

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres Extraordinaires au sein des Tribunaux Cambodgiens

# หอริชู่ธุโละยายารูล

Trial Chamber Chambre de première instance

# ព្រះពលំណាចត្រកម្ពុ បំ បំតំ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

## **อส**ุญห**เรีย**

ORIGINAL/ORIGINAL 30-Oct-2012, 16:00 ថ្ងៃ ខែ ឆ្នាំ (Date): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Uch Arun

### <u>TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS</u> <u>PUBLIC</u> Case File Nº 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC

23 October 2012 Trial Day 122

Before the Judges: NIL Nonn, Presiding Silvia CARTWRIGHT YA Sokhan Jean-Marc LAVERGNE YOU Ottara THOU Mony (Reserve) Claudia FENZ (Reserve)

Trial Chamber Greffiers/Legal Officers: SE Kolvuthy Matteo CRIPPA DAV Ansan

For the Office of the Co-Prosecutors: CHAN Dararasmey Vincent DE WILDE D'ESTMAEL VENG Huot Tarik ABDULHAK

For Court Management Section: UCH Arun SOUR Sotheavy The Accused:

NUON Chea KHIEU Samphan

Lawyers for the Accused:

SON Arun Jasper PAUW ANG Udom Michael G. KARNAVAS KONG Sam Onn

Lawyers for the Civil Parties:

PICH Ang Élisabeth SIMONNEAU-FORT MOCH Sovannary KIM Mengkhy VEN Pov LOR Chunthy HONG Kimsuon

## 00856974

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

# INDEX

MR. SOKH CHHIN (TCW-661)

Questioning by The President	page 2
Questioning by Mr. Veng Huot	page 5
Questioning by Mr. Abdulhak	page 16
Questioning by Mr. Ven Pov	page 36
Questioning by Ms. Simonneau-Fort	page 43
Questioning by Judge Lavergne	page 52
Questioning by Mr. Pauw	page 53

## MS. LAY BONY (TCCP-64)

Questioning by The President	page 79
Questioning by Ms. Moch Sovannary	

## List of Speakers:

Language used unless specified otherwise in the transcript

Speaker	Language
MR. ABDULHAK	English
MR. ANG UDOM	Khmer
MR. SOKH CHHIN (TCW-661)	Khmer
MR. KONG SAM ONN	Khmer
MS. LAY BONY (TCCP-64)	Khmer
JUDGE LAVERGNE	French
MS. MOCH SOVANNARY	Khmer
MR. PAUW	English
MR. PICH ANG	Khmer
THE PRESIDENT (NIL NONN, Presiding)	Khmer
MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT	French
MR. SON ARUN	Khmer
MR. VENG HUOT	Khmer
MR. VEN POV	Khmer

#### 00856976

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

1

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Court opens at 0905H)
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Please be seated. The Court is now in session.
- 5 The Chamber would like to inform the parties and the public that,
- 6 for today's proceeding, we will hear the testimony of a witness
- 7 -- not a civil party -- that is TCW 661, who will be first
- 8 questioned by the Prosecution.
- 9 The Chamber also invites a civil party -- that is TCCP-64 -- as a
- 10 reserve civil party.
- 11 [09.07.16]
- 12 Ms. Se Kolvuthy, could you report the attendance of the
- 13 individuals and parties to the proceeding?
- 14 THE GREFFIER:
- 15 Mr. President, all parties to the proceeding are present, except

16 the accused, Ieng Sary, who is absent due to his health concern.

17 However, based on his letter of waiver document, E237, he

18 requests to waive his direct presence in the proceeding of

- 19 hearing the testimony of certain witnesses and civil parties,
- 20 including TCW 661, who will be heard by the Chamber this morning,
- 21 as well as the reserve, TCCP-64.

Mr. Vercken, the international defence counsel for Khieu Samphan,is absent due to personal commitment.

And TCW 661 is present and awaiting the call from the Chamber. He confirmed that, to his best knowledge, he has no relationship by

2

- blood or by law to any of the civil party or any of the three accused, namely, Ieng Sary, Khieu Samphan or Nuon Chea. This witness already took an oath this morning. Thank you.
- 4 [09.08.57]
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Thank you.

7 The Chamber received the letter of waiver through his counsel -8 from Ieng Sary -- dated 1st October 2012, to waive his direct
9 presence in hearing the testimony of 10 witnesses and six civil
10 parties, including TCW 661.

11 And based on the medical report by the treating doctor at the 12 Khmer Soviet Friendship Hospital dated 19 October 2012, that Ieng 13 Sary is being treated at the general treatment department at the 14 hospital, and as he requests to waive his direct presence of hearing the testimony of certain TC -- of witnesses and civil 15 16 parties, and that he is being treated at the hospital, the 17 Chamber decides to hear the testimony of TCW 661 without the 18 presence of Mr. Ieng Sary pursuant to Internal Rule 81.5. 19 Court Officer, could you invite the witness, TCW 661, into the 20 courtroom? 21 (Short pause) 22 (Witness enters the courtroom)

23 [09.12.05]

24 QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:

25 Q. Good morning, Witness. What is your name?

25

Q. How many children do you have?

3

Witness, you may sit when you speak, but please wait for the red 1 2 light on the console before you can speak so that your voice will 3 go through the audio system and can be translated or interpreted into Khmer -- into English and French. 4 5 Once again, what is your name? 6 MR. SOKH CHHIN: 7 A. Good morning, Mr. President. My name is Sokh Chhin. 8 Q. Thank you. 9 How old are you, Mr. Sokh Chhin? 10 A. I am 67 years old this year. 11 Q. Where is your current address and what is your occupation? 12 A. My current address is House Number 13, Group 32, Kampong 13 Krabei village, Svay Pao commune, Battambang district, Battambang 14 province. 15 [09.13.37] 16 Q. What is your current occupation? 17 A. I am a retiree. Q. What is your father's name? 18 A. His name is Sokh Suk. He is deceased. 19 20 Q. And your mother's name? A. Her name is Yin Nuon. She is also deceased. 21 22 Q. What is your wife's name? 23 [09.14.17] 24 A. Her name is Kruoch Saroeuy. She is living with me.

25

4 1 A. I have five children. 2 Q. Thank you, Mr. Sokh Chhin. As reported by the greffier that, to the best of your knowledge, you have no relationship by blood 3 or by law to any of the civil parties in this case, nor to any of 4 5 the three accused, namely, Nuon Chea, Ieng Sary, and Khieu 6 Samphan, is that accurate? 7 A. That is correct. Q. Also, as reported that you already took an oath before you 8 9 appeared before this Chamber; is that correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 [09.15.26] Q. The Chamber would like to inform you the right and obligation 12 13 as a witness before this Chamber. Mr. Sokh Chhin, as a witness 14 before this Chamber you may refuse to respond to any questions or 15 to make any comments that would incriminate you -- that is, if 16 your response or your comment would incriminate you. And, as a 17 witness, you must respond to all the questions put to you by the 18 parties or the Bench, and you must only speak of the truth that 19 you have heard, have known, experienced, or observed directly in 20 regard to the event related to the questions put to you by the 21 parties. 22 Do you understand your right and obligation as a witness? 23 A. Yes, I do. 24 Q. Mr. Sokh Chhin, have you been interviewed by the investigators

of the Office of the Co Investigating Judges during the last few

#### 00856980

- 1 years? If so, how many times and where did it take place?
- 2 A. I was interviewed once at my house.
- 3 Q. Can you recall when it was taken place?
- 4 [09.17.25]
- 5 A. No, I cannot.
- 6 Q. Before you appear before this Chamber, have you reviewed or
- 7 read the written record of your interview with the investigator
- 8 of the OCIJ in order to refresh your memory?
- 9 A. I have read a few times.
- 10 Q. Based on your ability and knowledge, can you confirm whether
- 11 the written record of interview that you have read to refresh
- 12 your memory reflect the accuracy and consistent with what you
- 13 said during the interview with the investigator?
- 14 A. Yes, it is accurate and consistent.
- 15 Q. Thank you.
- 16 [09.18.27]
- 17 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 18 The Prosecution, you are reminded that you will be given the
- 19 floor first to question this witness, and the time allocation for
- 20 both the Prosecution and for the Lead Co Lawyers for civil party
- 21 is only for this morning's session.
- 22 You may proceed.
- 23 QUESTIONING BY MR. VENG HUOT:
- 24 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 25 Good morning, Mr. President and Your Honours. Good morning

25

6 1 everyone in and around the courtroom. Good morning, Mr. Sokh 2 Chhin. My name is Veng Huot. I am from the Office of the Co 3 Prosecutors. I do have some questions for you, and if you do not understand my question, you may request to be -- to have it 4 5 repeated through the President or directly to me. 6 [09.19.51] 7 And Mr. President, I'd like to provide document E232/76 to the witness so that it will help him during the questioning by the 8 9 Prosecution. MR. PRESIDENT: 10 11 Yes, you may do so. 12 Court Officer, could you deliver the document from the prosecutor 13 to the witness? BY MR. VENG HUOT: 14 15 Thank you. 16 Q. Mr. Sokh Chhin, you do not need to consult the document now. I 17 will pinpoint the page or the portion of the document when I put 18 questions to you. 19 My question is the following: After the 17 April 1975, what was 20 your duty? 21 MR. SOKH CHHIN: 22 A. I was working as a staff member at a railroad company known as 23 the Royal Railway of Cambodia. 24 [09.21.38]

Q. My question is after the 17 April 1975, and not the period

#### 00856982

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

> 7 1 prior to that date. 2 A. I worked as a worker repairing railroad. 3 Q. Who did you work under? A. I worked under the control of the Khmer Rouge soldiers. 4 O. It was under the military control. Can you tell us the names 5 of those military officers or personnel? 6 7 A. I worked under Ta Moum. [09.23.04] 8 9 Q. Thank you. In question-answer session number 7, which is 10 highlighted in green on your document, how did you know that Ta Moum controlled thousands of people, including children? How did 11 12 you obtain such information? 13 A. He was in charge of all the people, including men, women, and 14 children in that sector. Q. As you just stated, he controlled. Does it mean he forced 15 16 people to work or what was the circumstance? 17 A. He forced people to work and to study the politics of the 18 Party. 19 Q. What about young children? Did Ta Moum engage them in 20 political study or did he force those young children to work if 21 you observed such a situation? 22 A. Children under the age of six were allowed to stay at the 23 Children's Care Centre, however, who were about six years old; 24 they would be forced to work in various locations. 25 Q. Thank you. We also have some questions that needs to -- need

8

- you to clarify. For instance, "if a train derailed, we would be killed." That is part of your of response in question and answer session number 7.
- 4 [09.26.00]

My question to you is: Had any train derailed during the regime? 5 6 And was anyone taken and killed for the train's derail? And 7 three, if you do not know the response to question 1 and 2, how did you learn such information or from whom? 8 9 A. I did not know about that, but we learned all that through the documents that we studied. We were warned that we had to be 10 11 vigilant and not to have any train derailed; otherwise, we would have been killed. 12

Q. Thank you. Also in the same question-answer session, you used the word "they", that "they would have taken us for execution". Can you shed light on the word "they"? Who were "they"?

16 A. "They" here referred to Ta Moum and the soldiers who were his 17 subordinates.

18 Q. Thank you. I have another question on this point.

In question-answer session number 13, as I marked on the document before you, you stated before the investigator that you did not dare talk because you were afraid that you would be taken and killed. My question is the following: Did you ever see or hear that people who talked were killed?

A. No, I did not, but we learned that through our study session that we had to be careful of what we spoke, and if we did -- if

9

1 we said something which was not true then we would be killed. 2 [09.29.26] 3 Q. Who actually had the authority to decide that somebody had to be killed during the regime? At what level of the authority that 4 5 can -- that could make such a decision? A. I did not know the level of authority. However, the management 6 7 was under Ta Moum, so he could decide whatever he wished to. Q. My next question is related to food supply. 8 9 You stated that Ta Moum was in charge of several thousands of 10 people, including children as young as seven. With regard to this number of people and the children, were the people in the -- the 11 12 people of the base or were they newcomers, those evacuees from 13 Phnom Penh? 14 A. Those people were surely the New People, the newcomers. They were evacuated all from Phnom Penh. None of them was the Base 15 16 People. 17 [09.31.20] 18 Q. On the same point, my question is: There were a lot of people, 19 several thousands. Could you tell the Court, please, what the 20 food ration would be like? 21 A. Food was not distributed equally. For example, at the 22 worksite, people would be offered some thick porridge, but at 23 another location then the porridge would be thinner. 24 Q. Do you recall anything at all, things that happened to the 25 sick people, for example, the children or women who got sick, and

10

1 how were these people addressed?

A. At that time, when people got ill they would be sent to a hospital. Their parents would not be allowed to accompany them, and if this -- if anyone who was mature enough or an adult person and fell ill, then he or she would only be alone in the hospital without anyone accompanying him or her.

7 Q. Can you please tell us about clothing?

A. People were wearing some torn clothes or any piece of garment
they could grab that they could bring along with them during the
evacuation.

11 [09.33.45]

Q. I would like you to refer to the document again on Question 13 11. I also have highlighted the portion so that it can help you 14 get to the relevant portion guickly, conveniently.

15 In Question 11, as you already stated, the food ration was not 16 adequate. Patients were not properly taken care of and children 17 and women worn the clothes that were not properly worn, and you 18 said that Ta Moum had to report this directly. My question is to

19 whom, he, did so?

20 A. He reported to the Upper Echelon in Phnom Penh.

21 Q. Thank you.

My next question is: Now when it comes to the food, it was not adequate already to feed the several thousand people. Have you ever received any information whether Ta Moum had attended any study sessions at the Upper Echelon and that he would be 00856986

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

11

- 1 lecturing in any meetings with the people at the base?
- 2 A. I don't know. I have no idea what lessons he learned.
- 3 [09.36.25]
- 4 Q. I may also ask you for confirmation.

5 On Point Number 11 or Question 11, you said that Ta Moum came to 6 study in Phnom Penh or Ta Moum attended study sessions in Phnom 7 Penh. Can you please tell the Chamber how you learned about this? 8 A. I learned about this during the course of my work at the 9 railway, because I saw him taking -- went to Phnom Penh through 10 the train.

Q. After Ta Moum attended study sessions or received instructions in Phnom Penh, when he returned to the local area; did he teach you or pass on any information concerning economy, for example, to the people in your area, including you, yourself? A. When he returned from the study sessions he had attended, he

would convene a meeting where workers and his subordinates would

17 attend on a regular basis.

18 [09.38.16]

16

Q. Can you please be more precise on the content of the studies he obtained from Phnom Penh? How or what were the subject matters of the study sessions he imparted into you when you met him? A. During the study sessions, topics like strengthening the political stance would be taught or lectured.

