam have a list of people that it wanted
- 13 to have prosecuted, already, in the '90s?
- 14 A. Because your question talk about something that people to be
- 15 brought to be prosecuted. That is what I am trying to explain
- 16 here. The list of those people has a title as "Biographies of the
- 17 Khmer Rouge Leaders". I have read this document, and there is
- 18 this document.
- 19 [15.27.35]
- 20 Q. And, if I understand you correctly, you are referring to a
- 21 document that has been prepared by Ben Kiernan.
- 22 I am asking you whether DC-Cam itself had a list, for possibly
- 23 internal purposes, of people that it would like to have
- 24 prosecuted?
- 25 A. Your honour, this is the same question. Back in the 1990s, Ben

- 1 Kiernan was the boss, was the director, and the book was
- 2 published, and we collected these kinds of documents and we put a
- 3 title for this document as the "Biographies of the Khmer Rouge
- 4 Leader". And I sent these documents to the Court, and so it is up
- 5 to the Court whether who are to be prosecuted.
- 6 I find that the question is repetitive.
- 7 Mr. Ben Kiernan was the director between 1995 to 1996. Apart from
- 8 reading this list, I also read other documents, and so we had
- 9 list, and there are up to more than 20 people included in the
- 10 list. And I admitted that there are lists -- such lists.
- 11 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 12 The question has already been answered. If it is if it is still
- 13 not clear, another type of question should be put before the
- 14 witness, and the question should be formulated on the basis that
- 15 you have.
- 16 Counsel is advised to use techniques in order to ask questions
- 17 that you can obtain answers as you wish.
- 18 [15.30.12]
- 19 BY MR. PAUW:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President. I understand your message, and I will
- 21 move on.
- 22 Q. And I will -- would like to show, on page 28 of this same
- 23 document, an email. If we could see it? Yes. This is an email. Is
- 24 it shown on the screen to the witness? And it's an email between
- 25 you and, among other people, Annette Marcher. Can you remember

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 who she was?
- 2 MR. YOUK CHHANG:
- 3 A. Annette was a journalist at the "Phnom Penh Post". I sent this
- 4 text to Steve Heder, Craig Etcheson, and Annette Marcher.
- 5 [15.31.12]
- 6 Q. Thank you. And if we then go to the next page, page 29, which
- 7 is bottom of the same email, if we look at the box, there, in
- 8 your p.s., you say: "I was a bit too emotional to say some names
- 9 to Annette. I think DC-Cam should not involve in naming people
- 10 until our legal project gets off the ground."
- 11 Mr. Youk Chhang, what do you mean with "naming people"?
- 12 A. Because, in general, I was asked about journalists of the
- 13 names of people, and I prefer not to mention names, as I was in
- 14 Phnom Penh, and Ben Kiernan, my director, was at Yale University.
- 15 So, usually, in my correspondence, I copied to various relevant
- 16 people. Based on this email, I may be asked by Annette for some
- 17 names of the other Khmer Rouge cadres -- could be Piseth
- 18 (phonetic) or Thuon (phonetic) -- but then, as I said, I prefer
- 19 not to mention names of other people and that DC-Cam ought not do
- 20 it in that way.
- 21 [15.32.36]
- 22 I also -- if you permit -- I also wrote in my other p.s. that it
- 23 would be funny for Craig to involve in this matter, as it
- 24 requires him to read a lot to do a lot of readings of the DK
- 25 documents, the Khmer Rouge documents, and Craig does not read