Q. What about subjects like economy or food, how did he impart these subject matters to you and other people?

1

12

2 of the social work and production; however, the food remained the 3 same. We were offered only porridge. [09.39.50] 4 Q. My apology; I would like to also ask you another question. You 5 said that you were asked to improve production and then grow 6 7 rice. What happened to the rice that had been harvested? Why people were only given porridge? 8 9 A. I do not know where the rice, the harvested rice could have 10 been brought to, they could have been stored in the warehouses, 11 because I knew that there were warehouses where the rice would be 12 stored, but I do not know how they were -- or it was handled. 13 Q. I would like to skip questions concerning this economic 14 session. I would like to move to the military communication 15 instead. 16 With regard to question 19, this portion is interrelated to your 17 transfer of job to Pursat -- rather to transport -- or to be 18 transferred to a Leach location in Pursat. My question is: Who 19 ordered such transfer and when? 20 [09.41.53] 21 A. Ta Moum came with a train from Battambang all the way to 22 Pursat to take me there. 23 Q. In the Pursat region, was Ta Moum in charge of that sector or 24 the sector was under the control of the military, according to 25 your best recollection?

A. He would instruct us to focus on the core tasks and the work

13

1 A. Ta Moum was in charge and the military was under his command. 2 Q. Thank you. 3 At question number 18, here you stated before the investigators that the armed soldiers guarded the people. They would tell when 4 5 and where to leave the train. How did you know soldiers quarded 6 the people and looked after the wellbeing of the people? Did you 7 hear that from somebody or you hear that directly from someone 8 telling you? A. I saw this with -- I witnessed this, because I was one of the 9 workers who had to work on the rail tracks and I saw it 10 11 happening. 12 Q. Do you still recall -- when was it when you witnessed the 13 situation? A. I do not recall the date, but people had been evacuated and 14 15 transported by train and they would be also transported to Leach 16 where their biographies would be taken. 17 [09.45.23] 18 Q. I would like to be putting more questions concerning more 19 specific things, this time not about Ta Moum. I would like to 20 talk about the people on the train. 21 Have you noted any young people like children; elderly people, 22 old and sick people on the train, and how was their condition? 23 A. There were different kinds of people from different age 24 groups; old, young, sick people and they were transported and 25 left at the Leach location. They would be there for a few days or

14

1 even a week before they could be transferred to other locations. 2 Q. I want to ask a question also concerning the soldiers who 3 guarded each train compartment. So how many soldiers would be 4 deployed to guard people on each compartment of the train? 5 A. For each compartment, there would be two soldiers guarding the 6 people or the passengers.

7 [09.47.30]

8 Q. Do you recall having seen any Cham people being loaded on that 9 train as well?

A. I have not seen the Cham people in particular, but I noted 10 11 that people on the train were from all walks of life and they 12 were mixed. They could have been Cham and other people. 13 Q. I would like now to proceed to another question on the 14 military structure, and I would like to skip some questions 15 concerning the number of people on the cars of the train. 16 And after people getting off the train, which unit was in charge 17 of transporting the people from the train station to each 18 respective location? Was the people -- or were the people 19 transported by the military only? 20 A. I do not remem -- or know this. I believe that those people 21 were transported by both the military and by civilians, because I 22 sometimes saw military trucks coming to pick them. 23 [09.49.40] 24 Q. Before people would be transported from Leach -- I would like

25 to refer to question number 23 for this. You stated in your

24

15

1	response in Question 23 that people all people were or all
2	people had their biographies made or checked. The question is:
3	Was their biography taken or checked at that location before
4	people being transported or whether such biography would be done
5	or at a later date?
6	I hope you understand my question. If not, you may ask me to
7	repeat or rephrase.
8	A. For those who had been evacuated from Phnom Penh, according to
9	the order by Pol Pot, these evacuees were at a location where
10	their biographies would be taken, and then they would be
11	transported to Leach where they would be sent further to other
12	location or, for example, as far as Battambang.
13	Q. So, according to your statement and my understanding if my
14	understanding is correct that the biographies would be taken
15	first before people would be sent to different locations; is that
16	correct?
17	A. Yes, it is.
18	[09.52.04]
19	Q. Thank you.
20	Did you know what happened to the former Lon Nol soldiers and
21	officers or officials after their biographies could have been
22	made?
23	A. I was assigned to work in Svay Sisophon by Angkar and I saw

25 train. They were from Thailand, and I noted that they were fully

what happened to the soldiers who were transported by trucks and

1	geared. I saw them being loaded on trains and trucks, but I had
2	no idea where they could have been transported to. It happened in
3	Svay Sisophon location.
4	Q. I think I have only final question to put to you before I cede
5	the floor to my colleague to put further questions. The last
6	question I would put to you is that, you saw soldiers being
7	transported on the trains, but my question is: do you know Tuol
8	Po Chrey?
9	A. No, I don't. I have never heard of this before.
10	[09.54.04]
11	Q. I thank you very much, indeed, Mr. Sokh Chhin, for responding
12	to all the questions I put to you, and I thank you, Mr. President
13	and Your Honours, for allowing me the opportunity to put these
14	questions. I would like now to cede the floor over to my
15	colleague.
16	QUESTIONING BY MR. ABDULHAK:
17	Good morning, Your Honours. Good morning, Counsel, and good
18	morning, Mr. Sokh Chhin.
19	Q. I'm going to ask you a few more questions as a follow up to
20	the questions you were asked by my colleague. And because you
21	changed your place of work during the Khmer Rouge period, I want
22	to see if we can first clarify where you worked at each stage.
23	Now, in your statement in question and answer number 2, you said
24	that before 1975 you were a train ticket seller and you were
25	based in Moung Ruessei Station in Battambang, and we heard you

25

17 1 indicate earlier that you also were later in Svay Sisophon. 2 So my first question to you is: When were you transferred from 3 Moung Ruessei in Battambang to Svay Sisophon or Serei Saophoan, if you can give us the exact name of the place? 4 5 MR. SOKH CHHIN: A. It was in 1967 when I started work. It was in Moung. In 1970, 6 7 I was transferred from Moung Ruessei to Svay Sisophon of Serei 8 Saophoan. 9 [09.56.38] Q. Thank you very much for clarifying that. Is Serei Saophoan in 10 11 what is currently Banteay Meanchey Province? A. Yes, it is correct. 12 13 Q. And did you continue to work in that location until 1975? 14 A. Yes, I did. Q. Now, according to your statement in question and answer number 15 16 A4, when the Khmer Rouge took power, they banned ticket selling 17 and you were reassigned to repair some railways, and you, of 18 course, testified earlier that Ta Moum transferred you from Serei 19 Saophoan to work in Pursat. Can you tell us when you were 20 transferred to Pursat? Was that in April '75 or was it later? A. It was in the aftermath of 1975. I believe it was a few 21 22 months, four months or so after 1975 -- April 1975. 23 [09.58.40] 24 Q. And If I understand correctly from your statement again in

question and answer number 7, you indicate that you worked in the

	18
1	Trapeang Chong commune. If I'm correct, that's in the Bakan
2	district, in the Pursat province; have I got that right?
3	A. Yes, it is correct. I worked at Trapeang Chong location. I was
4	in charge of repairing the Isam (phonetic) railways for a stretch
5	of 21 kilometres.
6	Q. Thank you.
7	Now, Ta Moum, you told us earlier, was in charge of that sector.
8	Did I understand correctly that he was transferred together with
9	you from Serei Saophoan? Did I understand that correctly?
10	[09.59.58]
11	A. At that time, Ta Moum was not there yet. Ta Moum only came
12	after Khmer Rouge took control.
13	Q. And can you tell us, when was it if I understand correctly,
14	you arrived in Trapeang Chong about four months after the Khmer
15	Rouge took power. If you recall, when did Ta Moum come to control
16	that area?
17	A. Ta Moum was chief of sector and I was working at the "sangkat"
18	level or commune level and there were about six communes in that
19	sector. And in that sector or in that "sangkat" or commune, I was
20	responsible for a stretch of 21 kilometres of railway.
21	[10.01.33]
22	Q. Okay. In the interest of time, we'll keep moving on.
23	I just want to confirm that your group supervisor was someone
24	called Ta Meak, and according to your statement, he was in charge
25	of the commune; is that correct?

19

1	A. That is not correct. Ta Meak was the chief of the commune.
2	It's not at the village level; it was at the commune level. He
3	was in charge of politics and other affairs, including the study
4	sessions and the peoples' living conditions, and as well as the
5	stretch of that 21 kilometres of the railway.
6	Q. Thank you. I think we were, in fact, discussing the same point
7	but there might be some issues with translation.
8	Now, just moving forward a little bit to some of the events that
9	you discussed with my colleague, I want to look at question and
10	answer number 15 in your statement and this is I'll read a
11	brief excerpt so that everybody has the information. You said the
12	following:
13	"I saw trains twice a week; the train had 20 to 25 wagons
14	normally for transporting goods, and each wagon transported from
15	40 to 50 people. There were two stages of transportation: the
16	first one was after April 1975, in October, November and
17	December: people were transported from Phnom Penh."
18	I want to discuss that particular transfer if we could, in more
19	detail. Now, do I understand correctly that it was in that
20	period, October, November and December that you saw these trains
21	with 20 to 25 wagons carrying people?
22	[10.04.30]
23	A. Yes, that is correct.
24	Q. I know this was a long time ago, but do you recall whether
25	this continued throughout October, November and December? Did it

20

1 continue for three months or was it a shorter period or a longer 2 period of time? 3 A. I could not recall it exactly, but it continued until December for that phase. 4 Q. And do I understand correctly from your statement that you saw 5 these trains while you were working in Trapeang Chong, in Pursat? 6 7 A. Yes, that is correct. I was working along the railway and the train drove past and I saw it. 8 9 [10.06.08] 10 Q. And when you told my colleague earlier that you saw men, women 11 and children, and you saw some sick people, were these the trains 12 that you were describing? A. Yes, that is correct. I saw those people on the train. 13 14 Q. In your statement, in question and answer number 18, you 15 described people who were quarding them on the trains as Pol Pot 16 and soldiers. How did you know that - or, rather, I'll rephrase 17 that. 18 Did you know where these soldiers came from? 19 A. I did not know where the soldiers came from but I saw them on 20 the train with their weapons. They were escorting those people

21 but I did not know for sure where they came -- or where they had 22 been.

Q. You also said in question and answer number 19, that the train -- "In Pursat, sometimes the train would stop on the road to Leach."

21

- 1 I just want to ask you first, did you see any of the trains that
- 2 stopped in Pursat, yourself?
- 3 [10.08.21]

A. The train that transported the people did not stop at the 4 5 provincial station but they actually stopped at some destinations 6 at the district or near the forest. I mean, they stopped at 7 various distant stations, but not at the provincial station. Q. And were you able to see any of these trains as they stopped? 8 9 A. When they stopped at stations near the forest, I, personally, 10 did not see it, but I heard about that through the train driver, I was told by the train driver. I, personally, only saw the train 11 12 stop at Leach.

Q. Now, if we move forward to Leach, this is what you said in your statement in number -- question and answer number 19, "In Leach, people would remain one week, waiting to be transported in military and civilian trucks to other places."

Now, you told my colleague earlier that you saw military trucks coming to pick up some of these people. Was that in Leach where you saw the trucks coming to pick people up?

20 A. Yes, I saw it with my own eyes. People were instructed to get 21 off and stay around the railway tracks.

22 [10.11.02]

23 Q. How far is Leach from Trapeang Chong where you had been 24 working?

25 A. I was working on a mobile unit. Sometimes I moved around to

1	another place to assist other groups of workers so that the
2	railway could be fixed on time for the train to travel through.
3	But I cannot tell you the distance between these two locations.
4	Q. Just to be sure that I understand correctly, is it correct
5	that you saw the trains passing through in Pursat at a number of
6	locations and then you saw them stop in Leach; is that an
7	accurate summary of what you saw?
8	A. Yes, that is correct.
9	Q. Thank you.
10	Now, the people you mentioned earlier that you saw the people
11	disembark from the trains in Leach and you told my colleague that
12	sometimes they would wait for up to a week. This is what you said
13	in your statement on this point also, again, question and answer
14	number 19, "No one could leave as they were guarded, and those
15	who were sick would die there."
16	[10.13.15]
17	If I can ask you, did you see any of the people that died while
18	waiting at that location?
19	A. I, myself, buried the dead bodies because dead bodies along
20	the railway tracks decomposed, so we had to bury those bodies.
21	Q. If I can take one step back, the people that were waiting in
22	this area in Leach, are you able to estimate approximately how
23	many people, at any one time, was it 100, was it 200, was it
24	several hundred, was it less than that?
25	A. Each wagon could house 40 to 50 people so for 20 wagons it

00856998

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

23

1 could house 100 people, so you could do the calculation by 2 yourself. 3 Q. My maths are not very good at all, but 20 wagons would come to about 1,000 people, if we take the figures you gave us. Does that 4 5 sound accurate, about 1,000 people per train? 6 A. It is difficult to estimate the number of people on the train. 7 Sometimes people were put, 40 or 50 people were put in each wagon, and what I saw was that each wagon was full of people. 8 There were a mixture of old people, of children, and other 9 10 people. 11 [10.15.45] Q. Now, you've told us that they were guarded in the train and 12 13 you've told us also that they could not leave during their waiting in Leach. In your statement at question and answer number 14 17, you were asked, "Did these people look happy?", and you said 15 16 "No, they did not." Can you tell us a little bit more about the conditions of these people? What did you mean by -- when you said 17 18 they did not look happy? 19 A. People who were evacuated at the time -- I thought that they 20 were given one can of rice per 10 people. They did not have much 21 belongings, just some clothing and some Khmer riel currency. They 22 did not have sufficient food, so I could see that their facial 23 expression showed that they were not happy with the conditions.

24 [10.17.25]

25 Q. Were you able to speak to any of them during that time?

24

1 A. No, I did not, because I only minded my own business, I could 2 only observe from my workplace. 3 Q. Were people in your working unit permitted to speak to the other soldiers or the civilians that were moving through Leach? 4 5 A. My role was to repair the railway track, so I did not dare ask 6 them any question. I only tried to fulfil the task assigned to me 7 by my superiors. I did not go anywhere further from my workplace or went to ask them any questions. I did not do that. 8 9 Q. If I can ask you this to follow up, when you say you did not dare as any questions, could you tell us why it was that you felt 10 11 that you couldn't ask any questions? Was there a particular reason that you didn't dare to ask questions? 12 13 A. We needed only to mind our own business. People -- if people 14 were to ask questions they would be asked by those people who 15 were authorized by the superior to ask questions. But my role was 16 only to work based on what I was assigned to, and not to ask any 17 questions. 18 [10.19.48] 19 Q. Was that an instruction that you received from Ta Moum or Ta 20 Meak? 21 A. We engaged in the study session every day chaired by Ta Moum;

A. We engaged in the study session every day chaired by 14 Moum; that we needed to focus only on the assigned work. Whatever was assigned to us, we did that; whatever food was given to us we ate that. And we were not allowed to protest or to demand for anything.

1	Q. And were you told what might happen to anyone if they were to
2	protest?
3	A. First time, that person would be criticized; for the second
4	time, there would be a second criticism; and for the third time,
5	the person would be sent for re-education. Some of my former
6	colleagues went for re-education and they never returned.
7	[10.21.29]
8	Q. Did you ever learn what happened to your colleagues who never
9	returned?
10	A. I did not know. They went for re-education but they never
11	returned to our unit.
12	Q. Thank you. Now, if we can return to the conditions of people
13	that you'd been describing for us, the evacuees?
14	You told us that you buried some of the decomposing corpses
15	yourself. Do you recall approximately how many corpses there were
16	at Leach?
17	A. The corpses that I buried were those who died along the track
18	and because of the stink that we could not work, so we had to
19	bury those bodies. But for those other corpses further from the
20	track, we did not bury those bodies. I saw several of those
21	corpses further from the tracks. They were covered along the rice
22	dykes or along the road. But we did not bury those bodies. We
23	only buried the ones along the railway track.
24	Q. Are you able to give an approximate number of how many bodies
25	you saw in total at the tracks and also further in the fields,

00857001

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

> 26 1 approximately, how many bodies? Was it 10, was it five, was it 2 20, if you're able to give us an estimate? 3 [10.23.50] A. I could not give you an estimate. Sometimes I saw the dead 4 5 bodies which were covered and next day they were gone, and 6 sometimes some other days, I would see dead bodies somewhere 7 else. So I could not give you a total number of those dead 8 bodies. 9 Q. Perhaps we can be more specific. How many did you personally 10 bury? 11 A. I buried one body near the track but I did not bury those other bodies further from the track. 12 13 Q. Now, I may be asking you an obvious question, but just to be 14 sure for the record, how did you know that the bodies that you 15 saw were the bodies of evacuees, people that had been transferred 16 by train? 17 A. Because the area was quiet and people did not leave around 18 that area, only the evacuees passed through that area. I thought 19 that the bodies could not be buried on time as the family members 20 of the dead bodies were sent to be placed in the cooperative. 21 Because around that area, it was vacant and no villagers living 22 in that area. 23 [10.26.02] 24 Q. Thank you. And if I can ask you a couple more questions on

25 this location in Leach.

27

1	In your in question and answer number 22, you say that you
2	recalled that some of the people were forced to leave the train
3	while others continued their trip towards Battambang province. If
4	I can ask you first, where were these people taken, the ones that
5	were forced to disembark from the train?
6	A. I did not know about the arrangement for those people.
7	Sometimes people were disembarked in Leach and sometimes those
8	who were in one or two wagons still remained in the wagons for
9	the train boarding toward Battambang province. So I did not know
10	much about such an arrangement.
11	Q. When you say that some of them were forced to leave the
12	trains, do I take it correctly that it was the soldiers who were
13	forcing them to leave, or was it someone else?
14	A. People who were forced to disembark in Leach or, in other
15	locations, they were under the control and order of the military.
16	Only the military were in control. I never saw any civilians in
17	control, and they were armed.
18	[10.28.36]
19	Q. You then say, in that same paragraph, that the train would
20	return to Phnom Penh to get other people, and would return. So, I
21	just want to make sure I understood that answer correctly; trains
22	would go back to Phnom Penh or somewhere south and collect more
23	people, and then they would come again through Pursat; is that
24	the process that you saw?

25 A. Yes, that is correct, as I stated in that statement.

1	Q. You told my colleague that Ta Moum's soldiers or, rather,
2	that Ta Moum controlled all the soldiers in the area. Did his
3	soldiers take any part in assisting this transfer of people
4	through Pursat?
5	A. No. The soldiers were on the trains all the way from Phnom
6	Penh, and the soldiers had to return when the train came back to
7	Phnom Penh to bring more people. So, these soldiers would be seen
8	on the trains, carriages, on every trip, back and forth.
9	[10.31.02]
10	Q. Did Ta Moum or Ta Meak give you any instructions, or
11	information about these trains that were going to be coming
12	through and carrying people?
13	A. No, he didn't. I think Ta Moum and Ta Meak did not know about
14	this because I saw the situation, what happened only along the
15	rail tracks.
16	MR. PRESIDENT:
17	Thank you, Mr. Co-Prosecutor, and thank you, Mr. Witness.
18	Since it is now appropriate moment for the adjournment, the
19	Chamber will adjourn now and the next session will be resumed by
20	10 toll.
21	Court officer is now instructed to assist the witness during this
22	adjournment.
23	Court is adjourned.
24	(Court recesses from 1032H to 1059H)
25	MR. PRESIDENT:

29

- 1 The Court is now back in session.
- 2 The floor is, once again, given to the Prosecution to continue
- 3 putting questions to this witness. You may proceed.
- 4 BY MR. ABDULHAK:
- 5 Thank you, Mr. President.

6 Q. Mr. Sokh Chhin, I will ask you a few more questions before I

7 hand over the floor to my colleagues, counsel for the civil

8 parties.

9 If we can return, very briefly, to the numbers of trains that you 10 saw, you told us earlier that you saw the transfers during 11 October, November and December 1975. And, you described the 12 numbers of wagons and numbers of people on those trains. In your 13 statement at question and answer number 15, you said that you saw 14 trains twice a week. If I can first ask you, how many trains did 15 you see, twice a week?

16 [11.01.39]

A. I did not count the trains; sometimes I saw the train twice aweek, sometimes once a week and it lasted until December.

19 Q. Thank you. Do you recall if it was always the same train, or

20 if there were a number of different trains being used?

21 A. The wagons were the same, but the locomotive was sometimes

22 different.

Q. And, do you know who those trains belonged to?
A. The trains were - actually, those trains from the Sangkum
Reastr Niyum era, they were old trains; they were not new trains.

1	Q. Thank you. Just on that issue, you told my colleague earlier
2	that, Ta Moum would go to Phnom Penh to attend study sessions,
3	and in your statement in question and answer number 11, you said
4	that you said the following: "Ta Moum was a member of the
5	Communist Party of Kampuchea and reported directly to the Train
6	Unit in Phnom Penh."
7	Do you know whether those trains that were coming through Pursat
8	were under the authority of that train unit in Phnom Penh, or
9	some other body?
10	[11.04.07]
11	A. That is correct. The trains passing through Sector 4 were
12	under the management of the chief of the train unit in Phnom
13	Penh.
14	Q. And, do you know who that person was, or what position he held
15	he or she held?
16	A. No, I did not know the body position or the name of that
17	person.
18	Q. And, if we can take a look at another part of your statement,
19	this is question and answer number 23 I apologize, that was
20	26, you were asked:
21	"Who was the station manager at the Pursat train station?"
22	And you said the following: "There were three persons in charge
23	of telephones, but I do not recall their names. They were all
24	Khmer Rouge soldiers and, they were armed."
25	[11.05.46]

1	Can I ask you first, what were those telephones at the Pursat
2	train station used for?
3	A. The telephones, at that time, were for the traffic of the
4	train movement because there was only one railway track, so there
5	had to be communication by telephone so that every station can be
6	communicated for the flow and the traffic of the trains.
7	Q. Thank you. Do you know, and tell us if you don't, whether all
8	of these train stations were under the authority of the train
9	unit in Phnom Penh?
10	A. All the train stations were under the management of the train
11	unit in Phnom Penh.
12	Q. Thank you. Was Ta Moum the manager of the train station in
13	Pursat or was there someone else that was specifically
14	responsible for the train station?
15	A. Ta Moum was in charge of two sectors between Romeas and
16	Pursat. He was in charge of the traffic of the train, so all the
17	train movements through these areas were under his management.
18	[11.07.51]
19	Q. Thank you. Do you recall how often Ta Moum went to report
20	directly to the train unit in Phnom Penh?
21	A. I did not know for sure as this is his business.
22	Q. Did I understand correctly that, when you were responding to a
23	question from my colleague, that following these trips to Phnom
24	Penh, Ta Moum would organize local meetings where he would pass
25	on instructions to people working at the railways? Did I

32

- 1 understand that correctly?
- 2 A. Yes, that is correct.

Q. Thank you. And, just a couple of more questions -- in relation to where the people that were transferred by train came from, you say in question and answer number 14 of your statement that you were told that they were transferred from Phnom Penh, and at question and answer Number 23, you say: "What I know is that people came from the direction of Phnom Penh".

10 they were put on trains and transferred to the Northwest?

11 [11.10.05]

12 A. I did not know where they originally came from. I only saw 13 them on the train. I did not know whether they were transported 14 from somewhere else to Phnom Penh, and then from Phnom Penh to 15 other locations. So, what I saw was that people were on the 16 trains and after they disembarked, the train went back to Phnom 17 Penh, and then I saw it return again, with the people on board. 18 Q. Thank you. Do you know, and please tell us if you don't, 19 whether these people were placed on the trains in Phnom Penh or, 20 whether that happened somewhere else?

A. I did not know, but the train came from Phnom Penh direction.
However, I did not know whether people were put on the train near
Pochentong or at the junction near the way to Kompong Som, I
could not know that.

25 [11.11.34]

1	Q. Thank you. Now, looking at where these people were taken to,
2	there are a couple parts of your statement that are relevant; in
3	question and answer number 19, we discussed this portion earlier,
4	you described how people were waiting to be loaded onto trucks at
5	Leach. And then at 21, you say this: "I know that people were
6	transported to different cooperatives in trucks by soldiers and
7	militiamen of Pol Pot."
8	Can you tell us how it was that you knew that they were being
9	transported to different cooperatives?
10	A. Because I saw it with my own eyes that people were transported
11	from Leach towards the south direction.
12	Q. In your statement, again, now at question and answer number
13	14, you describe a number of locations to which people were
14	transferred, and you mention Svay Sisophon, Battambang, Thma Koul
15	and Phnum Touch. If I'm not mistaken, all of these places are
16	either in Battambang or Banteay Meanchey province.
17	[11.13.29]
18	Who was it that told you that the people were being taken to
19	these particular destinations?
20	A. I learned of the information because for each line there was a
21	chief of the line, and I was told that people were dropped off in
22	Kien Svay or at Thma Koul market, or at Phnum Touch. So, I
23	learned of that through the chief of the line. The person was a
24	civilian and, not a military officer. He was a former worker whom
25	I knew from the past.

1	Q. When you say "a chief of the line", do you mean a chief of a
2	part of the railway line or some other line, just to make sure we
3	get that correctly?
4	A. At that time, for the transportation, there were two sections:
5	one was the railway line and the other one was the station. For
6	the station, there would be a chief of the station and for the
7	railway; there would be a chief of the railway that is for the
8	entire railway line. And the chief of the station would be at
9	that station waiting to receive people, but as the chief of the
10	railway line, the person would be on board the train.
11	[11.15.26]
12	Q. And, it was that person, the chief of the railway line, who
13	was on board the trains that told you these destinations to which
14	the people were taken; is that correct?
15	A. Yes, that is correct. Because the chief of the railway line
16	would keep control of the flow of the train movement that is
17	the departure point and the destination point. So, the person
18	would keep record of the movement from the destination from
19	the departure to the destination points.
20	Q. And, if we just look at what happened or, rather, I'll
21	rephrase that.
22	Did you ever learn why it was that these people were being
23	transferred?
24	A. No, I did not know about that. What I saw was what I described
25	earlier when I worked at my location. My main task was to repair

00857010

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

35

- 1 the railway tracks.
- 2 [11.17.12]

3 Q. Now, you told my colleague that peoples' biographies were taken before they embarked on this journey. Also, in your 4 5 statement at question and answer number 23, you discuss what 6 happened upon arrival and you say this: "The people were 7 evacuated to other provinces, and once they arrived at the provinces, their biographies were made. According to their 8 9 personal history, they were transferred to different locations." First, can you help us with what you meant by "people being 10 11 transferred to different locations according to their personal history"? 12

13 A. The people who were evacuated from Phnom Penh were a mixture 14 of different status; some of them were workers, rickshaw drivers 15 or civil servants. So, their biographies were taken at the 16 location where they disembarked, and I learned that through my relatives who left Phnom Penh. So, their biographies were made, 17 18 and then they were sent toward the Northwest. All of them had to 19 make their biography and they were all those people who were 20 evacuated from Phnom Penh.

21 [11.19.29]

Q. And, this will be my last question. So, just to ensure that I have a correct understanding, what you heard was that once people had been evacuated from Phnom Penh, their biographies were taken, and it was after that they were transferred to the

# 00857011

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

36

- 1 Northwest; is that an accurate summary of what you've told us?
- 2 A. Yes, that is very accurate.
- 3 Q. I'd like to thank you for coming to testify, Mr. Sokh Chhin,
- 4 on behalf of myself and my colleague. Thank you very much, and we
- 5 wish you all the best.
- 6 Mr. President, we have no further questions.
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 The floor is now given to the Lead Co-Lawyers for civil parties
- 10 to put questions to this witness. You may proceed.
- 11 MS. SIMONNEAU-FORT:
- 12 Yes. Thank you, Mr. President; and good morning to all of you.
- 13 Mr. Ven Pov will start with the examination, and I will finish
- 14 with a few questions later.
- 15 [11.20.45]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Counsel Ven Pov, you may proceed.
- 18 QUESTIONING BY MR. VEN POV:

19 Good morning, Mr. President, Your Honours. Good morning everyone 20 in and around the courtroom.

Q. Good morning, Mr. Sokh Chhin. My name is Ven Pov; I am a lawyer representing civil parties. I have only a few questions supplementary to what has been asked by the Prosecution. My first question is related to your written record of interview -- that is answer -- question number 7, which you stated that there were

37

- 18 people in your group when you worked at the railway station in
   Pursat province.
   [11.21.41]
   My question is the following: Amongst the 18 members of the
- 5 group, were they former public servants or were they selected
- 6 from somewhere else?
- 7 MR. SOKH CHHIN:

A. The 18 members of the group were actually the former railway workers for various parts of the railway station, locomotive of the station, and then they were assigned to put into this group to repair the tracks. Actually, there were other three people within the group; the three were soldiers controlling our 18-man group for this 21-kilometre track.

14 Q. In your question and answer number 10, where you stated that 15 Ta Moum reported directly to the train unit in Phnom Penh, how 16 did he report directly to the train unit in Phnom Penh? Did he personally go to Phnom Penh, or did he use any other means? 17 18 A. I did not know about that. When he was required to attend a 19 study session, then he would go, for example, for a one day study 20 session in Phnom Penh, but I did not know how he reported to the train unit in Phnom Penh. However, when he left, he always left 21 22 on the train.