- 1 Khmer.
- 2 Q. Yes, I did read that and I did find it funny.
- 3 But another point is you say that: "--DC-Cam should not involve
- 4 in naming people until our legal project gets off the ground."
- 5 Could you explain to us what legal project you are referring to?
- 6 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 7 Your Honours, we object again. Our friend is clearly, clearly off
- 8 topic.
- 9 For some time, now, we haven't heard a single question about the
- 10 receipt, discovery, processing, analysis or otherwise treatment
- 11 of documents.
- 12 I think what my friend is doing -- and he indicated so earlier --
- 13 he's trying to put on trial DC-Cam's methods and its work prior
- 14 to the establishment of the Court. That clearly is not relevant
- 15 for the purposes of this hearing.
- 16 What we're here to deal with is the relevance and reliability of
- 17 the documents which are on the case file.
- 18 If my friend wishes to deal with areas which may in some way
- 19 affect reliability or relevance of those documents, then,
- 20 perhaps, those areas will be appropriate for examination.
- 21 And I note that he has already indicated that he doesn't allege
- 22 that documents are being forged or tampered with, and hence I
- 23 find this examination completely irrelevant.
- 24 [15.34.20]
- 25 MR. PAUW:

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 Mr. President, if I may respond to that very briefly-- And I
- 2 think we are fighting the same battle over and over again, and I
- 3 think your message is clear: you allowed this line of
- 4 questioning.
- 5 And I also note -- and I would love to read back the transcripts,
- 6 but I can't -- my learned friend mentioned a lot of things, the
- 7 processing of documents, etc., but he left out one word, the
- 8 "collection" of documents, and the collection of documents is
- 9 what we are talking about here, and it is what is relevant in
- 10 this discussion, the way documents were collected. And this is
- 11 clearly relevant, and, again, it's about the attitude of DC-Cam
- 12 towards the evidence.
- 13 So I would like to ask the witness: What is the legal project you
- 14 are asking -- you are talking about?
- 15 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 16 Witness, you do not need to respond now. Please wait.
- 17 (Judges deliberate)
- 18 [15.39.18]
- 19 The objection by the Prosecution is sustained.
- 20 Witness, you do not need to respond to this question.
- 21 Defence Counsel, you are instructed to move on to another line of
- 22 questioning. You shall end this line of questioning now.
- 23 I think we have already instructed you on the other lines of
- 24 questionings regarding the issues of the documents.
- 25 [15.40.07]

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 BY MR. PAUW:
- 2 Thank you, Mr. President. I will then move on.
- 3 Q. I will, however, remain in the same document and I will move
- 4 to page 35 of this document. If that can be shown? And this, Mr.
- 5 Youk Chhang, seems to be an email by Steve Heder to you, Mr. Youk
- 6 Chhang, and some other, I would say, people that are familiar to
- 7 all of us, like David Chandler, Craig Etcheson, and Helen Jarvis.
- 8 You have indicated you are reluctant to read out English text, so
- 9 I will read out the first bit of this document. If we can scroll
- 10 down a little bit? And it is Steve Heder who says:
- 11 "I believe it was wrong for DC-Cam to have become involved in
- 12 attempting to define and thus prejudice the scope of potential
- 13 prosecutions on the basis of political criteria, that is to say,
- 14 on the basis of political position."
- 15 [15.41.23]
- 16 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 17 Your Honours--
- 18 BY MR. PAUW:
- 19 Q. "This does not contribute to the search for the truth, the
- 20 whole truth and nothing but the truth. Instead of direct and
- 21 limits the search, or it appears or it appears to tie DC-Cam to
- 22 a politically-driven agenda that directs and limits the search."
- 23 And my question is: Do you know what Steve Heder refers to when
- 24 he says that he believes "it was wrong for DC-Cam to have become
- 25 involved in attempting to define [...] the scope of potential