23 [11.23.33]

Q. In your question and answer number 13, and allow me to quote:
Then we attended self-criticism meetings and it was led by Ta

38

1 Meak and the meetings took place every day after finishing main 2 work." 3 My question to you is: What was your "main work", at the time? A. The main work was to repair the railway tracks, and as for the 4 socialism work, we had to engage in agricultural production. 5 6 Q. Thank you. Let me look at your question and answer number 15, in your response number 15, it seems that you knew about the 7 evacuation of people from Phnom Penh in two phases. The first 8 9 phase was in August and another one was in October or November 10 and the third phase was in 1978. Did you observe the three phases 11 of the evacuation, or you only knew about the only two phases? MR. PRESIDENT: 12 13 Witness, please wait until you see the red light on the console 14 before you speak. 15 [11.25.33] 16 MR. SOKH CHHIN: 17 A. As far as I knew, the evacuation by train was only done in the 18 two initial phases. The first phase was the transportation of the 19 New People, and, as for the second phase, people were also 20 transported from Phnom Penh. 21 BY MR. VEN POV: 22 Q. Also in your answer number 15, and based on what you 23 experienced or saw with your own eyes, at the time, in particular 24 in April 1975, besides seeing the evacuation of people from Phnom 25 Penh, did you observe any other events where people were

39

- 1 transported by other means passing through the area where you
- 2 worked?
- 3 MR. SOKH CHHIN:

4 A. I did not know about that; I only knew about the evacuation by5 train.

6 [11.26.58]

Q. In your question and answer number 18, you already responded to the Prosecution that, in each wagon there were about two Khmer Rouge soldiers guarding the wagons. Did you personally -- or, rather, what was the attitude of those Khmer Rouge soldiers on the wagon, if you can recall?

A. Their facial expression was normal; they were rather happycompared to the people.

Q. In your response to the Prosecution's question, you stated that in each wagon, there were between 40 to 50 people; did you observe whether each wagon was crowded, people were sitting or standing?

18 A. At that time, there was no seat in each wagon because the 19 wagon was designed for goods transportation. So people actually 20 sat on the floor. There was no bench or chair for them to sit, so 21 some were sitting on the floor while others were standing.

22 [11.28.54]

Q. Again, in your question/answer number 18, you stated that: "Pol Pot armed soldiers guarded them on the train, and they would tell when and where to leave the train at the different

40

1 locations." End of quote.

2 My question is: Did you observe that any local authorities or the 3 chief of the district or cooperative would wait and receive them

4 at those different locations?

5 A. I did not know about who were the chief of cooperatives 6 because what I saw were that people were asked to board the 7 tracks. So this group were asked to board this track or that 8 track, that's what I observed back then.

9 Q. Thank you. In your question and answer number 22, you 10 confirmed that you cannot recall anymore and that you could 11 recall that some of the people were forced to leave the train 12 while others continued their trip towards Battambang Province. 13 The question is: When the train returned, was it empty or did it 14 carry any other thing?

15 A. The returning train was reduced to not -- no more people other 16 than the crewmembers and the soldiers.

17 [11.31.18]

Q. With regard to the forced transfer or transportation of the people by trains, according to your experience working through the period between the 17th of April 1975 to later years, you indicated that you saw old people, sick people, and young people being boarded on the trains. Can you tell the Chamber, please, have you ever seen any monks being -- or travelling on the train? MR. PRESIDENT:

25 Please, Witness, wait until you see the red light on the mic

- 1 before you proceed with your response.
- 2 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- A. I have never seen any monks travelling on the train, and I
  could never see them because I learned that monks were defrocked
  already long ago.
- 6 BY MR. VEN POV:
- Q. I have two remaining questions. First, could you tell the Chamber about the freedom of movement? Were you given freedom to move freely during that time?
- 10 [11.32.49]
- 11 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- 12 A. I did not enjoy the right to roam about freely, other than 13 moving in the confined area of my work at the railway station. 14 Q. The last question, on question number 27, when you were asked 15 whether -- rather, 28, whether Nuon Chea, Ieng Sary, and Khieu 16 Samphan ever travelled to the areas you worked in, and you said 17 you did not know that. But the question is: Did you ever have a 18 radio or did you ever listen to radio broadcasts where the names 19 of the senior leaders of the Khmer Rouge would be read or 20 broadcast on those programs?
- A. At that time, I did not have a radio where I could listen tothe radio broadcasts.
- 23 [11.34.05]
- Q. Since you did not have a radio to listen to radio broadcasts,can you please tell the Chamber, finally, whether during study

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

42 sessions or meetings, such names of the senior leaders would be read or introduced with their roles and functions? A. During study sessions, we were told to pay respect to the national anthem or the flags, rather, and then we would be briefed on individuals in the leadership. Q. Do you still recall, for example, what Nuon Chea would be doing and what was his role? MR. PRESIDENT: Witness, could you please hold on? Wait until you see the red light before you proceed with your response. MR. SOKH CHHIN: A. I was not told by Ta Moum about Mr. Nuon Chea. I learned very recently about his role. MR. PRESIDENT: Counsel for Nuon Chea, you may proceed. [11.35.42] MR. PAUW: It -- the question has been answered. It's now too late. I would have objected to his question because he was asking the witness to his speculate, but we can proceed. MR. PRESIDENT: Counsel for the civil parties, you may proceed with further questions if you still have them to put to the witness. MR. VEN POV: Mr. President, I thank you very much. I have no further

# 00857018

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

43

- 1 questions. I would like to cede to -- the floor to my colleague
- 2 to continue putting questions.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 5 QUESTIONING BY MS. SIMMONEAU-FORT:
- 6 Thank you, Mr. President.
- 7 [11.36.37]

Q. Just a few points, if I may, sir, to add to what you have told us hitherto for. You told us that the people who worked on the railways continued to work with you, and you told us, in answer number 9 -- or you told the Investigating Judge, that the newly appointed Khmer Rouge kept Om Proeung because of his technical abilities. What about the other former workers of the railways, were they kept on as well?

- 15 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- 16 A. Om Proeung was the chief of the technical unit of the railway 17 station, and he was kept.
- 18 Q. Yes, but what about the other employees, apart from Om
- 19 Proeung?

A. I only know about Om Proeung; I don't know what happened toother people in other locations.

22 [11.38.30]

Q. Very well, that's all right. Let me now ask you a few more questions about the trains that you saw, because you directly witnessed this, we didn't hear it, so we need the details that

1	you can give us. The maximum amount of detail on what you
2	actually saw, because that'll give us a clear vision of what it
3	was like.
4	So coming back to these trains, and your answers numbers 15 to 20
5	that you gave to the Investigating Judges, and you said firstly,
6	in number 15, that people were put into freight trains, and you
7	said this morning that these were old trains. You told us that
8	people were obliged to sit on the ground and that there were 40
9	to 50 people in each wagon.
10	Now, were people very much pressed together in these wagons?
11	A. No, they weren't, because the wagons were spacious enough for
12	accommodating these number of people, although they squeezed in a
13	little bit, but not too pressed.
14	[11.40.12]
15	Q. So they could all sit down?
16	A. They could sit or remain standing if they wished.
17	Q. Thank you. When the train stopped in Leach, did they sometimes
18	stop for several hours at a time?
19	A. I don't recall how long the trains would stop at that
20	location, but my recollection is that the train would then move
21	on after people were disembarked from the trains.
22	Q. Did the train stop in the shade or was it in the open sunshine
23	or part of it?
24	
	A. The trains would be would stop on the rail tracks and they

45

1	sunlight.
2	Q. You talked about the people who got off the trains and who
3	waited sometimes for as long as one week. You talked about the
4	sick and those who died. You told us about the corpses and the
5	stench of the bodies. When people were got off the train you
6	said they didn't receive any kind of care and they weren't fed
7	either, but did they receive any water, and if so, what was the
8	source of this water?
9	A. What I saw was that no water was given to them. They had to
10	make use of the water from the paddy fields to drink.
11	[11.43.26]
12	Q. Thank you. What about sleep? Did the people have hammocks?
13	Were they given anything to protect them from insects?
14	A. When it comes to sleep, people were would have to manage on
15	their own. Those who could have a piece of cloth to lay on the
16	ground before they could really spend overnight there, then they
17	would do so. Others would have to take refuge under trees or
18	elsewhere.
19	Q. Were they given mosquito nets?
20	A. No doubt such mosquito nets would not be given. They who
21	those who could manage to bring along with them their own
22	mosquito nets, then would use them, but they were not given when
23	they were on the trains.
24	[11.45.02]
25	Q. What about hygiene? What about the conditions for washing and

Page 45

25

46

1 so on? 2 A. There was no kind of hygiene. They had to have a wash. Again, 3 making use of the water from the paddy fields or maybe had a wash in the paddy fields themselves. 4 5 Q. Are you saying that they were washing in the water that they 6 then drank? 7 A. Yes, that is correct. Q. You told us that you weren't able to talk to people, but did 8 9 your hierarchical superiors allow you to provide assistance to 10 people? 11 A. No. I, myself, did not have enough to eat; I did not have 12 enough water to drink, let alone thinking of offering any 13 assistance at all to others, because I had been helping myself 14 first. Q. Thank you. I am almost done. So, sir, you witnessed all of 15 16 this, and I'm very grateful to you for all of these details, 17 which are necessary to understand exactly how it was. Now, all of these things that you saw, did you ever talk about them at the 18 19 time with your friends? 20 A. I was very sympathetic towards them. I talked about this to my 21 peers, but I couldn't do anything because I, myself, also 22 experienced the same hardship. 23 [11.47.52] 24 Q. When you did talk about this with your friends, what did you

say? How were you able to explain this to yourself or to them to

	47
1	justify it?
2	A. I didn't talk to them to find out the justification behind
3	such treatment, but I did voice my sympathy, and the talking was
4	very limited because we were obliged not to say anything at all,
5	even we did not have enough to eat.
6	Q. Why were you obliged to say nothing?
7	MR. PRESIDENT:
8	Counsel for Mr. Nuon Chea, could you you may proceed.
9	MR. SON ARUN:
10	I take issue with the question as it is more speculative.
11	[11.49.18]
12	MS. SIMMONEAU-FORT:
13	Mr. President, I'm simply asking this gentleman to tell us the
14	simple facts about he was obliged to say nothing. He presumably
15	knows why he was obliged to keep his mouth shut. I don't think
16	that there's anything speculative here.
17	MR. PRESIDENT:
18	The objection is not sustained. Witness is now instructed to
19	respond to the question just put by counsel for the civil
20	parties, if he remembers the question.
21	Counsel for the civil parties, it appears to us that the witness
22	may have forgotten the question you just put to him. You may
23	repeat it.
24	BY MS. SIMMONEAU-FORT:
25	Q. Yes, Witness, you said that you felt sympathy for these people

## 00857023

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

48

- 1 but that you were obliged to say nothing. And my question to you
- 2 is, why were you obliged to say nothing?
- 3 [11.51.01]
- 4 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- 5 A. Personally, I had nothing to say about this because I had
- 6 nothing to ask people about. I did see that people suffered and
- 7 the food was not decent enough and that happened to me as well,
- 8 so I had nothing to say.
- 9 Q. Let me close on the final question, sir. Were you afraid of
- 10 talking about certain things in those days?
- 11 A. I was absolutely afraid to say anything at all because I was
- 12 instructed to be silent.
- 13 Q. Thank you very much. I have no further questions.
- 14 Thank you very much, Mr. President.
- 15 [11.52.22]
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Thank you, Counsel.

18 Next, the Chamber would like to ask counsels for Mr. Nuon Chea

19 whether they would wish to put some questions to the witness. If

- 20 so, how much time would they need to do so?
- 21 MR. PAUW:

22 Thank you, Mr. President. The Nuon Chea defence team thinks to23 need one hour for its questioning. It might be a bit shorter, it

24 might be a bit longer, but one hour should be the target.

25 (Judges deliberate)

49

1 [11.53.25]

2 MR. PRESIDENT:

We thank you for this -- pieces -- or this piece of information, and before the lunch adjournment the Chamber would like to announce on the change of the scheduling commencing from Monday the 5th of November 2012.

7 The Trial Chamber has been informed by the UNAKRT Administration 8 that due to financial constraints the Trial Chamber is unable to 9 replace a significant number of key international legal and other 10 staff. The shortfall reduces the number of staff to approximately 11 half that foreseen in the staffing table.

The Chamber, over the last three months, has repeatedly advised the relevant UN bodies and the UNAKRT Administration of the difficulties it is experiencing as a consequence. In response, assurances have been given that staffing issues will be resolved, but to date no finality has been achieved.

17 Consequently, the Trial Chamber indicated recently to those 18 authorities that while there is insufficient staff to support the 19 work of the Trial Chamber it cannot continue to sit for four days 20 each week, and that regrettably this will lead inevitably to an 21 extension of the time needed to conclude Case 002/01. Although 22 this advice was communicated by the Trial Chamber approximately 23 two weeks ago, there has been no confirmation that the staffing 24 issues will be resolved.

25 For that reason, and in order to give the parties and the public

1

5	$\cap$
J	U

2 Wednesday only, beginning from the week commencing the 5th of 3 November 2012. [11.57.00] 4 Now, the -- it is the appropriate time for lunch adjournment. The 5 6 Chamber will adjourn and the next session will be resumed by 1.30 7 p.m. Counsel for Mr. Nuon Chea, you may proceed first. 8 9 MR. PAUW: 10 Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. Nuon Chea would like to follow this 11 afternoon's proceedings from his holding cell as he is suffering 12 from a back pain and a lack of concentration, and we have already 13 submitted the waiver. MR. PRESIDENT: 14 15 Thank you, Counsel. 16 [11.57.45] Now, counsel for Mr. Khieu Samphan, you may proceed. 17 MR. KONG SAM ONN: 18

as much notice as possible, the Chamber will sit from Monday to

19 Likewise, Mr. Khieu Samphan is not able to participate in these 20 proceedings in the courtroom because his sleep has been deprived 21 for the last few days, and for that he is not feeling well too, 22 and that he would like to be excused. His waiver would be 23 submitted to the Chamber in due course. 24 (Judges deliberate)

25 [11.59.07]

51

#### 1 MR. PRESIDENT:

2 The Chamber has noted the request by Mr. Nuon Chea through his 3 counsel, and also the request by Mr. Khieu Samphan through his national counsel in which they have asked the Chamber to retire 4 5 to the holding cells to observe the proceedings from there. 6 For Mr. Khieu Samphan, we have also received the confirmation 7 from the treating doctor that the Chamber is recommended that Mr. Khieu Samphan should be allowed to observe the proceedings from 8 9 his holding cell remotely due to his health concerns. He has been 10 weak and fatigued because he has not had enough sleep for two 11 consecutive nights already.

12 The Chamber notes that such requests are reasoned, and for that 13 the Chamber would like to grant them by allowing both Mr. Khieu 14 Samphan and Nuon Chea to observe the proceedings from their 15 respective holding cell.