108

- 1 prosecutions"? What attempts to define the scope of potential
- 2 prosecutions is he talking about? Can you explain this to us,
- 3 please?
- 4 [15.42.02]
- 5 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 6 Your Honours, we object. This is in clear contravention of your
- 7 ruling just a minute ago.
- 8 MR. PAUW:
- 9 Mr. President, if I may respond, I would suggest it's an entirely
- 10 different topic.
- 11 This email seems to relate to outside influences on DC-Cam.
- 12 When the civil parties spoke yesterday about possible outside
- 13 influences on the work of DC-Cam, your Chamber declared that this
- 14 was relevant for our discussion. This email, as will be shown if
- 15 we look further, clearly relates to outside pressures on DC-Cam.
- 16 And if you allow the civil parties to ask those questions, the
- 17 Defence should be allowed to ask the same questions.
- 18 So it's a different topic we're talking about, again: outside
- 19 influence -- possible outer influence on DC-Cam, on which Mr.
- 20 Youk Chhang possibly can shed light.
- 21 (Judges deliberate)
- 22 [15.43.48]
- 23 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 24 The objection by the Prosecution is sustained.
- 25 Defence Counsel, please try to avoid using other people's text to

E1/38.1

- 1 get comments or response from the witness. And this is not the
- 2 text of the witness.
- 3 BY MR. PAUW:
- 4 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 5 Q. I have recently, Mr. Youk Chhang, read a document that seems
- 6 to indicate that DC-Cam, in the 1990s, the late 1990s, has been
- 7 involved in some sort of project that would limit the potential
- 8 prosecution of lower level DK officials. It is a document that I
- 9 just showed you and that was not -- on the base of which I could
- 10 not ask you a question.
- 11 But my question is: Has DC-Cam been involved with or consulted on
- 12 the determination of the personal jurisdiction of the tribunal
- 13 that would prosecute the DK officials?
- 14 [15.45.16]
- 15 MR. ABDULHAK:
- 16 Again, Your Honours, we would object. Clearly, this line of
- 17 questioning, again, is not on the topics for which we are here
- 18 today.
- 19 The method for obtaining, cataloguing, and treating DC-Cam
- 20 documents, and more specifically documents that are on the
- 21 Court's case file--
- 22 DC-Cam's position about who and when should be prosecuted may be
- 23 of interest and may be a fascinating topic, but it's not a topic
- 24 for this hearing.
- 25 MR. PAUW:

- 1 Mr. President, if I may respond, I just have to note that the OCP
- 2 seems to show very little curiosity as to the motives of DC-Cam,
- 3 the main donor of evidence in this case. We cannot just assume
- 4 that, because DC-Cam claims it's a non-biased and non-partisan
- 5 organization, that it, therefore, should be considered to be
- 6 non-biased and non-partisan.
- 7 If we want to assess the reliability of the evidence on the case
- 8 file, if we want to assess the relevance of the evidence on the
- 9 case file, we need to know about other documents, about other
- 10 lines of investigation that have not been pursued -- that may not
- 11 have been pursued. [15.46.38]
- 12 And, again, this sees to the collection of documents. When you
- 13 collect documents, it means that you make a conscious decision
- 14 and it also means that you may not collect other documents. And
- 15 we need to know what happened.
- 16 And, again, this email clearly, in the view of the Defence, shows
- 17 that DC-Cam had an agenda, an agenda that may be considered to be
- 18 noble, but it is an agenda. They have participated, it seems from
- 19 this email, in discussions relating to the personal jurisdiction
- 20 of this tribunal.
- 21 [15.47.19]
- 22 And there's an outsider, here, that's -- comments on this, Steve
- 23 Heder, who knows the work of DC-Cam very well.
- 24 And, again, I will not put the document before the witness,
- 25 because your Chamber has already ruled that I cannot, but it