16 [12.00.53]

17 Both of the Accused persons have already expressly waived their 18 right to participate directly in the courtroom. The Chamber would 19 like counsels for both Mr. Khieu Samphan and Nuon Chea to submit 20 the waivers, giving thumbprint or signed by both of the Accused 21 on each waiver to the Chamber.

And the AV unit is now instructed to ensure that the AV equipment is well-connected to the holding cells of the accused persons so that they can observe the proceedings from there for the remainder of the day.

Page 51

## 00857027

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

52

- 1 Security personnel are now instructed to bring Mr. Nuon Chea and
- 2 Khieu Samphan to their holding cells.
- 3 The Court is adjourned.
- 4 (Court recesses from 1201H to 1330H)
- 5 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 6 Please be seated. The Court is now back in session.
- 7 Before I hand the floor over to the defence teams, I noticed
- 8 that, Judge Lavergne, you might have some questions to be put to
- 9 this witness. You may proceed, Judge Lavergne.
- 10 QUESTIONING BY JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 11 Yes, thank you, Mr. President. It's more a request for
- 12 clarification in relation to some information that might have
- 13 been provided before but maybe I didn't catch it.
- 14 Q. Witness, you explained to us that in -- regarding the
- 15 transport of people by train through Battambang, you said that
- 16 this took place in two steps: a step that followed the fall of
- 17 Phnom Penh in 1975 and a following step later. And, what I would
- 18 like to know is do you know what the final destination was of the
- 19 people who were transported in this way?
- 20 [13.34.00]

21 You spoke about a certain number of stations, but do you know how22 far these people went, to where, where did they end up?

- 23 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- A. Good afternoon, Mr. President. I did not know where the lastdestination would be. I only knew that people would be let off at

	53
1	various cooperatives.
2	Q. So what you know is that these people were transported to
3	certain cooperatives, but without exactly knowing which
4	cooperatives these were. Is that what you are telling us?
5	A. Yes. That's what I meant. People were transported to
6	cooperatives.
7	[13.35.11]
8	Q. I have a last question for you. You spoke about the
9	transportation of people by railway and did you see people being
10	transported in other ways? Did you see, for example, people being
11	transported by other means than by train?
12	A. No, I did not. Besides that, they were transported by trains.
13	Q. Thank you, Witness. I have no further questions to put to Mr.
14	Sokh.
15	MR. PRESIDENT:
16	Thank you, Judge Lavergne.
17	[13.36.07]
18	The floor is now given to Nuon Chea's defence to put questions to
19	this witness. You may proceed.
20	QUESTIONING BY MR. PAUW:
21	Q. Thank you, Mr. President and good afternoon to all in and
22	around the courtroom, and especially good afternoon to you, Mr.
23	Sokh Chhin.
24	My name is Jasper Pauw. I am the lawyer for Nuon Chea,
25	international lawyer for Nuon Chea, and I will be asking you some

1	questions relating to the statements that you provided to the
2	Investigating Judges and today here in the courtroom.
3	As always I will be speaking slowly for the benefit of the
4	translators, but if anything is unclear to you, please, do ask me
5	for clarification.
6	Mr. Sokh Chhin, this morning you stated that you do not remember
7	exactly when you were interviewed by the investigators of the
8	OCIJ. Could you perhaps give us an estimate? Can you tell us
9	about how long ago it was that you were questioned by the
10	investigators of the OCIJ?
11	The witness is looking at his statement, but I'm asking him to
12	answer from memory. So could the witness be instructed to not
13	read from his statement, but to answer the question from memory?
14	[13.38.19]
15	MR. PRESIDENT:
16	Witness, there is no need for you to refer to that document. If
17	you can recall the time, please say so, and if you cannot recall,
18	please state so as well.
19	MR. SOKH CHHIN:
20	A. I cannot recall the time.
21	BY MR. PAUW:
22	Q. Could you tell us whether, according to your recollection,
23	this was one year ago or two years ago or three years ago or four
24	years ago or five years ago; could you try to be a little bit
25	specific?

- 1 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- 2 A. When I think back, it could be taking place in either 2008 or
- 3 2009.
- 4 [13.39.34]
- 5 Q. Thank you. And would you be able to remember the month that 6 this interview took place? And if you don't know you can state
- 7 so.
- 8 A. I cannot recall the month.
- 9 Q. When the investigators of the OCIJ came to your village, did
- 10 they explain to you why they wanted to talk to you?
- 11 A. Whatever I said to them is on the written record of the 12 interview.
- 13 Q. I understand that, but my question is slightly different.
- 14 When the investigators came to your village, did they explain to
- 15 you why they came to talk to you?
- 16 A. I cannot recall what they were speaking back then.
- 17 Q. And do you know how the investigators knew that you had been
- 18 working for the railroads during the regime of Democratic
- 19 Kampuchea?

A. The group came to me, to my house, and asked me questions that when did I start working for the railway. My house was actually located near the railway track as well.

23 [13.42.01]

Q. And did they explain to you why they came to your house and not, for example, to the house of perhaps your neighbour; how did

1	they know they could talk to you about the railroads under the
2	regime of Democratic Kampuchea?
3	A. In my village, there are a number of former railway workers
4	and they came to me. They asked me questions and they told me
5	that they came from the ECCC.
6	Q. You stated that there are a number of railroad workers living
7	in your village. Did any of those other railroad workers
8	accompany the investigators when they came to talk to you?
9	[13.43.21]
10	A. Nobody actually accompanied the group. They came to my house.
11	They conducted an interview with me and then they interviewed two
12	other people. And I was told that one of them already died.
13	Actually, on that day, three of us were interviewed on the same
14	day and we were all former railway workers.
15	Q. And can you tell us how you know that on the same day three
16	people were interviewed by the investigators of the OCIJ?
17	A. I did not know much about that. After I concluded my interview
18	with them, then they interviewed the other two but I did not know
19	the substance of the other two interviews.
20	Q. You have testified also this morning about Om Proeung and you
21	have stated that he was in charge of the technical work. During
22	the DK regime, did you work together with him; did you work
23	closely together with him?
24	A. Yes, I worked with him.
25	Q. And can you give us an estimate as to how long you worked

1

57

2	Kampuchea or was that shorter?
3	A. I worked with him during the entire period of Democratic
4	Kampuchea.
5	[13.45.58]
6	Q. And you stated a little bit about his role today, but could
7	you tell me a little bit more about what he did during the
8	Democratic Kampuchea regime?
9	A. He was the chief of the Technical Section that is
10	specializing in road, bridge and building. He worked in his own
11	group, but he oversaw the technical aspect of the group. So let
12	me repeat. He specialized in road, bridge and building.
13	Q. Thank you for that answer.
14	And these days, are you still in touch with Om Proeung; do you
15	still see each other?

together with him; was that throughout the regime of Democratic

16 A. I also meet him and see him because he's my neighbour. He

17 doesn't live far from my house and he's also a retiree.

18 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Om Proeung has also given

19 testimony to the investigators of the ECCC?

20 A. No, I do not know about that. I do not ask him about that.

21 [13.48.05]

22 Q. And, during your interview with the investigators of the OCIJ,

23 was Mr. Om Proeung present considering that he lived near you?

24 A. He was outside; not within the interview group.

25 Q. And how did you know he was outside; did you see him outside

Page 57

1	or did people tell you he was outside? Could you explain that,
2	please?
3	A. I was interviewed in a room and there was a window from that
4	room, and from that window I saw him walking outside.
5	Q. And do you know why he was outside while you were being
6	interviewed?
7	A. He I was not really sure. Probably he was chit-chatting
8	with the neighbours.
9	Q. According to the summary of the interview with Mr. Om Proeung,
10	Mr. Om Proeung has talked to the investigators off the records.
11	This means that the investigators of the ECCC spoke with Om
12	Proeung without recording those conversations.
13	[13.50.26]
14	MR. PAUW:
15	And the reference for that, Mr. President, is document number
16	D232/74: English ERN is 00422355, and the Khmer ERN is 00414480,
17	and can be found under question 60. It is, in fact, the
18	investigator himself who indicates that they have had
19	off-the-record contacts.
20	BY MR. PAUW:
21	Q. Mr. Sokh Chhin, you were interviewed on the same day as Mr. Om
22	Proeung and you were interviewed by the same investigator and it
23	all took place in the same village.
24	Did the investigator of the OCIJ perhaps speak with you as well
25	without recording this conversation or was the entirety of your

## 00857034

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

59

- 1 interview recorded?
- 2 A. Yes, we talked but I cannot recall the subject matters that we
- 3 talked about.
- Q. Did the investigator explain to you that he had just spoken toOm Procung when he came to ask you questions?
- 6 [13.52.20]
- 7 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 8 Judge Lavergne, you may proceed.
- 9 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

Yes. I would like Counsel Pauw to explain to us the reasons for such an assertion. He just said that the investigator had spoken with the other witness -- I don't remember his name -- before he spoke with the witness here present, if I understood correctly what you said.

- 15 So what is the ground for such an assertion and what is its
- 16 relevance?
- 17 MR. PAUW:
- 18 Thank you, Judge Lavergne, for that question.
- 19 The source for the knowledge would come from the interviews,
- 20 summaries of the interviews, themselves. Witness Om Proeung was
- 21 interviewed on the 8 December 2009 at 9.15 a.m. and that
- 22 interview ended at 2.30 that same day, and 20 minutes later the
- 23 interview with this witness starts 8 December 2009 at 10 to 3 and
- 24 that goes on into the afternoon.

25 So that's where I base my knowledge on that these people were, in

60

- 1 fact, interviewed on the same day and that Om Proeung was
- 2 interviewed first.
- 3 The relevance--
- 4 [13.54.06]
- 5 JUDGE LAVERGNE:
- 6 Yes, but I apologize, but that's not exactly what you just said 7 or the assertion that you used to question this witness. So the 8 fact that both witnesses were interviewed the same day is one 9 thing and that they were interviewed successively isn't something 10 else, but going beyond that seems a bit harder for me to 11 understand.
- 12 And, once again, I'd like to know what is the relevance of all of
- 13 these questions?
- 14 MR. PAUW:
- 15 To make sure I understand, Judge Lavergne, which assertion did I
- 16 make so I can respond comprehensively to your question?
- 17 [13.54.51]
- 18 JUDGE LAVERGNE:

Okay. I do not have the transcript in front of me. It might also be a problem of interpretation, but it seems to me that I understood that you had said to this witness that the investigator said to him that he had interrogated the other witness before he continued with the interview of this witness. Maybe I didn't understand correctly or maybe you could clarify this for me, please?

	61
1	MR. PAUW:
2	Yes, then I think we understand each other.
3	I did not assert that the investigator had told this witness that
4	he had spoken to the witness in the morning. My question was
5	whether the investigator had told this witness about such an
6	occurrence and that, obviously, is relevant if one wants to
7	examine sources of knowledge of this witness.
8	So I did not mean to assert that the investigator had told this
9	witness such a thing.
10	(Judges deliberate)
11	[13.56.55]
12	MR. PRESIDENT:
13	Defence Counsel, you may continue with your questioning of this
14	witness.
15	MR. PAUW:
16	Thank you, Mr. President.
17	BY MR. PAUW:
18	Q. And I'm not sure if the witness remembers the question but I'm
19	happy to repeat it if the witness so indicates.
20	MR. SOKH CHHIN:
21	A. No, I cannot recall it.
22	Q. When the investigator of the OCIJ came to interview you, did
23	he explain to you that he had been speaking to Mr. Om Proeung
24	earlier that day?
25	A. I cannot recall that.

62

1	[13.58.11]
2	Q. And to avoid confusion, the investigator of the OCIJ stated
3	that he had spoken to Mr. Om Proeung off the record, so without
4	recording those parts of the interview. Did the investigator of
5	the OCIJ also speak with you off the record, therefore, without
6	recording certain parts of your interview?
7	A. I cannot recall what I was asked, but I was later asked to be
8	interviewed by the team.
9	MR. PRESIDENT:
10	Thank you, Witness.
11	The Prosecution, do you have anything to say?
12	[13.59.21]
13	MR. ABDULHAK:
14	Your Honours, we were going to object, but perhaps the matter is
15	moot now that the witness has answered the question.
16	In our view, there's no proper basis to be reading to this
17	witness information about interview techniques conducted with
18	another witness during which interview this witness was not
19	present. My learned friend can quite competently and succinctly
20	put a question to this witness as to whether or not he was asked
21	any questions off the record.
22	References to other interviews in this particular context, to our
23	mind, are not appropriate.
24	MR. PAUW:

25 Mr. President, under normal circumstances, I would entirely agree

63

1	with the supervised but is this instance on our such that the
1	with the prosecutor, but in this instance, we are speaking about
2	a set of interviews that was conducted on the same day by the
3	same investigators. These two witnesses are neighbours and they
4	have worked at the same place during the regime of Democratic
5	Kampuchea.
6	[14.00.20]
7	Apparently, the one witness has been questioned off the record.
8	It's not the Defence claiming it; it's the investigator of the
9	OCIJ writing it down himself in the summary of the interview.
10	Therefore, there is reason to at least probe whether or not this
11	witness may have been questioned as well off the record.
12	[14.00.45]
13	Again, this is not the Defence going off on a fishing expedition;
14	it's the OCIJ itself acknowledging that off-the-record
15	conversations have taken place. So we think it's relevant for
16	establishing and probing the sources of knowledge and credibility
17	of this witness but, of course, we are in your hands.
18	MR. PRESIDENT:
19	Now, the witness is before you and he has made it clear that he
20	tried to recall what he has experienced and what he recollects
21	from his experience, and that is the reason for having him to
22	testify before the Court today.
23	[14.01.46]
24	And the Chamber wishes to remind you that Rule 76.7 is the sub

25 rule to be taken into account -- as for Rule 76.7 provides that

1	unless it is subject to appeal, the Closing Order may rectify the
2	procedural errors, unless there is no any other issue may
3	be raised immediately before the Chamber or the Supreme Court
4	Chamber.
5	I do not really know your intention, but if I am not mistaken,
6	you have spent considerable time discussing this, and if you
7	refer to Rule 76.7 that can be self-explanatory and you may move
8	on.
9	[14.02.55]
10	MR. PAUW:
11	Thank you, Mr. President. I hear you stating that my intentions
12	are not clear. Let me then, very briefly, explain what my
13	intentions are.
14	I am not raising any procedural defect. I could not care less
15	about a procedural defect, as such.
16	I'm talking about the sources of knowledge of this witness and
17	whether or not his testimony may have been contaminated by either
18	the investigator of the OCIJ or, perhaps, the other witness.
19	I'm simply doing what every defence lawyer in the world should be
20	doing. It's questioning the sources of knowledge of this witness.
21	But I understand your ruling and we will move on.
22	BY MR. PAUW:
23	Q. This morning, Mr. Sokh Chhin, you mentioned in answering a
24	question by the civil parties that you had found out only
25	recently about the role of Nuon Chea during the regime of

65

- 1 Democratic Kampuchea.
- 2 [14.04.10]

3 Could you tell us how you found out about this role? Did you read 4 about this or did you talk to people? How did you find out about

- 5 the role of Nuon Chea?
- 6 MR. SOKH CHHIN:
- 7 A. I learned about his role only when I watched the TV broadcast
- 8 once in a while, but when he was the chairman of the People's
- 9 Assembly I did not know his role.
- 10 Q. And when you speak about the TV broadcasts, which TV
- 11 broadcasts are you referring to?
- 12 A. I am referring to the broadcasts on the TV station, the CTN TV
- 13 station, of their live feeding of the proceeding at the
- 14 Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia.
- 15 Q. And when you watch those TV programs, do you discuss these
- 16 with members of your family or friends?
- 17 A. No, I did not; I only watch it for myself.

18 Q. And since 1979, have you discussed with members of your family

- 19 events that took place during the regime of the Democratic
- 20 Kampuchea?
- 21 A. No, I have never attempted to recall it. I have never
- 22 discussed it either with my family members or with my friends.
- 23 [14.06.57]
- Q. And we are moving to your work that you undertook at the railroads during the regime of Democratic Kampuchea.

# 00857041

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

1	You have stated that you were responsible for 21 kilometres of
2	the railway. Did that mean that you had to go out every day to
3	check and repair those tracks?
4	A. Yes, that's correct. I had to do that on a daily basis.
5	Q. And is it correct to state that you were living in Trapeang
6	Chong?
7	A. Yes, that is correct, in Sangkat Trapeang Chong, Pursat
8	province.
9	Q. And can you explain to us how far Trapeang Chong was from that
10	stretch of 21 kilometres that you were repairing and checking?
11	A. The 26-kilometre stretch was within Pursat province and it
12	started from Khlang Oeun (phonetic) Road all the way to the
13	railway near Boeng Khnar location.
14	[14.09.03]
15	Q. And how would you get to your work in the morning? Was the
16	railroad next to your commune or did you have to go there by car
17	or walk or some other way?
18	A. As for the technical work relating to the railway, in my
19	"sangkat" or commune, we had lorry. Lorry was used to transport
20	workers and staff members, and this lorry carries a few people
21	and we had to push it along in order to move us along this
22	railroad. So, at that time, we call this tool as lorry to carry
23	people, and it has four wheels and we put the wood on it and then
24	we have to push it. That was the typical means of transport for
25	the staff working in my location at the time.

> 67 1 Q. And would you be working every day or did you have days that 2 you could stay in Trapeang Chong? 3 A. No, we did not have the time to take off except when we were really sick, but we rarely said that we were sick because 4 5 otherwise we would have been accused of being consciously ill 6 also, so we had to work even if we were sick. 7 Q. And how often would you go to Leach? 8 [14.11.33]9 A. Along the railroad, there was a crossroad leading to Leach, 10 but I had never been to Leach and I never knew it, but people 11 would put a stop over there in order to connect it to Leach. 12 Q. Just to make sure I understand you correctly, let me ask the 13 question once more in a slightly different form. During the regime of Democratic Kampuchea, how often would you go 14 to Leach? Would it be -- well, let me ask the question like this. 15 16 A. During the Democratic Kampuchea period, I never visited Leach. 17 [14.12.42] 18 Q. You were also asked this morning the following question, and 19 I'm paraphrasing because I do not have the transcript. The 20 question was: 21 "Did Ta Moum or Ta Meak give you any instructions about trains 22 coming through and carrying people?" 23 And your answer was: 24 "No, he did not, he did not know about this because I saw this 25 situation what happened only along the railway tracks."

1	Could you explain to us what did you mean by stating that you saw
2	the situation only along the railway tracks?
3	A. My role was to repair the railroad, and as for the goods or
4	items transported, I did not know whose who those items
5	belonged to. I only knew that there were items and people who
6	were being carried by the railroad. And along that railroad there
7	was one crossword connecting to Leach.
8	Q. You just now speak about items that were being carried by the
9	railroad. Could you tell us which items were carried by the
10	railroad?
11	A. As for the goods or items carried by the train, as you may be
12	aware that there were different wagons for different purposes.
13	Certain wagons of the train were reserved for carrying goods and
14	others were meant to carry people, but, at the time, I did not
15	see goods those wagons which were used to carry goods were
16	then used to carry people.
17	[14.15.31]
18	Q. Maybe I did not understand your earlier question just now
19	your earlier answer just now then. I thought that you indicated
20	that these trains carried items as well as people. Is that a fair
21	rendering of your answer earlier?
22	A. When I mention goods earlier on, I wanted to emphasize that
23	there were certain wagons used to carry goods in the past, but at
24	that time, there were no wagons used to carry any items or goods.
25	During the Democratic Kampuchea, they made use of those wagons to

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

carry passengers.

Q. And you have stated earlier that the wagons that were used for
transporting people were the type of wagons that were usually
used for transporting goods.
[14.17.00]
Can you describe for us what these wagons looked like? For
example, did these wagons have windows to look out through for
the people or were they entirely closed, as is often the case
with transportation wagons?
A. Those wagons look like a small cubic room with about
four-metre width and about 16 metres long and there were only
four gateways.
Q. And did these wagons have windows?
A. The wagons did not have windows.
Q. And you said that there were four gateways. Could you explain
to us what you mean with "gateways"?
A. They were the doors actually and when people were asked to get
on the train, they had to go through the doors.
In the past, those wagons were reserved for items transport and
then they would put the items or goods through these doors, but
during the Democratic Kampuchea, people were asked to get on the
train through those doors.
[14.19.12]
Q. And after the people got on the train, were those doors closed

or did they remain open?

70

- 1 A. No, the door remained open and people who were standing nearby
- 2 the doors were asked to move a little bit away from the door but,
- 3 of course, the door remained open.
- 4 Q. So there would be enough air entering the train during the
- 5 trip; is that your assessment?
- 6 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 7 Witness, please hold on.
- 8 Mr. Prosecutor, you may proceed.
- 9 MR. ABDULHAK:

Your Honours, my friend is asking a question that, based on the evidence elicited thus far, would be asking the witness to speculate. Unless the witness has been on one of these wagons with 40 to 50 people or unless he's an expert in how air is calculated in terms of cubic metres, etc. Then the question is completely out of place.

- 16 [14.20.40]
- 17 MR. PAUW:

18 Mr. President, I did not hear the prosecutor object when the 19 civil parties asked about exposure to sunlight, and I think the 20 witness, if he has seen the trains, he would well be able to 21 answer the question as to whether or not there was enough air, 22 enough ventilation, in those trains.

23 MR. PRESIDENT:

24 The objection and the grounds of objection by the Prosecution is 25 sustainable (Recording malfunction) witness need not answer this

## 00857046

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

71

- 1 question.
- 2 [14.21.26]
- 3 BY MR. PAUW:

Q. Mr. Witness, when you saw those trains passing by, in your
assessment, did there seem to be enough ventilation for the
passengers aboard that train?
MR. SOKH CHHIN:

8 A. There was enough ventilation and-- (Recording malfunction).

9 Q. You have stated that you were living in Sangkat Trapeang

10 Chong. Did you sleep in this commune every night after you were 11 transferred there?

A. In Sangkat Trapeang Chong, they built houses for us to stay, so we stayed there. We stayed there 24 hours, around the clock. Q. Thank you. And after you were done with checking on the rails and repairing the rails, you would be transported back to your commune; is that correct?

A. No, that was not the case. At that time, nobody transported us back to our hometowns, we had to get on the trail by ourselves and then we have to push it using the stick in order to push us forward using the rail.

21 [14.23.36]

Q. And can you give us an estimate as to how long it would take you to get home to your commune?

A. It varied. Over the 26 stretch (sic), there were certainportions of the rail that were derailed and we had to repair and

> 72 1 we had to stay for a long period of time in order to get it 2 repaired. But in other places, there were only minor 3 deterioration of the rail or - so, it took us a short period of 4 time to repair. And as for the travel times, it also varied from day-to-day, 5 depending on our strength. Once we were energetic, we could push 6 7 it forward faster than the other day. Q. I'm sure it is -- I'm sure you have explained this already, 8 but just for me to understand. 9 10 The commune that you were living in, was that along the railroad 11 track? 12 A. The commune where I lived was close to the railroad track, it 13 was -- the houses that were built for us were about only 10 14 metres away from the rail track. And there were dining halls and 15 the worksite near the railroad as well. 16 [14.25.42] 17 Q. Thank you; that makes things a lot clearer. 18 I would now like to ask you some questions as to the role of Ta 19 Moum. 20 You have it this morning that management was under Ta Moum and he 21 could decide whatever he wished to. How do you know that Ta Moum 22 could decide whatever he wished to? 23 A. I did not know how the decision was made, but on a day-to-day 24 work we had to do as what he asked us to do on a daily basis, but 25 as for -- as to how the decision was made or how the direction

## 00857048

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

73

- 1 was made, I did not know. But we had to do what he asked us to 2 do; otherwise, we would be accused of not respecting the party's 3 policy or Angkar's policy.
- Q. So is it fair to say that you experienced him as he was making the decisions involving your life and work during the regime of Democratic Kampuchea?
- 7 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 8 [14.27.42]

9 Q. And you have also stated that Moum reported to the train unit 10 in Phnom Penh. And could you explain to us how you know that Moum 11 responded -- or reported to the train unit in Phnom Penh? Did you 12 ever witness him reporting to the train units in Phnom Penh, for 13 example?

A. I saw him go to Phnom Penh on a special train. And upon his return, he would convene the meeting and in that meeting, normally, he set forth the direction of work for our colleagues. Q. And you have also stated that trains passing through were under the command of the train units in Phnom Penh. How do you know that those trains were under the command of the train unit in Phnom Penh?

A. That -- that is correct because no one would have the power to order it because we were at the sub-national level and nobody at that sub-national level would be able to set the direction or order to -- for the train to go to any direction to pick up the people, so there could have been no one else but people from

74

- 1 Phnom Penh who set out such order.
- 2 [14.30.00]
- 3 Q. And did you ever see any of those orders? Did you see any

4 written documents coming from Phnom Penh relating to the 5 movements of trains?

A. That I -- I do not know and I never saw any written documents.
Q. And you have also stated that the train stations were under
the authority of the train units in Phnom Penh. The same
question, did you ever see any documents coming from Phnom Penh
that would suggest that the train unit in Phnom Penh was in power
over these train stations?

A. During the regime, all the work was similar to that -- what we did in the previous regime. Unless there was an order issued by the Phnom Penh authority, then the work would be done or conducted. The order or the structure was similar to that assisted in the previous regime.

17 [14.31.48]

Q. And how would you receive your orders? Would that -- would they always be issued by Ta Moum, himself, or was there someone under Ta Moum that issued orders for you? A. As for the work I did along the 21-kilometre railway track, everything was done according to the instruction of Ta Moum.

23 Q. And you say "everything was done according to the instructions

- 24 of Ta Moum", but just to be clear; does that mean that Ta Moum,
- 25 himself, gave you the order personally or was there perhaps

75

- 1 someone under Ta Moum that conveyed his orders to you?
- 2 A. There was nobody else below Ta Moum who would issue orders to
- 3 us. It was Ta Moum, himself, who gave orders.

4 Q. And can you tell us how many staff members Ta Moum had under 5 him? Can you give us an estimate?

- 6 A. I couldn't recall the number of staff members under the
- 7 control of Ta Moum. I can recall that within my group, there were
- 8 18 members.
- 9 [14.34.09]
- 10 Q. That is a clear answer.

11 Mr. President, I am almost done with my questions, but I would 12 seek your leave to have the possibility to confer, for a short 13 period, with my national co-counsel, Mr. Son Arun, to ask him to 14 clarify something for me which I simply do not know, not being a 15 Cambodian national, and I see that the time is -- would be 16 appropriate for a break, so with your leave, I would ask you to break now and then when we return, I will have at most five 17 18 minutes left of questioning if any. So it is -- I'm in your hands 19 obviously.

20 MR. PRESIDENT:

Thank you. We'd like to check with you whether you have consulted with the other two defence teams regarding time allocation because for this witness, all the three defence teams are allocated one afternoon session, only, to question this witness.

~	$\sim$
	n

1 MR. PAUW: 2 You are entirely right, Mr. President. I must say I've been too 3 enthusiastic and I have not checked with my colleagues, but I am sure that they, themselves, can indicate that I would have leave 4 5 to proceed for five minutes and I see nodding so five minutes, at 6 most, after the break, if any. 7 MR. PRESIDENT: 8 Thank you. 9 Ang Udom, you may proceed. MR. ANG UDOM: 10 Good afternoon, Mr. President, Your Honours, everyone in and 11 12 around the courtroom. The Nuon Chea's defence team may have more 13 time to put question to this witness as our team does not have 14 any question to be put to this witness. Thank you, Mr. President. 15 [14.36.40] 16 MR. KONG SAM ONN: 17 Mr. President, my team also does not have questions for this 18 witness, so Nuon Chea's defence may use our time. 19 MR. PRESIDENT: 20 Thank you for the information. 21 The time is appropriate for a break. We shall take a break and 22 resume at 3 p.m. 23 Court Officer, could you assist the witness during the break and 24 have him returned at 3 p.m.? 25 (Court recesses from 1437H to 1501H)

77

1 MR.1	PRESIDENT:
--------	------------

- 2 Please be seated. The Court is back in session.
- 3 I hand over to the defence team for Mr. Nuon Chea to continue his4 line of questioning. You may proceed.
- 5 MR. PAUW:

Thank you, Mr. President, and thank you for the opportunity to
let us discuss briefly, amongst ourselves, about certain issues.
The good news is that I, after this conversation, do not have any
further questions for this witness.

10 I do want to point out, for the record, that several Khmer 11 speakers have commented on the translation of the expression "off the record" and we just want to make sure there's no confusion 12 13 about this term. So when we speak about a conversation that is 14 off the record, what is meant usually means that a conversation has been conducted, in this case, between the OCIJ and the 15 16 witness and that conversation has not been recorded. So I hope 17 that makes it also a bit clearer for the Khmer speakers the 18 issues that we were raising with regard to that interview we were 19 talking about, off the record conversations between investigators 20 of the OCIJ and another witness in the village of this witness. 21 [15.03.54]

Other than that, I have no further comments. So I would like to thank you, Mr. Sokh Chhin, for coming here and answering these questions today on behalf of the Nuon Chea defence team. And I would cede the floor to my colleagues, but I believe they have no

78

- 1 further questions.
- 2 MR. PRESIDENT:

3 Thank you. It appears that the other defence teams do not have 4 any question to put to the witness and if you do, you may 5 proceed, but if not, the hearing of the testimony of Mr. Sokh 6 Chhin comes to an end.