- 1 would still be very interesting to know: Why is Mr. Steve Heder
- 2 so upset? And I would submit that Mr. Youk Chhang could provide
- 3 the answer.
- 4 Again, what I would like to know, that would be the question: Has
- 5 DC-Cam been involved in the determination of the personal
- 6 jurisdiction of the tribunal that can prosecute the DK officials?
- 7 So, Mr. President, I ask for your permission to actually ask this
- 8 question.
- 9 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 10 Witness, please wait.
- 11 (Judges deliberate)
- 12 [15.49.39]
- 13 The objection raised by the International Co-prosecutor to the
- 14 question put by the defence counsel is sustained.
- 15 Witness, you do not need to respond to this question.
- 16 In order to clarify the matters further on the proceeding, I'd
- 17 like to give the floor to Judge Cartwright so she can verify
- 18 further for clarity to the defence for Nuon Chea.
- 19 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 20 Thank you, Mr. President. Counsel for Nuon Chea, the reason that
- 21 the objection by the prosecutors has been sustained is this.
- 22 [15.50.40]
- 23 The Chamber fully understands the reasons that you have engaged
- 24 in this line of questioning. It -- the Chamber understands that
- 25 you are skeptical about the motivations by DC-Cam for the way in

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

- 1 which it has collected documents. However, the Chamber considers
- 2 that it has heard enough on this topic, now, and asks you to move
- 3 onto a completely new phase of your examination.
- 4 In addition, of course, is the more fundamental issue: this
- 5 witness cannot comment on what is in the mind of someone who
- 6 writes an email to him and should not be asked to speculate on
- 7 that.
- 8 So I hope I've been clear enough.
- 9 The Chamber would like you, now, to move on; it understands your
- 10 point. Thank you.
- 11 [15.51.47]
- 12 MR. PAUW:
- 13 Thank you, Judge Cartwright. I understand your instruction. It
- 14 means I have to leave through my questions, because it may come
- 15 as no surprise that a lot of my questions relate to this issue,
- 16 and I feel that many of them have not been answered. So we do
- 17 reserve the rights to make further submissions on this.
- 18 But for now I will continue, and--
- 19 JUDGE CARTWRIGHT:
- 20 Just just one moment, please. The President and I are just
- 21 consulting on a procedural matter. Thank you.
- 22 (Judges deliberate)
- 23 [15.53.16]
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Defence Counsel, you may now sit.

- 1 I believe the proceedings, today, almost come to an end.
- 2 However, before the adjournment, the Chamber would like to make
- 3 an announcement on a decision in regards to Nuon Chea's defence
- 4 -- that is, Mr. Pestman. Can you please stand?
- 5 This is the Trial Chambers decisions on the objection raised by
- 6 the international defence counsel of Nuon Chea in regards to the
- 7 public comments on the existence of guilt of his client.
- 8 [15.54.06]
- 9 The Chamber has noted the objection by defence counsel that
- 10 public comments have been made via media indicating his client,
- 11 Nuon Chea, is quilty of offences for which he's currently being
- 12 tried.
- 13 The Chamber emphasizes that Article 38 of the Constitution of the
- 14 Kingdom of Cambodia, which states: "The accused shall be
- 15 considered innocent until the court has judged finally on the
- 16 case." Thus, the determination of quilt or innocence is the sole
- 17 responsibility of the Trial Chamber, which will consider all
- 18 relevant facts, evidence, submissions, and law applicable at the
- 19 ECCC.
- 20 Therefore, the Court will not take account of any public comment
- 21 concerning the guilt or innocence of any Accused in reaching its
- 22 verdict.
- 23 You may be seated, Defence Counsel.
- 24 The time is now appropriate for adjournment.
- 25 The Court is now adjourned, and we shall resume on Monday, next

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 26 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 02/02/2012

25

114

1 week -- that is, the 6th of February 2012 -- starting from 9 a.m. 2 The Chamber would like to thank Mr. Youk Chhang for your effort 3 and time in providing your testimony to the Trial Chamber. As the examinations on you and on DC-Cam is huge, the session has 4 5 not yet finalized, so you are invited to appear again at this 6 court on Monday, next week, and that would be the last day for 7 your appearance. We thank you for your understanding. 8 [15.56.42] Court officer, please assist this witness for his returning to 9 10 his residence. 11 Security quards, you are instructed to bring the three Accused back to the detention facility and bring them back here on Monday 12 13 morning, the 6th of February 2012, before 9 a.m. The Court is now adjourned. 14 (Court adjourns at 1557H) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24