Mr. Sokh Chhin, your testimony before us has come to an end. You may now return to your home or any destination you wish to go and we would like to take this opportunity to thank you very much for taking time to testify before this Court; particularly, you have made every effort to respond to all the questions put to you. Your testimony contributes significantly to ascertaining the

- 13 truth.
- 14 [15.05.18]

15 Court officer is instructed to coordinate with the WESU unit for 16 the transport of this witness back home.

Mr. Sokh Chhin, you are now released and we wish you the best of luck and safe trip back home.

- 19 (Witness excused)
- 20 MR. PRESIDENT:

21 Court officer is now instructed to bring in the civil party,22 TCCP-64, to the courtroom.

The Chamber wishes to advise the parties that, in accordance with the request by Ieng Sary, dated the 1st of October 2012, through his defence counsel, that he has expressed his waiver of his

79

1	right to be present directly in this courtroom in relation to a
2	number of witnesses and civil party, including the civil party
3	before us now, TCCP-64. Mr. Ieng Sary is now being hospitalized
4	at the Khmer Soviet Friendship Hospital, and he has waived his
5	right to be present directly in the hearing of the testimony of
6	this civil party due to his health reason. And the Chamber
7	decides to hear the testimony of TCCP-64 without the direct
8	presence of Mr. Ieng Sary in accordance with Rule 81.5 of the
9	Internal Rules of the Extraordinary Chambers.
10	[15.07.50]
11	QUESTIONING BY THE PRESIDENT:
12	Q. Good afternoon, Civil Party. What is your name?
13	MS. LAY BONY:
14	A. Good afternoon. Good afternoon, Mr. President, and Your
15	Honours. My name is Lay Bony. I was born on the 20th of March
16	1950 in Sangkat Number 4, Phnom Penh.
17	Q. Thank you, Madam Lay Bony. Where is your current residence?
18	A. I live in Trapeang Chhuk village, Street 371, Tuek Thla
19	commune, Phnom Penh city.
20	[15.08.44]
21	Q. What is your occupation now?
22	A. I am a housewife.
23	Q. What is your father's name?
24	A. My father's name is Lay Kruy. He is deceased.
25	Q. How about your mother; what is her name?

80

1 A. My mother's name is Khut Sin. She is deceased.

2 Q. Thank you. How about your husband; what is his name and how

3 many children have you got?

A. Do you mean my present husband? My present husband is ChanSavorn and I have three children.

6 Q. Now, in the capacity -- your capacity as civil party, you may 7 take this opportunity to express to the Court the injury you have sustained physically, materially, which may have resulted from 8 9 the crimes that took place during the period of the Democratic Kampuchea that amounted to your application to join as a civil 10 11 party before the Chamber. And you may also express other 12 suffering and injuries that you sustained during that period. And 13 this right is being granted to you and you may make the statement at the conclusion of the testimony. The Chamber will grant you 14 15 appropriate time to make such a statement and you -- we advise 16 you from an outset so that you may prepare yourself accordingly 17 when you wish to express the suffering and injuries you sustained 18 during the period.

19 [15.11.11]

The Lead Co-Lawyer for the civil parties, in accordance with Rule 91 bis of the Internal Rules, the Chamber hand over the floor to the civil party Lead Co-Lawyers to put question before any other parties to these proceedings. You may now have the floor.

24 MR. PICH ANG:

25 Good afternoon, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Your Honours. For

81

- 1 this particular civil party, lawyer Moch Sovannary and counsel
- 2 Simonneau-Fort will put the question to the civil party.
- 3 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 Counsel, you may proceed.
- 6 QUESTIONING BY MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
- 7 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. President. Good afternoon, Your Honours,
- 8 and good afternoon, civil party. I will start the question first
- 9 and then Madam Simonneau-Fort will follow.
- 10 [15.12.20]
- 11 My questions will base largely on the statement of the civil
- 12 party before the Co-Investigating Judge, dated the 6 of August
- 13 2009, document D246/2, and with your leave, Mr. President, I
- 14 would like to have this statement present to the civil party and
- 15 have it projected on the screen.
- 16 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 17 Court officer is instructed to obtain the hard copy from the
- 18 civil party lawyers and present it to the witness for her
- 19 examination.
- 20 BY MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:

Q. With the document you are being presented, do you recall that there were staff member from the Extraordinary Chambers in the

- 23 Courts of Cambodia went to interview you?
- 24 MR. PRESIDENT:
- 25 Madam Lay Bony, please be reminded that you should wait until you

82

- 1 see the red light is on before you speak so that your voice can
- 2 get through the sound system and then the interpretation can get 3 through. You may proceed.

4 [15.14.01]

5 MS. LAY BONY:

I remember that following my application to join as a civil party, there was a summons calling me to meet at somewhere around Kap Kor (phonetic) market. I thought that they were asking me to discuss my application. They put me certain -- they put to me certain questions and I responded to their questions and I recall having that interview.

12 BY MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:

13 Q. Thank you. I would like to break my question into three parts 14 in relation to this document: The first part concerns the -- your 15 family status as well as your living condition before the victory 16 of the Khmer Rouge on the 17 of April 1975. The second part of my 17 question concerns the reason for the eviction of you and your 18 family out of Phnom Penh city and the third part of my question 19 concerns the second wave of evacuation of you and your family 20 from Khsach Kandal in Kandal province to Bakan district in Pursat 21 province.

My first question to you that was before the arrival of the Khmer Rouge soldier in Phnom Penh and in order to follow up with this question, I would like to ask you to look at ERN in Khmer of the same document, 00373245; English, 00379155; and French, 00422447.

83

According to this statement, you said that your son died before the arrival of the Khmer Rouge soldier which was on the 17 of April 1975. Can you tell the Court the conditions and the overall living condition of the delivery of your baby? At that time, were you not allowed to deliver your baby in the hospital?

- 6 [15.16.50]
- 7 MS. LAY BONY:

A. At the time, the country was in a chaotic situation. I was pregnant and it was mature at that time. I stayed in front of Long Nget hospital clinics and at that time, there were rocket launched over Cambodian hotel so my mother did not send me to the hospital because she was concerned that we would separate. So she asked the midwife -- the traditional midwife to come and help me deliver the baby.

So she asked one midwife -- and I, at that time, had to sustain a 15 16 long labour pain. It was rather long, but then, finally, I could deliver the baby. But unfortunately, my baby died prematurely 17 18 before it was born and I thought that I -- that was because of 19 the improper delivery of the baby due to the unprofessional 20 medical level of the midwife. And at that time, I did not feel 21 very well after delivering the baby because probably there were 22 lack of hygiene during my delivery. So I went to a hospital 23 afterwards in order to have my health check. But 20 days 24 afterwards, I -- on the 17 of April 1975, I had to be evicted out 25 of my home and out of the city, as well, just 20 days after I

84

- 1 delivered my baby.
- 2 [15.18.38]

3 Q. Thank you. I would like to refer to the same document, but in another section in the relevant ERN in Khmer, 00373245; in 4 5 English, 00379156; in French, 00422447. At that time, you told 6 the investigator that your husband was the captain in the army 7 during Lon Nol and I would like to expand a bit further. Your husband was a captain in the Lon Nol soldiers and when did he 8 9 leave the Lon Nol soldier; in other words, when did he remove his military uniform of Lon Nol soldier? 10

A. At the time, my husband had to remove the uniform, but it was before the Khmer New Year. At that time, the country was in a very chaotic situation and Lon Nol was evacuated somewhere else, so those soldiers who were standing guard of his house had to return home, at that time, and he had to abandon his soldier status and remove his uniform.

17 [15.20.18]

Q. In relation to the condition of the Lon Nol soldiers, at that 18 19 time, is it a fair summary to say that the Lon Nol soldier 20 abandon Phnom Penh city when Lon Nol left Phnom Penh? Is that a 21 fair summary of the event, at that time, as it unfolded? 22 A. I do not recall the events, at that time, but to my 23 recollection, my husband told me that Lon Nol, the Marshal -- the 24 Field Marshal, Lon Nol, had left Phnom Penh, so he did not go to 25 work as regularly as before. He just went there once in a while.

85

1 Q. So my next question is in relation to the overall condition of 2 the people in Phnom Penh before the entry of Khmer Rouge soldier 3 in Phnom Penh. What was the overall situation like in Phnom Penh; I mean, in relation to the foodstuff and also security issue in 4 5 Phnom Penh? Can you tell the Court the overall situation of Phnom Penh, at the time, just before the Khmer Rouge came? 6 7 A. At the time, the situation was very, very chaotic. And at that time, the price -- the food price surged. The -- we could hardly 8 9 find any rice to buy. And the commodities, in general, was very volatile, and there were many people -- influx of people from the 10 11 countryside into Phnom Penh.

12 [15.22.11]

And as for my family, we had to dig up the trench just underneath 13 14 our house and at that time, we were prepare to hide ourselves in 15 the trench, and we were looking for other stuff. And at that 16 time, I did not really have problem with food shortage because my 17 husband was a soldier and then the soldier were given sufficient 18 rice, at that time, so in my family, we did not have problem with 19 rice, but we had problems with other foodstuff like meat and 20 things like that. And at time, we heard the firing as well as the 21 shelling from everywhere across the country and then we were very 22 attentive to the situation, at that time, and it was real 23 chaotic. And before the fall of Phnom Penh, we could hardly 24 describe situation because we did not know what was what at that 25 time. It was real chaotic.

86

1 Q. Thank you. So you experience living in such a chaos situation. 2 What was your expectation of the Khmer Rouge soldiers in Phnom 3 Penh following the 17 of April victory? A. Following the victory on the 17 of 1975, the country was in 4 5 chaotic situation. And as I said, there was a huge influx of the 6 country people into Phnom Penh. When the Khmer Rouge soldier came 7 in, we were very happy. We congratulated them. We thought that they would bring peace to the country. We raised white clothes to 8 9 welcome them. We saw people chanting and clapping along the street and everyone believed that Cambodia -- or peace would 10 11 return to Cambodia. 12 [15.24.22]

Q. Thank you. So now, I would like to move on to the eviction of you out of Phnom Penh. So immediately after the Khmer Rouge enter Phnom Penh, where did you live? We would like to know the specific location where you resided when the Khmer Rouge came to Phnom Penh.

18 A. When I was sick, at that time, I stay in a house in front of 19 Long Nget hospital and once I -- my -- I got better then I came 20 to stay in my house near Koh Pich Theatre near Paet Chen 21 (phonetic). I continued to stay in my house, but I stayed there 22 for just a few days, then on the 17 of April, the Khmer Rouge 23 soldier came to Phnom Penh and they order that we have to leave 24 our house immediately and they order us to leave on the pretext 25 that the Americans would bomb the city so they wanted to -- they 00857062

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

87

- 1 wanted the resident of Phnom Penh to leave for a temporary
- 2 period, say seven to -- three to seven days.
- 3 [15.25.54]

And at that time, I had two children; one was three years old, 4 5 the other one was five years old. So I could not carry many stuff 6 with myself and I thought to myself that I could simply have the 7 money so I could buy anything in the countryside, so I did not bring many stuff with me. I only brought the bank notes with me. 8 But immediately when I left the city, I heard from people that 9 they did not use bank notes anymore. Bank notes were not in use. 10 11 And what is more, I also saw that there were a lot of roadblocks 12 along -- out of city and there were jams and there were people 13 all over the street, and we had to move very slowly. From Koh Pich to the Royal University of Law took me the whole morning. 14 15 And at that time, it was a very tough day in my life because I 16 had just delivered my baby, and I had to travel on foot. I had to 17 carry my two kids with my, as well, and under the daylight and 18 sunlight and I -- it was a very, very tough moment in my life. I 19 had never endured such a tough life before. Before I had many 20 house helpers at my place, but it was a very difficult moment in 21 my life. And then after the night, I walked past Chbar Ampov 22 Bridge.

23 [15.27.36]

Q. Thank you. Thank you. Madam, thank you. I will move on to that part of the journey out of Phnom Penh, but I would like to ask

88

1	you to slow down a little bit for the interpreters to render it
2	properly.
3	So after the victory of the Khmer Rouge, did the Khmer Rouge
4	announce anything for the public once they took power?
5	A. At that time, they announced through radio broadcast the
6	national radio broadcast saying that the Khmer Rouge took
7	complete control of the country. So people in Phnom Penh, the
8	Phnom Penh dwellers, remained silent and calm and stayed in their
9	house until further instruction or information be given.
10	[15.28.38]
11	Q. In the radio broadcast, did they make mention any plan of
12	evacuation of people out of the city?
13	A. I did not hear the announcement on the radio in relation to
14	that particular instruction, but the direct instruction we
15	received were from the soldiers who came to our house.
16	Q. You said just now that there were orders from the soldiers.
17	They ordered you to leave your house. So in your recollection, in
18	your record of interview, the relevant ERN in Khmer, 00373245,
19	that is the same page in Khmer; and English, 00379155; in French,
20	00422447; on this part of the interview, you said that they had
21	weapons with them; they had guns with them, so we were asked to
22	leave our house at gunpoint so we had to leave. So I would like
23	to ask you, precisely, how many soldiers came to your house and
24	order you leave?
25	A. Initially, I saw only one soldier coming to my house, but

## 00857064

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Trial Chamber – Trial Day 122 Case No. 002/19-09-2007-ECCC/TC 23/10/2012

89

1	after during the time that we were talking, two more Khmer
2	soldiers arrived and every one of them carried a gun.
3	Q. What uniforms were they wearing?
4	A. They were wearing black uniform and they wore a cap or beret.
5	[15.30.45]
6	Q. When they entered your house, what was their attitude and what
7	did they tell you?
8	A. At that time, I was in my house. They were quite animated
9	talking to my husband and to my neighbours who were living on the
10	ground floor. I live on the upper floor. And after that after
11	they left, my husband came up to me and told me that they firmly
12	ordered us to leave immediately, that we could no longer stay in
13	our house. And as my husband saw them in their firm character
14	with guns, then we started to pack our house and put on the
15	truck. So four or five families living in that house also packed
16	our belonging and put on the truck.
17	Q. Did you protest that you did not want to leave?
18	A. At that time, we dare not protest because we saw them carrying
19	guns on their shoulder and their attitude was very firm.
20	[15.32.10]
21	Q. Thank you.
22	When the Khmer Rouge soldiers entered your house, did they know
23	that your husband was a former Lon Nol military officer?
24	A. No, they did not go to the upper floor so they were not aware
25	that my husband was a military officer.

90

1	Q. Can we say that your husband concealed his identity when he
2	spoke to the Khmer Rouge soldiers? Did you see what kind of
3	treatment the Khmer Rouge soldiers did to the Lon Nol soldiers?
4	A. I saw some events and I was told by others that the Lon Nol
5	soldiers were had their hands their arms tied behind their
6	back as they they look at the the ankles of those people
7	and they could see whether they were former military officers or
8	soldiers.
9	Q. Your entire family was forced to evacuate from Phnom Penh. Can
10	you tell the Court what were the members of your family and how
11	many altogether?
12	[15.33.48]
13	A. At that time, there were four of us in my family; namely, my
14	husband, myself, and our two children. However, when we left on
15	the truck, there were my cousins who were living together with us
16	and then they the two families and the housemaid of my cousin,
17	they were also boarding that truck.
18	Q. Let me now move to the part that you were en route leaving
19	Phnom Penh. In your document on page in Khmer with the ERN
20	00373246; and English, 00379156 and 56 to 57; in French,
21	00422448; you stated that if anyone had to return back home, then
22	that person would be shot by the soldiers. The question is: How
23	did you learn about that? Did the Khmer Rouge make such an
24	announcement?
25	A. I learned that through my husband and my relatives, who were

91

travelling with us, as we saw dead bodies along the road and we asked what happened to them and we were told that those people wanted to return back and they were shot and that's how I learned about that.

5 [15.35.56]

Q. On the same page, you also stated that en route you saw people being evacuated from the Russian hospital and that you saw sick people living on the bed and some of them were travelling and were pushed by other people. The question is: Did you see the sick people who were evacuated from the hospital and if so, how many of them did you see?

A. At that time, I could not describe the real event, but from what I see from the truck, I saw them and I asked what happened to them and they said that they were from the hospital. There were hospital beds and some of them had the IV injection on. Those who could walk would walk and those who could not walk would be carried and some of them would stay on the hospital bed and were pushed or pulled by another person.

19 [15.37.05]

Q. During the evacuation of people from the hospital, did you see that the Khmer Rouge soldiers were managing such evacuation and if so, can you describe what was their attitude toward the sick people or the patients who were evacuated from hospital? A. At that time, I saw Khmer Rouge soldiers. They -- their facial expressions were firm. They carried guns and their eyes moved

92

1	around, but they did not pay much attention to the patients. They
2	said all people had to be evacuated, including the patients. They
3	all had to leave Phnom Penh.
4	Q. In the same document, on the same page, you also stated that
5	you saw dead bodies along the road in Preaek Pra. My first
6	question relating to this point is that the Preaek Pra village
7	that you spoke of, where was it located?
8	A. Preaek Pra village was located near at the base of the
9	Chbar Ampov Bridge. There it was on the road to the side of
10	the bridge and it was mostly it is mostly occupied by the Cham
11	people now.
12	[15.38.51]
13	Q. When you arrived firstly at that village, what was the
14	situation like?
15	A. Upon my first arrival, I was very thirsty due to the heat from
16	the sun, so I asked my husband to look after the two children,
17	and I went to look for water. At that time, it was in early
18	evening and I saw dead bodies and I moved to another place
19	another house, I also saw dead bodies. So I was so terrified and
20	shocked because I did not see such dead bodies around before. So
21	I left and I met other people who also told me that they also saw
22	dead bodies elsewhere, but we did not know how they died.
23	Q. The dead bodies that you saw, were they fresh dead bodies or
24	were they already decomposing?
0.5	

25 A. At that time, there was no bad smell yet; otherwise, we would

93

1	not enter the house. And there were young children in the hammock
2	and there were also women.
3	Q. Let me now move on in the same document on the page with the
4	Khmer ERN 00373246 to 47; in English, 00379157; and in French,
5	00422448 my apology 49. You stated that you could no longer
6	move further because you just recently delivered a baby and my
7	husband got off the truck and the truck went ahead. We stay in
8	that pagoda for two weeks. My question is which of your relatives
9	went ahead and which remained with you?
10	[15.41.23]
11	A. At that time, we cross the Kaoh Krabei commune in order to go
12	to S' ang commune. There was a pagoda and we stay in that pagoda.
13	I was very unwell and my husband said that we could no longer
14	move; we had to stay in the pagoda.
15	At that time, my cousin who used to live with us, he also stopped
16	and rested in that pagoda. And the people on the truck asked
17	whether we wanted to to go with them, but my husband responded
18	that we could no longer go because I am I was unwell as I
19	recently delivered the baby. And then we were told that they had
20	to go ahead in order to meet with Angkar, and the situation would
21	become better. But by then, I did not know what Angkar was.
22	[15.42.27]
23	Q. Regarding your personal health, I have a question for you.
24	During the time that you stay in Svay Prateal village, what was
25	the situation like? What were measures taken by the Khmer Rouge
	Page 93

94

soldiers toward the evacuees; for example, food supply,
 accommodation and medicines, in particular, regarding your
 unhealthy status and your two young children? Did they provide
 any medical care to you?
 A. At that time, I did not see any Khmer Rouge soldiers taking

any steps in taking care of the people. I lived there for four to five days and instantly, when we arrived, we had no rice to cook because we already spent the rice en route. So I went into the village and I was told that even the Base People did not have rice to eat and in fact, they actually used corn in place of the rice and then I begged for corn from them in exchange of some of the possessions that I had.

13 And that was the time that my younger daughter had her bowel 14 problem because that was the first time that she ate such food, 15 so her stomach would not be able to sustain such food and after 16 we ate such food for four to five days.

17 [15.44.20]

18 And while I was unwell, some of the Base People came to me and I 19 actually asked them about the situation back in the village and I 20 was told that I should register our name and then I could get the 21 food ration from the soldiers there and I did that so then I got 22 some rice. For each person, we was given one can of rice per day, 23 so as we had four members in our family, we got four cans of 24 rice. We got rice, but we had to look for other foodstuff. 25 And at that time, my younger daughter was sick and we did not

95

have any medicines for the treatment, so for that my younger
 daughter got that disease since.

Q. In that same document, you also stated that you left Svay Prateal village and arrived at the Chheu Teal commune in the Kien Svay district. On page with the Khmer ERN 00373247; in English, 00379158; and in French, 00422449; you stated that we wanted to go to Svay Kandal district as my mother lived there. The question to you is that during such chaotic situation, how could you know that your mother was still there?

10 [15.46.22]

11 A. At that time, I knew that my family members, including my 12 mother and my aunt, already reached Anhcheaeng Leu village in 13 Khsach Kandal district as my cousin was looking for us and then we met my cousin and I was told that my family members already 14 15 reached that location. So we prepared our belonging together with 16 my cousin. Whatever we could carry, we carried. And my cousin had 17 their children -- had her children who were rather teenagers so 18 we returned to Kien Svay district at Chheu Teal commune and there 19 was a road leading to (inaudible) commune so we returned to that 20 location in order to meet my mother.

I was thinking that I was unwell and my daughter was unwell and if I could meet my family members, they would be able to support me either physically or mentally or they could find herbal medicine, too, for our treatment.

25 [15.47.45]

96

Q. On the same page, you also stated that Khmer Rouge did not allow you to go ahead and that you could not return; that if you attempted to return, the Khmer Rouge would kill you. How did you learn about that? Were you threatened not to return or what happened at the time?

6 A. At that time, once we left, there were military checkpoints 7 and we were told that we could not proceed further and that we should enter the village and not to wander around, and that 8 9 Angkar would take measure. But I rested on the road, but I did 10 not enter the village. During the day, we rested, but at night, 11 we tried to flee, but we were caught and we were returned. 12 But on the fourth night, we could flee, but my cousin, by then, 13 didn't want to wait for us so my cousin already had left. So my husband and I had to flee with a little bit of our belonging. I 14 15 carried my younger daughter at the front and my younger -- my 16 older child at the back. At that time, we did not have any means 17 of transportation. We had to carry our belonging by ourselves and 18 walk on foot. And at that time, you could imagine, I just 19 delivered a baby, so my health was very weak. And my cousin who 20 stopped at the Svay Prateal village didn't go further because 21 they -- as they decided to live in that village, but my husband 22 and I decided to flee and yes, we fled.

23 [15.50.04]

Q. In your written record of interview, you stated that you wereevacuated from Phnom Penh passing through Russian hospital,

97

Preaek Pra, Kaoh Krabei, Kien Svay, Svay Prateal, S' ang until 1 2 you reach Anhcheaeng Leu village in Khsach Kandal district, 3 Kandal province where your mother reach that location before you. So my question is, en route from Phnom Penh to Khsach Kandal 4 5 district, did you notice that Khmer Rouge soldiers checked the 6 biography of the people travelling on road? 7 A. I did not see that at that time; however, let me go a little bit further. When I met my mother, my father -- my mother told me 8 9 that my other in-law, who was a colonel, was gathered and returned to Phnom Penh in order to work in Phnom Penh as he was 10 11 informed.

12 [15.51.25]

Q. So during the -- in order to link to your -- the second phase of evacuation, during the time that you stay at the cooperative in Svay Kandal district, Kandal province, did the Khmer Rouge cadres receive you properly together with other evacuees; I meant, in term of accommodation, work arrangement for newly arrived people like yourself?

19 A. At that time, when I first arrived, I registered my name with 20 Comrade Yorn. Yorn was the chief of the group and I knew that 21 person, so I registered my name with his group so we were allowed 22 to stay in his house. It was a big house so the house could be 23 called the house of the rich, well, people in that area. We 24 stayed in that house and I worked in the farm.

25 Firstly, we went to build dykes. At that time, it was not yet

98

rainy season. And later on, we was given a plot of land to build a house. My mother and I, including other people comprising of 10 members, was given a plot of land and we build a small hut from bamboo. So my other sister and her family also built a house nearby. So we worked during the day and we returned to the house at noon. It became a regular habit.

7 [15.53.18]

And during the rainy season, I went to transplant the rice 8 9 seedlings. At that time, I did not know how to do that. I had to learn how to do it. I tried my best how to follow the local 10 11 people there because I was afraid that if I could not do it, I 12 would be killed. And one time, I went to transplant rice at 13 Preaek Kong Van (phonetic) Bridge -- it is still located in Puk 14 Ruessei commune these days -- and I was asked to cross the -- the 15 lake in order to transplant the rice, but I did not know how to 16 swim and I drowned. However, I was helped by other women. I almost drowned. And from that day, I tried my best to work hard 17 18 and try to do as best as I could with the Base People there. 19 [15.54.22]

Q. Allow me to interrupt you as I have some other questions later. As the President informed you, you will have the opportunity to express your suffering at the end of your testimony.

You spoke of a person by the name of Yorn in your written record of interview in the document with the Khmer ERN 00373247 to 48;

99

1	and in English, 00379158; and in French, 00422450. You stated
2	that Yorn was the chief of the group of the Khmer Rouge and was a
3	relative of yours, and that Yorn concealed your identity and the
4	identity of your relatives. How did you know that he had
5	concealed the identities of your family members?
6	A. I knew because the wife of Yorn told me because we knew the
7	wife of Yorn. Yorn's wife was an in-law of my uncle and they, of
8	course, knew well of our background. So she told me that staying
9	here, I had to conceal our identity and if we were asked about
10	the profession of my husband, I should tell anyone that he was a
11	taxi driver and never ever say that he was a military officer, so
12	I told all my relatives and family members about that. And Yorn
13	also tried to conceal that information and of course, it was
14	concealed.
15	[15.56.30]
16	And another relative member of Yorn had her husband as a military
17	official, but because the villagers there knew the person, that

17 official, but because the villagers there knew the person, that 18 person was taken away and never returned. He was taken away at 19 night and for that reason, he warned us not to reveal our 20 identity.

Q. Allow me to move to the second phase of your evacuation from Khsach Kandal district, Kandal province to Kampong Chhnang province. In the same document, you stated that Pat, the village chief, arrived at your house and informed you that you had to pack your belonging in order to depart for Battambang province.

100

- 1 You also state only newcomers were evacuated. I have a few
- 2 questions regarding this point. The words that you use,
- 3 "newcomers," what kind of people were you referring to when you
- 4 used these terms?
- 5 [15.57.41]

A. Newcomers, as I stated in that statement, I meant the 17 April
People who were evacuated from Phnom Penh and who newly arrived
as in our case.

9 Q. When that village chief told you to leave your house from
10 Kandal province for Battambang province, did he tell you whether
11 he received instruction from anyone in particular?

12 A. At that time, he did not tell me that. What he told me was to 13 pack our belongings, that newcomers would be taken to be -- to 14 live or to resettle in Battambang province, which was a better 15 and rich province with enough food and rice to eat, and down 16 there; it was insufficient as we newly liberated the country. 17 Q. You said Pat was the village chief. Can you tell the Court 18 whether Pat, in his capacity as the village chief, what was his 19 role in terms of the Khmer Rouge administrative structure? 20 A. I did not know about that. I was only told that he was the 21 village chief. And within the village, it -- it was divided into 22 various groups. I only learned of that information from somebody 23 else, but I did not know of what status he was amongst the Khmer 24 Rouge cadres.

25 [15.59.35]

101

1	Q. Did the village chief tell you the reason that your family and
2	the newcomers had to be moved to Battambang province and not the
3	Base People?
4	A. Yes, he did. He said that we had to go to Battambang as
5	Battambang had plenty of rice and down here, Angkar could only
6	accommodate a certain amount of families and the food was
7	insufficient and there was plenty of food in Battambang. And when
8	we learned about that, we were rather happy as Battambang was a
9	rich province.
10	Q. Can you then tell the Court who actually organized for your
11	trip to leave your house in Khsach Kandal district toward
12	Battambang province? Who made such arrangement?
13	A. It was the village chief. After I packed my belonging, an ox
14	cart came to the front of our house. We put the belonging on to
15	the cart and the person who rides the ox cart knew the duty where
16	they had to drop us off from the cart.
17	[16.01.03]
18	MS. MOCH SOVANNARY:
19	Mr. President, I have five or six more questions to be put to
20	this witness to this civil party, but the time is now
21	appropriate for the break.
22	MR. PRESIDENT:
23	The Lead Co-Lawyers for civil party and the Prosecution, you are
24	reminded that the time allocated to you is for one morning
25	session and now you spent one hour already so you will have a

102

1	part of tomorrow morning's session to question this civil party.
2	Please try to use your time effectively.
3	Thank you, Ms. Civil Party. The time is appropriate for the
4	adjournment today and we will resume tomorrow morning starting
5	from 9 a.m. And for tomorrow proceeding, we will continue to hear
6	the testimony of Lay Bony.
7	Ms. Lay Bony, your testimony has not yet concluded and you are
8	invited to return to testify again tomorrow morning.
9	[16.02.24]
10	Court Officer, in coordination with WESU, could you assist this
11	civil party to return to her accommodation and have her returned
12	tomorrow morning at 9 a.m.
13	Security guards, you are instructed to take the two accused, Nuon
14	Chea, and Khieu Samphan, to the detention facility and have them
15	returned to the courtroom tomorrow morning prior to 9 a.m.
16	The Court is now adjourned.
17	(Court adjourns at 1602H)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	